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## PREFACE

Volumes I and II of A Panel Study of Income Dynamics contain the tape codes, indexes, available data, questionnaires, and procedures specific to our first five years of data collection (1968-1972). These volumes also describe the early history of the study and some basic procedures that are common to all eleven years of interviewing. Six supplemental volumes, including this one, cover procedures, codes, and questionnaires for Waves VI-XI.

We have now published six volumes of analysis in the series called Five Thousand American Families--Patterns of Economic Progress (Because we interview members of original Panel families when they move away from home, the sample keeps growing, and there are now 6,154 families in the study). Volume VII is in progress, with expected publication in April, 1979.* It includes chapters on longand short-run unemployment, hours of work by family Heads, wage growth, various aspects of the food stamp program, effects of parental background on occupation and earnings, and dimensions of occupation. As usual, one final chapter reports on research carried out elsewhere based on the Panel data. We would welcome contributions to include in this chapter next year.

We expect to have a twelfth wave of interviewing, but beyond that the future is uncertain. The main funding for the study still comes from HEW. However, the Department of Labor and the National Science Foundation also contribute to its support.

All of these publications may be ordered from the Sales
Fulfillment Section, Institute for Social Research, P.O. Box 1248, Ann Arbor, MI 48106.

## Staff

Greg J. Duncan and James N. Morgan are the principal researchers on the study. Others responsible include Joan Brinser, Barbara Browne, Richard Coe, Mary Corcoran, Linda Datcher, Anita Ernst, Peggy Gunnesch, Priscilla Hildebrandt, Dan Hill, Martha Hill, Peggy Hoad, Tecla Loup, Mike Nolte, Paula Pelletier, Anne Sears, and Charles Stallman. Beverly Harris, to our regret, has left the study to work on the World Fertility Survey in London.

## SECTION I

PROCEDURES FOR THE 1978 INTERVIEWING YEAR

Part 1: Interviewing Procedures, 1978 Occupation Codes, Data Quality, Independent Part Samples, New Weights at the 1978 Interview Wave

## Interviewing Procedures

To test the hypothesis that early jobs may set the pattern for subsequent ones, we asked a number of new questions in 1978. We hoped to find out how some people manage to start their working lives in "good" jobs that offer training and chances for advancement, while others don't. Heads under 45 were asked how they heard about and got their first regular jobs and their first jobs with their present employers, whether these jobs provided training and a chance to learn useful skills, and if anyone helped them to get the job or recommended them. A husband with a working wife was asked these questions about his wife's present job.

Respondents between the ages of 45 and 65 were asked if they, or their working wives, had thoughts or plans about retiring and if they expected their retirement income to be adequate. Respondents who were retired were asked how it was working out.

The Disability Section of the questionnaire was expanded to cover the entire family. The head was asked if he, or any other member of the family, had a physical or nervous condition that limited his or her work or schooling.

Although some old questions were taken out to make room for the new ones, the average interviewing time was 27 minutes--a bit longer than last year.

Interviewing went well and more rapidly than usual--even in inner cities. Last year's discouraging rates of response in New York and Philadelphia improved to 98 percent and 97 percent, respectively. Most of the interviewing was done (by telephone) by interviewers in the field, with the Ann Arbor telephone interviewers acting as a back-up to track down lost movers and to solve problems.

This year we not only mailed questionnaires to very far-flung respondents but also, with moderate success, to a few people nearby who were never home, lived in dangerous neighborhoods, were too busy to talk to us, or whom we believed might reconsider a refusal if they didn't have to talk to an interviewer. As usual, our many persuasion letters to reluctant respondents changed enough minds to have made them well worth writing.

We took 6,154 interviews out of 6,339--a 97 percent response rate. If the respondents who had died since the 1977 interview, those too ill to talk to us, recombined families, and a few inmates in jails that did not allow us to telephone or visit are subtracted from the base, we have a 98 percent response. We were able to interview 90 percent of the possible splitoffs, thus adding 298 new families to the 98.3 percent of 1977 respondents who are still in the Panel.

This year we have respondents in 734 counties in 48 states. At present there is no one in Montana or Vermont. There are also Panel members in 13 foreign countries.

Research Center to code the 1978 employment sections for Heads and
Wives. To be comparable with past interviews, the one-digit occupation code continued to be used in the New Head Section for the head's first job and the head's father's occupation. In the 1978 interview it was also used to code the first regular or permanent job of Heads under 45 .

Data Quality
We are reasonably sure, after six years of monitoring it, that changing from personal to mostly telephone interviewing (Table 2) has not had an adverse effect on the response rate (Table 1) or on the accuracy of the data (Table 4). Even what might have been a slight trend toward Wives answering for their husbands in telephone interviews seems to have evaporated (Table 3). The quality of the data appears to remain good, and, of course, we will continue to monitor it to assure that it stays that way.

Table 1
ANNUAL AND CUMULATIVE PANEL RESPONSE RATES*

|  | Percent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Annual | Cumulative |
| 1968 | 76 | 76 |
| 1969 | 89 | 68 |
| 1970 | 97 | 66 |
| 1971 | 97 | 64 |
| 1972 | 97 | 62 |
| 1973 | 97 | 61 |
| 1974 | 97 | 59 |
| 1975 | 97 | 57 |
| 1976 | 96 | 55 |
| 1977 | 97 | 53 |
| 1978 | 97 | 51 |

* 

The deceased, those too ill to be interviewed, and recombined families have not been removed from the base.

Table 2
PROPORTION OF INTERVIEWS BY TELEPHONE

| Year | Sample Size | Number of Telephone Interviews | Unweighted Percent of Sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1968 | 4,802 | -- | -- |
| 1969 | 4,460 | -- | -- |
| 1970 | 4,655 | 67 | 1.4 |
| 1971 | 4,840 | 108 | 2.2 |
| 1972 | 5,060 | 134 | 2.6 |
| 1973 | 5,185 | 4,047 | 76.6 |
| 1974 | 5,517 | 4,554 | 82.5 |
| 1975 | 5,725 | 4,836 | 84.5 |
| 1976 | 5,862 | 5,360 | 91.4 |
| 1977 | 6,007 | 5,040 | 83.9 |
| 1978 | 6,154 | 5,283 | 85.8 |

Table 3
PROPORTION OF FAMILY HEADS INTERVIEWED


Table 4*
TOTAL ACCURACY CODES ON HUSBAND AND WIFE INCOME VARIABLES

| Year |  |  |  |  | 4 or |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| of Data | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | More | Total |
|  | - | - | - | - | ---- |  |
| 1968 | 94.0 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 100.0 |
| 1969 | 95.6 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 100.0 |
| 1970 | 96.9 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 |
| 1971 | 97.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 100.0 |
| 1972 | 97.8 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| 1973 | 97.9 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| 1974 | 98.2 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| 1975 | 98.3 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| 1976 | 97.0 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 100.0 |
| 1977 | 97.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 |
| 1978 | 97.4 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 100.0 |

* 

Table 4 is based on four variables:
Accuracy of Head's Labor Income (V5783 + V5787)
Accuracy of Wife's Labor Income (V5789)
Accuracy of Asset Income of Head and Wife (V5797)
Accuracy here is determined by the number of assignments made by the editors in order to recreate data missing from an interview. The more assignments, the less reliable the data. The accuracy code values and their meanings are:
0. Adequate response: No assignments made.

1. Minor assignment: Response was inadequate, but estimates could be made within a probable error of under $\$ 300$ or 10 percent of the assignment by using previous years' data or other data in the interview.
2. Major assignment: Response was inadequate, and estimates had a probable error of at least $\$ 300$ and at least 10 percent of the value of the assignment, using any information available in previous interviews or in the current one. Usually these values were assigned from an assignment table.

This table shows the sum of the accuracy codes for the three different income measures. The maximum number possible here would be eight for married couples, six for single Heads.

Independent Part Samples
The use of part samples is suggested for separating the selection of a preferred model from the assessment of its stability and power. Simple random subsamples are not independent of the rest of the sample because of the clustered nature of area probability samples. Therefore, four independent quarter-samples are designated in the code (V6210). How much of the sample should be reserved for statistical testing depends on how unsure one is about the best model and how important the estimation and testing of one optimal model is felt to be. For illustrations of the results of this separation of the searching from the assessing procedures, see the volumes of
findings, Five Thousand American Families--Patterns of Economic
Progress, Volume I, pp. 6-8 and pp. 342-344; Volume II, Chapter 9; and Volume IV, Chapter 2 (Survey Research Center, Ann Arbor, Michigan).

New Weights at the 1978 Interview Wave
Unbiased estimates from complex samples require weights to represent the parent population. A sample with equal probability of selection and no differential nonresponse would have equal weights, but the Panel Study started with two samples, each with varying probabilities designed to oversample low-income minority families or those with Heads under 65.

The initial sample was one of families. It was based on probability samples of occupied dwellings where each family in a selected dwelling was interviewed. Once a weight is assigned to a family, weighted data can be used to make statements about all the noninstitutional families in the conterminous United States.* The family weight also forms the basis of the individual weight, with the individual weight of an original sample member equal to his/her original family's weight, and the individual weight of nonsample members equal to zero. When Panel Study individuals are weighted by their individual weight, the result is a representative sample of individuals who live in the conterminous United States and are not in institutions.
*
Because we try to interview the members of our original sample wherever they go, we now have a small number of respondents who live outside the continental United States, as well as a few in institutions.

As time passes, sample individuals remain unique, and those who die are replaced by individuals born to sample individuals. The proper weight for newly born sample members is the average weight of their parents, which means using half the weight of one parent if the other parent is a nonsample person. Adjustments for nonresponse since 1968 are of much smaller importance but reduce potential biases from that source.

Families who die off are replaced by families formed by offspring, but the process is more complex. The original families can produce additional families in subsequent years by divorce or any other splitting, including children leaving home. The original family weights still apply to each family created entirely from the original family, just as individual weights derive from the family weights. No adjustment is needed for births or deaths, but if a nonsample person marries into a sample family and becomes either the family head or wife, then that family has two separate chances to come into the sample, and the family weight must be cut in half. One can think of averaging the individual weights of husband and wife to develop a family weight, and the nonsample person of the pair has an individual weight of zero.*
*
This assumes that, on the average, those who marry in had about the same original probability of selection as those they marry.

If these adjustments are made, analysis of families using the family weights gives unbiased estimates of the nation's families, and analysis of sample individuals using individual weights gives unbiased estimates of the nation's individuals (noninstitutional, conterminous, and not on military reservations). The only qualifications to this arise from immigration and from differential Panel losses not offset by our weight adjustments that were based on an analysis of subgroup differences in cumulative response rates.

The new weights will supersede those of 1968 and also the revised weights of 1972 and 1977. The 1968 weight had to take account of two samples, each different and one with varied sampling fractions, of the probabilities of overlap of the samples, and of the initial differential nonresponse by region and type of metropolitan statistical area (the only information available for most of the nonresponse). For details, see pages 2-25 of Volume $I$ of A Panel Study of Income Dynamics (Institute for Social Research, Ann Arbor, 1972).

In 1972 two revised weights were calculated which we now
by-pass. The family weight was adjusted for differential nonresponse from 1969 to 1972 (separately for splitoff families) and for the presence of a nonsample spouse. Individual weights were adjusted only for nonresponse. In 1977 the family weight was further adjusted for additional nonsample spouses, while the children born into the Panel were given their family weight as an individual weight. The 1978 revised weights supersede all of these revisions.

After ten years, using the eleventh wave of interviews as the base, we are recalculating the weights to take account of the cumulative response rates since 1968, with adjustments for those "marrying into" the sample and for children born to those who were Panel members--or who would have been had they not been nonresponse.

Following consistency checking and the assigning of unique identification numbers to each individual in the sample, the population weights for both families and individuals were recalculated. The strategy was to return to the original 1968 weights, which took account of sample probabilities and the initial response rates for the 1968 interviews (first wave), and make one overall adjustment to individual weights for the cumulative nonresponse from 1969 to 1978. In the case of the family weights, an additional adjustment was made for cases where someone "marries into" the Panel (In the latter case, since either the husband or wife could have been sampled, the probability of selection is approximately double, and the weight must be halved).

In the 1978 reweighting procedure, the basic file for both the analysis of nonresponse and for weighting is an individual file. It contains all the individuals who were in the families who were interviewed in either 1968 or 1978 . The file indicates whether these individuals were present in 1968 or 1978 or in both years, and it also includes the 1968 family and individual information necessary for nonresponse analysis. The following subsets of individuals exist in this file:

1. Nonsample individuals, all of whom exist in 1978, but not in 1968.
2. Sample individuals born into the sample since 1968, distinguishable by 1978 Revised Person Numbers in the range 30-69 (Some have been given parents' weights in past adjustments).
3. Known deceased.
4. A few sample individuals with no 1968 weight or family information in the file. They will be assigned a 1968 family and weight on the basis of their family number. They "appeared" in the sample after the first year, e.g., a son or daughter who was away at school and returned home.
5. The individuals with 1968 information but no interview information in 1978, representing the sample nonresponse between 1969 and 1978, but not known to be deceased.
6. The rest, representing sample individuals still in the sample.

Group \#1 is given new individual weights of zero and not used in the rest of this analysis. They will be given the new family weights of the family they are part of, since each individual record is attached to its appropriate 1978 family record.

Group \#2 is not used in the calculation of individual or family weights, but will be given the new individual and family weights equal to the family weight of the family they are part of.

Group \#3 is not part of the nonresponse if we consider this a self-replacing panel where the children born in replace individuals who die. This was ignored in the previous reweighting because it was of minor importance. As time passes, it becomes both more important and more difficult to identify deceased Panel members since some die after they have become nonresponse. This can lead to a slight tendency to overrepresent older people in the Panel by exaggerating their nonresponse and hence their weights. The effects on analysis should be small since age is usually one of the explanatory variables in the analysis, and only if there is an interaction effect in which age alters the influence of some other explanatory variable on the criterion variable does any bias result.

Group \#4 will be treated as part of Group \#6, assuming they were really in the sample in 1968.

Taking Groups \#4, 5, and 6, each of whose records indicates whether the individual was in the sample in 1978, we estimated differential cumulative nonresponse since 1968 on the basis of 1968 family and locational characteristics. The investigation used the following possible differentiating factors, all measured as of 1968:
age of Head
sex of Head
marital status
race
region
size of largest city
number of children
age of youngest child
number of adults
distance to nearest city
occupation of Head
education of Head
income/needs decile
We used a programmed pre-stated search strategy, SEARCH (the successor to AID-III, the automatic interaction detector), to find the population subgroups which differ the most in their response rates. The program divides the sample, through a series of binary splits, into a mutually exclusive series of subgroups. The splits chosen are those that account for the greatest variance in response rates. The program was constrained to prevent any group smaller than 200 cases from being split off. The results are given in Figure 1. Twelve splits were made using ten different independent variables. Thirteen final groups were formed, which accounted for only 5 percent of the variance in the 1 -0 dependent variable reflecting response (survival in the Panel). The nonadditive nonsymmetrical nature of the Figure indicates that a linear regression model would have been substantially inferior, misspecifying the real process and leading to some expected probabilities greater than 1.0 or less than 0 . The relatively large (200) minimum group size in the Figure also reduces the potential erratic variation in the weights that the extreme individual predictions of the "multivariate" regression model would have produced.

The small explanatory power reflects the fact that response rates are amazingly similar over the subgroups of the many basal sample characteristics we explored. Table 5 gives the actual response (survival) rates for each of these subgroups and the explanatory power of each in all its detail and with the best binary split. There is one apparent exception to this generalization, the age of the individual in 1968. Even with the prior elimination of some 750 individuals who died and were hence not part of the nonresponse, the response rates of the remaining aged were substantially lower than average, 59 percent for those 65 to 74 in 1968, and 31 percent for those 75 or older in 1978. Some of those lost from the Panel in those age groups may well have died subsequently, and hence are not part of the base for weighting, but there is no way to adjust for that even probabilistically since we do not know how well deaths were reported, nor whether the sample's death rate is similar to that of the overall population. In any case, the two oldest age groups were only 3.7 percent of the sample after excluding the known deceased, and were mostly rather evenly spread over the subgroups used for weighting.

The reweighting strategy was to reduce potential biases as much as possible by adjusting for differential nonresponse, without increasing the sampling variance unduly by using extreme weights based on overly small group estimates of response rates. So using the 13 final groups of Table 5, with cumulative ten-year response rates varying from. 486 to . 842 , we calculated a new weight by dividing the original 1968 weight by the ratio of the group response rate to the overall average response rate of .708:

New Weight=Old Weight/(Group Response Rate/.708)=.708*Old Weight/Group Response Rate

The original weight was adjusted for different sampling fractions within and between the two original samples, their overlap, and differences in response rates in the first interviewing wave. It ranged from 1 to 64 because of the very heavy oversampling of low-income families. Table 6 shows the two-way distribution of old and new weights before one final adjustment to deal with the extremes. There were 109 of the 530 cases with unit weights which were in groups which had above-average response rates, and hence had their weights reduced. We round these back up to 1.

Table 5
CUMULATIVE PANEL RESPONSE RATES, 1968 THROUGH 1978
(Excluding Those Known to Have Died)

| Sulogroup Characteristic | Response Rate | Best Binary Percent <br> Variance <br> Explained <br> by Variable | Full Detail <br> Percent <br> Variance <br> Explained <br> (Eta 2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE OF INDIVIDUAL |  | . 89 | 1.71 |
| Less than 6 Years | 74 |  |  |
| 6-10 Years | 76 |  |  |
| 11-17 Years | 69 |  |  |
| 18-24 Years | 64 |  |  |
| 25-34 Years | 73 |  |  |
| 35-44 Years | 75 |  |  |
| 45-54 Years | 74 |  |  |
| 55-64 Years | 73 |  |  |
| 65-74 Years | 59 |  |  |
| 75 or older | 31 |  |  |
| SEX OF INDIVIDUAL |  | .13 | .17 |
| Male | 69 |  |  |
| Female | 73 |  |  |
| RELATION TO 1968 FAMILY HEAD |  | 1.10 | 1.76 |
| Head | 71 |  |  |
| Wife | 75 |  |  |
| Son or Daughter | 72 |  |  |
| Brother or Sister | 50 |  |  |
| Father or Mother | 39 |  |  |
| Grandchild, Niece, Nephew, Other Relatives under 18 | 63 |  |  |
| Other, Including In-laws, Other Adult Relatives | 42 |  |  |
| Husband of Head not in DU, Deceased | 14 |  |  |
| Nonsample (Appeared Later) | $100 \quad(\mathrm{n}=17)$ |  |  |
| HEAD'S UNEMPLOYMENT IN 1967 |  | $<.10$ | . 05 |
| None | 71 |  |  |
| 1-119 Hours | 69 |  |  |
| 120-479 Hours | 70 |  |  |
| 480 Hours or More | 67 |  |  |
| WIFE'S WORK HOURS IN 1967 |  | $<.10$ | . 14 |
| None, or No Wife | 70 |  |  |
| 1-499 Hours | 74 |  |  |
| 500-999 Hours | 75 |  |  |
| 1,000-1,499 Hours | 75 |  |  |
| 1,500 or More | 71 |  |  |
| SIZE OF LARGEST CITY |  | . 98 | 1.09 |
| 500,000 or More | 66 |  |  |
| 100,000-499,999 | 73 |  |  |
| 50,000-99,999 | 74 |  |  |
| 25,000-49,999 | 74 |  |  |
| 10,000-24,999 | 76 |  |  |
| Under 10,000 | 77 |  |  |
| NUMBER OF ADULTS IN FAMILY |  | . 23 | . 46 |
| One | 66 |  |  |
| Two | 74 |  |  |
| Three or More | 68 |  |  |
| AGE OF HEAD |  | . 50 | . 81 |
| Under 25 | 66 |  |  |
| 25-34 | 72 |  |  |
| 35-44 | 73 |  |  |

45-54
55-64
65-74 63
75 or Older 43
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { SEX OF } 1968 \text { HEAD } & \\ \text { Male } & & 72\end{array}$
Female 67

AGE OF YOUNGEST CHILD IN 1968
None
63
1 Year or Younger 73
2-3 Years 73
$4-5$ Years 73
6-8 Years 76
9-14 Years 71
$14-17$ Years 72
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { RACE } \\ \text { White } & 73\end{array}$
Black 70
Spanish-American 47
Other
58
MILES TO CENTER OF NEAREST CITY OF 50,000 OR MORE
Less than 5 Miles 69
5-14.9 Miles 69
15 Miles or More 74
OCCUPATION
None, Retired 64
Professional 80
Managerial 79
Self-employed 66
Businessman
Clerical, Sales 74
Craftsman 70
Operative 70
Laborer 72
Farmer 77
Protective Service, 64
etc.
MARITAL STATUS
Married
Single 65
Widowed 69
Divorced 66
Separated 65
HEAD'S EDUCATION
Can't Read or Write 64
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Can't Read or Write } & 64 \\ 0-5 \text { Grades } & 74\end{array}$
6-8 Grades 68
9-11 Grades 68
12 Grades - High School 74
12 + Nonacademic 71
Some College (13-15) 74
College Graduate (16) 81
Advanced Degree 88
MONEY INCOME/NEEDS
DECILE
Lowest
Lowest 73
Second 66
Third 70
Fourth 71
Fifth 72
Sixth 73
Seventh 72
Eighth 73
Ninth 75
Highest 74
.25
.70
.78
.74
.95
.29
.29
.40
1.05
.48
.68
.56
1.00
$<.10$
.37

REGION IN 1968
Northeast
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { North Central } & 74\end{array}$
South 73
West 69
NUMBER OF CHILDREN IN
1968 FAMILY
None 63
One 69
Two 74
Three 72
Four 75
Five 71
Six 73
Seven 77
Eight 64
Nine or More 73

The process does not adjust for the overall cumulative nonresponse of 29.2 percent, so the average weight of the 12,360 cases still in the sample remains unchanged, and, instead of a unit weight representing 400 people, it now represents about 565. However, aggregates should not be estimated by using the inverted samplingresponse rate as a multiplier, since that compounds sampling variances. One should use means or proportions from the sample, and combine them with Census estimates of the aggregate number of families or individuals involved.

This allows us to assign an individual weight to the 1968 sample individuals still in the sample; but we must still assign weights to those born to sample members during the period, depending on whether one or both parents is a sample member, and must assign family weights, depending on the weight of the head and of the wife, if any. The process was as follows: Each individual in Groups \#6 and \#4 is given an individual weight based on the 1968 weight of the source family (which is also the 1968 individual weight), adjusted for differential cumulative nonresponse from 1968 through the 1978 interviews, for whichever of the 13 subgroups he/she is in. Each 1978 family is given family weights equal to the average of the weights of Head and Wife, or the head's weight if there is no wife.

Table 6
RELATION OF NEW WEIGHT TO ORIGINAL 1968 WEIGHT--INDIVIDUALS


Old Weight: Mean $=28.7$, Minimum $=1$, Maximum $=64$, Standard Deviation = 21
New Weight: Mean $=28.0$, Minimum $=1$, Maximum $=93$, Standard Deviation $=22$

The old weight was adjusted for sampling rates, sample overlap, and original nonresponse. The new weight incorporates additional adjustment for cumulative differential nonresponse 1969 through 1978, around the average of $29.2 \%$. Each unit of the weight now represents approximately 565 households rather than the original 400 because we did not adjust also for the overall average cumulative nonresponse.

In the new weight, the 109 cases between 0.5 and 0.99 were rounded up to 1 .
individual weights equal to the average Head-and-Wife weights of
their 1978 family. This adjusts for the possibility that only one parent was a sample member by cutting the newborn individual's weight in half. There may be a very few cases where this is overdone--if sample parents separate and the parent who kept the child or children subsequently acquired a nonsample spouse.*
*
There were four families where neither the head, nor wife, if any, were sample members. In these cases we assumed that the family had one extra chance of being selected in the sample that was equal to the probability incorporated in the average weight of the sample individuals in that family. We also assume similar probabilities of staying in the Panel. Hence, the family weight assigned was the average weight of the sample individuals cut in half.

Group \#1, the nonsample individuals in the 1978 families, will be assigned zero individual weights but will be given the new family weights of the 1978 families they are part of (the reason being that sometimes we want to look at all the individuals in the current sample and have some reasonable weight for them, e.g., in analysis of intra-family transfers). Users doing individual analysis with the individual weight and using statistical programs which accommodate weights will see the nonsample individuals (with zero weights) automatically excluded. Users of a family file using family weights will not be affected by this, since no family has a zero weight. The advantage of these new weights and the logic behind them is that:
(1) We by-pass earlier complex adjustments made since 1968 and make a single cumulative nonresponse adjustment, minimizing sample fluctuations in estimation of differential nonresponse.
(2) We do not have to locate the parents of each child born into the sample to determine whether one or both was a sample member, but use the parents as of 1978. This may introduce an occasional error where remarriage to a nonsample person occurs after a birth where both natural parents were Panel members.
From 1979 onward, the weights will be adjusted each year: (a) to give children born into the sample weights equal to the average individual weights of their parents and (b) to cut in half the family weight of any family which has acquired a nonsample spouse or Head, i.e., where a sample member marries a nonsample member. Additional adjustments for nonresponse in 1979 or later should depend on the level of nonresponse, but at current levels would only be necessary at intervals of about five years.

Users of the 1978 family-individual file should be able to select sample individuals for analysis by discarding those with zero individual weights. Those using the family file and the family weight will properly represent a national sample of families as of 1978. But, as always, the multi-year family file represents the past of a sample of 1978 families, not a representative sample of 1977 or earlier families.

It is much simpler to follow individuals in the Panel over time, making use of all the information about the family situation each year of whatever family that individual was part of, than to follow families which change their composition. The latter requires dealing with splitoff families resulting from divorce, children leaving home and starting new households, etc. The multi-year family file in these cases replicates the earlier year family record and attaches it to each of the present-year families that sprang from that parent family. Selecting only those families with the same head each year has the disadvantage of eliminating families where a widow remains, or households containing sample members but not the previous head. A more comprehensive group of families that does not contain duplicates in the early years can be selected by including only those for whom the variable "color of coversheet" indicates a main family (in 1972 there are four codes for this variable), not splitoff, each year since the first one being considered (V's 5707, 5207, 4307, 3807, 3407, 2407, 1806, 1106, 909).
(3) Adjustments for children born to parents after they have been lost to the sample--a kind of nonresponse not reflected in a 1968 individual who disappears--are implicitly done by adjusting parents' weights for nonresponse and giving children born and still in the sample the weights of their parents. For example, if we lost more low-income black young people, then those we do have, and their children, would be given larger weights to eliminate that bias.

Part 2: 1978 Questionnaire
The following is a copy of the questionnaire used in 1978 with the variable numbers from the merged family tape. Where no variable number appears, the information has been transferred to a worksheet.

Part 4: Coding Procedures

## Introduction

After each interview has been edited as described in Part 3, the remaining information is coded. This process converts nonnumerical answers into numbers. With open-ended questions, it is a matter of some importance how reliable this coding process is and, particularly in a panel study, whether the procedures are stable from one year to the next. Systematically changed procedures can do more damage than a little random error. The stability of this process from year to year, or coding "drift," has been studied; the results may be found in the 1973 manual.* In this section only the question of reliability, or intercoder variance, is dealt with. This reliability is essentially a measure of the ambiguity of the codes and accuracy of the coders.

This year the Direct Data Entry system was used in coding. This system bypasses the keypunch operation. The Coding Section has staff to help in the design of the screens. They work with the Panel Study staff to work out internal consistency checks and any problems special to a particular study.

Coders are trained by a member of the staff before they are allowed to production-code interviews. Training consists of a short talk on the history and purpose of the study and answers to questions the coders may have about the study in general. The coders are then required to code two practice interviews which illustrate some of the problems that might be encountered during production-coding.

Approximately 10 percent of the interviews (613) were coded twice--once by the coder and a second time by the staff member (or check coder). Check coding consists of an item-by-item check of the coded values independently coded by a second person. This enables the staff to determine before many interviews have been coded whether any coder is having difficulty and if any particular codes are causing unnecessary problems.

A difference is a disagreement between the coder and the check coder. Differences become errors when they are so judged by the check coder. Most errors which are not caught during check coding are discovered and corrected during data cleaning operations. This procedure assumes that when the coder and check coder are in agreement, no error was made.
*
Procedures and Tape Codes, 1973 Interviewing Year, Wave VI, a Supplement, Institute for Social Research, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 1973, pp. 45-61.

## Coding Errors

There were more errors this year because we picked up some keypunch errors with the Direct Data Entry system (DDE). With DDE, some of the inconsistencies were caught during the coding operation and corrected.

The errors in variables 145 and 147 (Interviewer's ID \# and Date of Interview) were random errors that occur when a large block of numbers are being punched at one time. It is easy to get off-punch and not realize it.

There were some problems with variable 149 (\# in FU) because of the design of the Family Listing Sheet. This year there was a box at the top of the sheet for the total number on the listing sheet. This number included the people who had moved out this year. The coders would sometimes code that number instead of counting only those who were in the $F U$ at the time of the interview. The problem was cleared up in time. This variable was consistency-checked later, and it is correct on the tape. The Family Listing Sheet will be fixed for the 1979 questionnaire.

The errors in variable 153 (\# of Children) were caused by the coders counting all those labeled children rather than just those

Variable 225 (D.55.) had a confusing format, which caused errors in following the right contingencies. The format has been changed in the 1979 questionnaire.

## Coding Disagreements

Question B.2., "Is it (public transportation) good enough so that a person could use it to get to work?" (V158), attempts to get a subjective evaluation of the adequacy of public transportation in the respondent's area. Major disagreements in this question are between code 1, good, and code 3, pro-con, and between codes 1 and 9, not ascertained. Sometimes respondents' replies were not very clear, and there was difficulty in deciding whether or not additional remarks included qualifications.

Questions C.24. and C.27. (V's 168 and 171) use the same code for why the respondent has moved since the previous interview, if he has, and why he might move in the future, if he might. The majority of disagreements in these variables were between code 8 and codes 5, 6 , and 7 . Code 8 is a catch-all category; ambiguous or mixed reasons are put there, and in the variety of responses it is easy to put complicated replies into it.

Most of the disagreements in variable 186 (D.16. "When a job like yours becomes available, would there be many qualified people ready and eager to get it, very few, or what?") were because some of the respondents answered the question, "Some." Although it was in the code, it was not in the interview as a category, and the coders would put it in "other."

Disagreements about question D.22. "Why is it (the new job) (better/worse)?" (V192) were a matter of interpretation of the answers given by the respondents. They didn't always use the same wording that was in the code.

The disagreements on question D.44. "How is that?" (how the respondent was paid if not salaried or hourly) (V213) were caused by there not being clear definitions of some of the categories. Also, some respondents were not clear on just how they were paid. Question D.45. "If you worked an extra hour, how much would you earn for that hour?" (V214) goes with question D.44., and, again, the problem was that respondents who answered that question were not sure or clear in their answers.
D.67. and D.78. "How did they help?" (V's 235 and 246) were new questions this year. The respondents did not always answer the question in terms of the code. It was difficult to fit the responses into the code.

Question D.70. "How much say do you think they had?" (V238) was also a new question. The disagreements arose as a matter of interpreting the answers given by the respondents and the respondents not being clear in their answers.

Question F.3. "How did you happen to retire when you did?" (V305, second mention) had disagreements in interpreting the respondent's second mention, which was usually less clear than the first mention.

The disagreements on question F.14. "Generally speaking, how do you feel about your life since retirement?" (V316) were between codes 1, very good, very favorable, enthusiastic, and 2, good, favorable, or codes 4, bad, not good, unfavorable, and 5, very bad, very unfavorable, terrible.

Summary of Reliability
Table 5
RELIABILITY SCORES
Errors 1.5 percent or over:

| Question Number | Variable Number | Percent Error |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| ---------- | --------------1.6 |  |
| Interviewer's ID | V145 | 1.6 |
| Date of Interview | V147 | 3.9 |
| $\#$ in FU | V149 | 1.8 |
| $\#$ of Children | V153 | 1.9 |
| D.56. | V225 | 2.1 |

Disagreements 1.5 percent or over:

| Question Number | Variable Number | Percent Disagreement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 - | V158 | 2.1 |
| B.2. | V168 | 3.4 |
| C.22. | V171 | 7.0 |
| C.25. | V186 | 2.9 |
| D.16. | V192 | 4.1 |
| D.22. | V213 | 1.8 |
| D. 44. | V214 | 1.9 |
| D.45. | V235 | 7.5 |
| D.67. | V238 | 2.1 |
| D.70. | V246 | 4.4 |
| D.78. | V305 | 1.6 |
| F.3. (second mention) | V316 | 2.6 |

Overall coding error rates for 1978 are 1.04 per interview. Although most of the coding staff was new this year, not only to coding the Panel Study but to the Direct Data Entry system, they were an accurate group.

## Part 5: Generated Variables and Additional Data

Various indexes, bracket variables, and complex measures of economic status have been constructed each year using variables derived directly from coded interview data. Each year changes in the interview schedule have made additions and deletions of indexes necessary. In general, if an index could not be built to be exactly comparable to a previous index, no index was constructed.

## Income

Several measures of economic status have been generated for all eleven years, including money income variables and measures of income adequacy. Family Money Income, one of the simplest indexes, is the total of all family members' earnings, transfers, and capital income (1978: V6173). Total real income and net real income could not be created in 1978 because there was no information about nonmoney income.

## Ratio of Income to Needs

Measurement of a family's economic status requires comparison of the family's income with some measure of its needs. A full description of the needs standard used by the Panel Study is found in our documentation volume for Wave VII, 1974. For analytical purposes, a convenient measure of this relationship is expressed by a ratio of family income to family needs. Total Family Money Income (V6173) divided by Annual Need Standard (V5758) is the only income to needs ratio available for 1978 (V6176). Note that the need standard is not adjusted for inflation.

Bracket Variables
Several numerical variables, such as family money income, had been, until Wave X, given also as bracket (interval) codes. Such interval codes had been constructed for most of the measures where a distribution was useful and appropriate. This includes practically all of the income variables and their components. For Waves X and XT we have provided in the Tape Codes three pieces of information which allow a user to bracket as his own uses dictate: (1) percent 0, (2) percent non 0, and (3) Mean Value of non 0. This information is provided for any variable for which a bracket was provided in 1976.

## Race

Because the 1978 interview schedule was designed for telephone use, race of respondent, which comes from interviewer observation, has not been obtained for several years. Respondents were assigned race from 1972 data. In the case of splitoffs, race was assigned from 1972 data of the main family (V6209).

In addition to personality and behavior, locational and environmental factors are potentially important determinants of an individual's economic status. Consequently, the interview data have been supplemented with information on the employment and income characteristics of the county where the panel family lives. Questionnaires are sent each year to state employment offices asking about current labor market conditions in these counties.

Low Income Tax Credit
An "earned income credit" was available for Federal Income Tax Year 1977 to low-income workers who maintained a household and had dependent children. The credit may provide a refund or subsidy up to $\$ 400$. We have created this as a separate variable (V6196). It can be subtracted from Total Estimated Federal Income Tax of Head and Wife (V5800) to find the added savings in taxes or income subsidy that it gives low-income families.

Eligibility for the credit was figured for Heads and Wives in the following way: (1) assuming that all Heads maintain a household (pay at least half the expenses of the household), then (2) Number of Children in Family Unit Under 18 (V5853) must be greater than zero, and (3) Earned Income, Total Taxable Income of Head and Wife (V5796) minus Rent, Interest, Dividends, etc. (V5794) must be greater than zero and less than $\$ 8,000$ because the credit is based on earned income, and any credit disappears at earned income of $\$ 8,000$.

The credit was computed in the following manner: (1) From Total Taxable Income of Head and Wife (V5796), subtract $\$ 4,000$ with negative amounts equaling zero; (2) subtract $10 \%$ of the amount in (1) from 10\% of Earned Income as described in above paragraph (this amount not to exceed \$400). For example:


## Marital Status

We have asked a new series of Marital Status questions in the interview for the last two years. V6197 is a recoding of these new questions to make Marital Status comparable to past years. In all years before 1977, a respondent's answer to Marital Status was edited to conform to our definitions. (See Tape Code V's 6034-6036.)

This year we also created a "Year-to-Year Change in Marital Status" variable (V6219) comparable to last year's V's 5672-5680. These variables reflect Head's Change in Marital Status for each pair of succeeding interview years.

Part 6: Data Available
For each year of this study, both an individual unit and a family unit tape have been created. In addition, the family tape has been merged with the previous years' family tapes so that there are two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, and eleven year merged family tapes. The individual tapes were merged on five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, and eleven year bases only. Two tapes have also been created using the 1967 S.E.O. data for that part of the sample that was originally interviewed by the Census.

For a detailed description of these tapes, see A Panel Study of Income Dynamics, Volume I, 1972. Briefly, the annual family tapes include one record for each family interviewed that year. The family-individual tapes contain one record for each individual in these families. Included on each record is information specific to the individual plus all the data for the family in which the person was living that year.

The eleven-year merged family tape contains all eleven years of data for every family interviewed in 1978 (including the 1976 wives' data). The record for a family which was formed after 1968 contains
the data for the main family for the years before the new unit split
off. The eleven-year individual tape contains the data for the family in which the individual was living each of the eleven years and all eleven years of individual information. The tape contains records for the following individuals:
a. Sample members living in the Panel families (or in institutions) in 1968 through 1978.
b. Sample members who were born after 1968. The individual data for these children contain zeros for the years before they were born except for their 1968 person numbers.
c. Sample members who were living in Panel families in 1968 but who subsequently died or moved out and were not followed. The records for the years after these members left contain zeros. Their weight is also zero. These records should only be used to generate 1968 family composition variables (e.g., number of preschool children). A few of these persons have moved back into the Panel. Data was again inserted for the years in which they have been present. 1978 weights are present for such persons if they are still in the Panel.
d. Nonsample members living with Panel families in 1978 who moved in after 1968. Individual information before they moved in contains zeros, except for the 1968 person numbers, and their weight is also zero.

There is a variable on the merged individual tape specifying the type of individual record for years one through five only. This may, however, be updated by the user. This tape is very long
(approximately 20,000 records with 10,500 tape locations), so machine capacity should be considered before attempting analysis on this tape.

All inquiries for information about this study should be made in writing to: Member Services, Inter-University Consortium for Political and Social Research, Institute for Social Research, University of Michigan, P.O. Box 1248, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106. Refer to Project 457683.

Part 7: Notes on Use of Data
Tricky Aspects of a Self-Replacing Panel
In order to use panel data, one must understand the demography of populations that are continually being replaced. The average age of such a population does not change, even though each member ages, because each year a few very old people die and are "replaced" by some very young ones. Due to this replacement, a panel containing the same families never represents aggregate trends.

The Panel data allow one to look at the history of any family which contains sample members in the most recent year, but this implies the introduction of duplicate family records for earlier years in cases where the members of that early family have divided into two or more current ones. To average the 1967 income for all families in the 1978 Panel, then, does not give a measure of the average income of all families in 1967. Restricting the analysis to families with the same heads of households all eleven years may eliminate too much; the "same Head" subgroup is excellent for following fortunes of people over the period, but not for describing national trends. For instance, the splitoffs, who are mostly just entering the labor force, suffer the most unemployment, move the most, have the largest increases in income.

For some purposes, it might prove optimal to study year-to-year changes for all units with the same head for those two years, minimizing the population turnover problem. For others, it is clearly best to look at individuals so only those who die or disappear are lost. Means for these individuals or their subgroups will, except for nonresponse, represent national trends.

## Employment Sequences

The user may have observed that each Head of household is asked a different sequence of questions, based on his reply to Question D1, "We would like to know about your (HEAD'S) present job--are you (HEAD) working now, looking for work, retired, a housewife, or what?" Current employment status may be irrelevant to 1978 labor force participation, especially in these times of high unemployment;
therefore, we have continued with an index which tabulates all
variables in the sequence V5873-V6033, as some of the questions in each of the three employment-related sections are similar. Please see Section III Part 4, for further details.

On Creating a Family Tape From the Merged Eleven-Year Individual Tape
Since the eleven-year individual tape is very unwieldy with its almost 21,000 cases and 10,500 tape locations, and the researcher might well be interested in analyzing the data largely from a family basis, it was thought helpful to append suggestions on the creation of a family file from the individual data.

The structure of the individual file combines family data for each person in the family unit with that person's unique individual information. Each individual is assigned a unique sequence number (V6414) which indicates that person's position on the 1978 list of people in the family; thus, the first person listed is 01 , the second person listed is 02 , and so on. To create a family file, it is necessary only to write off onto a new tape those cases where V6414 = 01, since each family must have at least one member, although it may or may not have two or more. It is suggested that V6414 be used as opposed to V6415, relationship to Head, because although each family has one and only one current Head (i.e., where V6414 = 01-20), it is possible that the head of the family has moved out since the previous interview and a new Head has become ensconced. Relationship to Head of movers-out is coded with reference to last year's Head, so for both the current head and the previous head, V6415 $=1$.

## College Ratings

Four college ratings for college attended by Head and four college ratings for college attended by Wife were added to the data set in Wave VIII (1975). These ratings were updated in Wave IX (1976) only for new Heads and new Wives; i.e., most of the 1976 variables are coded zero.

The best way to use these variables is to sum each pair, as one of the pair will always be zero.

Head: V4216+V5088, V4217+V5089, V4218+V5090, V4219+V5091
Wife: V4220+V5092, V4221+V5093, V4222+V5094, V4223+V5095.

Part 8: Implementing the Hierarchical Data Base
This is a revised version of a paper presented at the American Statistical Association meeting in August 1978 by Paula A. Pelletier and Michael A. Nolte of the PSID staff. It is included in this documentation volume in order to acquaint users with recent data processing innovations in the construction of the cross-year Family-Individual file.

## INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to acquaint the users of the panel Study of Income Dynamics database with recent changes in local data processing procedures. Each yearly addition of data is now incorporated into the database through OSIRIS.IV structured file processing procedures. Although the database will continue to be distributed in the rectangular format of previous years, it will be generated as an OSIRIS.IV structured or hierarchical file. The following discussion outlines the factors leading to the implementation of a hierarchical database, provides a general overview of the structure of the PSID database, and explains, in brief, the OSIRIS.IV procedures necessary for its creation and maintenance.

Because the PSID continues to follow families (and individuals within these families) from year to year, the complexity of the data management process has grown in proportion to the database. Coping with change in family composition while remaining within an annual processing schedule is one dimension of this problem. Maintenance of within-year and cross-year data consistency is yet another.

During the first five years of data collection, problems arising
in merging and updating cross-year data were relatively easy to solve
within the constraints of the then existing computing environment. Unfortunately as the database continued to expand, a variety of hardware and software limitations began to hamper the data management process. By the ninth year of data collection, the PSID
Family-Individual File resided on five 1600 BPI tape reels, with an $N$ of 21,000 and a logical record length of 9381 bytes per case. 1 Processing a file of this magnitude, while not impossible, consumed an extreme amount of computing and person time. Because of this the PSID study staff began to seek alternative processing methods.

1
By contrast, the 10 Year structured file occupied the equivalent of 1.4 1600BPI tape reels.

## STRUCTURED FILE DEVELOPMENT

The PSID Database--Basic Requirements

Before moving to a structured file system, the PSID data management staff spent a considerable amount of time developing an abstract structure, or schema, that would provide for optimal organization of the study's data. Since any proposed structure had to satisfy all data retrieval needs for PSID researchers, the schema required would minimally have to provide for the retrieval of: a current year family file, a cross-year family file, a current year family-individual file, and a cross-year family-individual file. 2 At the same time, study staff were aware of the potential needs of non-ISR users of the database. Most, if not all, users of PSID data require rectangularized data records. Moreover, new versions of the database are expected to be received in a format that is compatible with previous versions. As a result, any rectangular file retrieved from the structured database would have to be compatible in all respects with previous datasets and with their documentation.

2
The current year family and the cross-year family files each contain one family level data record for each sample family responding in the current year; additionally, each record in the cross-year family file is preceded by its family data from all previous years. The current-year and the cross-year family-individual files contain one record for each individual who is a member of a responding family in the current year. The current-year familyindividual file contains each family member's individual level data preceded by his or her family level information for the current year. A record on the cross-year family-individual file consists of $N$ years of family data followed by $N$ years of individual level records for each person.

The Complexity of Family Structure

Over the years the PSID data management staff have become as concerned with the accurate representation of family composition as they have been with the accurate assessment of family economic structure. Since many of the derived income measures, (e.g., the ratio of family income to family needs) are based on the number of people living in the household, it is essential that the correct individuals appear on the interview schedule. This would seem to be a simple task, but since the PSID follows all new families that split off from the original 1968 root (sample) family, it is not uncommon to find individuals who move between different sample families. Other common changes in family structure, such as divorce and remarriage (to original or new partners), as well as less common occurrences such as marriage between members of different 1968 root families (three such cases exist at present), do much to complicate all aspects of PSID data handling procedures.

The PSID database is bounded by three elements: family, individual, and time. Within each year's data, there exists a natural hierarchy since each set of individual records is linked to one family record. However, the introduction of the time element destroys the usefulness of the simple family-individual hierarchy. As has been mentioned previously, a family may experience a great deal of structural change over time: sample members leave and start their own
homes (and may later reenter their original family), new sample
members are born in, and non-sample members move in and out. Because these family changes are so widespread and because individuals frequently move between sample families over time, it is often difficult to link a set of individuals who are living together as a family in the current year to one common family history. Therefore a family-individual hierarchy comprised of all the past years of family data followed by past individual records of all individuals currently residing in that family will not work. Individuals who are living together now may have been members of different families in previous years. Linking them all to one set of supposedly shared past family records would be inaccurate. Also, when there are radical changes in family structure over time it is often quite difficult to devise a rule that determines which branch family is most representative of the 1968 root family line.

Developing the Family History Concept

The notion that the PSID follows families over time has been the source of much confusion. From a data management perspective, the PSID does not follow families from year to year; rather, it follows individuals who aggregate themselves into families at discrete points in time. The study collects family data each year and introduces new sample members who are born into a family into the database, but to the data processing staff, "family" is a construct imposed on a collection of individuals who happen to be living together at a given point in time.

Two examples of cases that would not be adequately represented by a simple family-individual hierarchy follow: The first is a situation where sample daughter $X$ moves between her parental family and the family of an older sister. Both of these families are sample families with separate records on our file. In order to perform an accurate analysis of daughter X's data, her individual record for each year must be linked to the family in which she resided that year. A second example is that of a husband and wife who split up in the past into separate families for a few years and later recombine. In order to have an accurate assessment of a person's economic well-being over time, the individual must be linked to all of his or her previous family records, even if that family line has been abandoned.

The construct of family history was developed to deal with this problem of linking individuals to their correct past family records. A family history is simply all the yearly family records that one individual has moved through over time. In most PSID sample families, the majority of respondents share similar family histories. However, in structurally unstable family units many different family histories may be present. Figure 1 presents an example of a fictional family that has undergone a moderate amount of change in ten years. This family (1968 ID = 1010) will be used as our example on the following pages.

In Figure 1 a fictional family (1968 ID = 1010) starts off with a father, mother, 2 sons and one daughter in 1968. In 1969 the younger brother (person \# 04) "split off" from his parental family and started his own household, taking his younger sister (person \# 07) along with him. This sister lived in her brother's split-off family for the years 1969 and 1970. In 1971 she left her brother's household and rejoined her parents' household unit.

By 1977, two families (1977 ID's 1019 and 4048) are interviewed. Four people remain in the original "root" household and the brother (person \# 04) still lives by himself. The important thing to note is that in 1978, family 1019 will have 2 family histories for 4 individuals.

The OSIRIS Structured File Facility

In order to comprehend the structure of the PSID database it is necessary to have a basic understanding of the OSIRIS.IV structured file facility In OSIRIS.IV a structured dataset is built from one or more individual rectangular files via SBUILD. Each rectangular dataset becomes one or more groups in the structured dataset. Later, when a structured dataset is retrieved as an input to an OSIRIS.IV program, the user provides rules to govern how the groups are to be arranged to create rectangular records called entries. These rules
are supplied within an OSIRIS.IV procedure called ENTRY, using a set of instructions called the Entry Definition Language. Thus structured files in OSIRIS.IV are created by SBUILD and accessed by analysis programs according to the rules of the Entry Definition Language.

When creating a structured file within OSIRIS.IV the user describes the hierarchical relationships within the data to the SBUILD program by means of structure definition statements. This logical description of the hierarchy is called a schema. An actual occurrence of a schema, analogous to a case in a rectangular file, is known as an instance.

Figure 1: Chart of 1968 Seed (root) Family '1010'

| Year | Main Family |  |  |  | \| Split-off Family |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ID | Person <br> Number | Sex | Age | I ID | Person Number | Sex | Age |
| 1968 | 1010 | 01 | m | 45 | \| |  |  |  |
|  |  | 02 | f | 43 | \| |  |  |  |
|  |  | 03 | m | 25 | \| |  |  |  |
|  |  | 04 | m | 24 | \| |  |  |  |
|  |  | 07 | f | 11 | \| |  |  |  |
| 1969 | 1011 | 01 | m | 46 | \| 4040 | 04 | m | 25 |
|  |  | 02 | f | 44 | \| | 07 | f | 12 |
|  |  | 03 | m | 26 | \| |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \| 4041 |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 1012 | 01 02 | m | 47 45 | \| 4041 | $\begin{aligned} & 04 \\ & 07 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{m} \\ \mathrm{f} \end{gathered}$ | 26 13 |
|  |  | 31 | f | 01 | \| |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 1013 | 01 | m | 48 | \| 4042 | 04 | m | 27 |
|  |  | 02 | f | 46 | \| |  |  |  |
|  |  | 31 | f | 02 | \| |  |  |  |
|  |  | 07 | f | 14 | \| |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 1014 | 01 | m | 49 | \| 4043 | 04 | m | 28 |
|  |  | 02 | f | 47 | \| |  |  |  |
|  |  | 31 | f | 03 | \| |  |  |  |
|  |  | 07 | f | 15 | \| |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | \| 4044 |  |  |  |
| 1973 | 1015 | 01 02 | m | 50 48 | \| 4044 | 04 | m | 29 |
|  |  | 31 | f | 04 | \| |  |  |  |
|  |  | 07 | f | 16 | \| |  |  |  |
|  | - |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | . |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | . |  |  |  | I |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 1018 | 01 | m | 53 | \| 4047 | 04 | m | 32 |
|  |  | 02 | f | 51 | \| 404 | 04 | m | 32 |
|  |  | 31 | f | 07 | \| |  |  |  |
|  |  | 07 | f | 19 | , |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 1019 | 01 | m | 54 | \| 4048 | 04 | m | 33 |
|  |  | 02 | f | 52 | , 4048 |  | m | 33 |
|  |  | 31 | f | 08 | \| |  |  |  |
|  |  | 07 | f | 20 | , |  |  |  |

Since OSIRIS.IV data sets are constructed and stored sequentially, there are no pointers to link data records together. Instead, OSIRIS.IV "links" its records to each other through sort fields generated by the SBUILD program. These sort fields contain identification variables. Each record contains, as well as its own unique ID, the ID of every other record in the path from it to the root of the tree structure that describes its instance. The structured file produced by SBUILD is arranged in ascending order on these sort fields.

A disadvantage of this sequential access method is that it is necessary to read all preceding records in order to retrieve a
particular record, and to copy the entire file to add or delete a record. It should be stressed, however, that this disadvantage is more than outweighed by the consideration of storage costs. At the present time, direct access storage is not cost effective for large databases, since cost/byte for tape storage is several orders of magnitude less than that for disk storage. Furthermore, the direct access storage devices of many computing installations lack the physical capacity to encompass an extremely large database such as the PSID. An additional consideration is that social science statistical analysis usually involves accessing an entire data file or a significant subset of one; in many cases sequential processing of a tape file may be more efficient than sequential processing of a direct access file. 3

As a data record is input to SBUILD during the build process, sort fields are attached to the beginning of that record. After all records are processed, the entire file is sorted. This sorting produces a file of instances that is arranged in an order that approximates a left to right preorder traversal of the tree structure. Starting with the root node, the nodes may be accessed in accordance with the following set of "directions": 1.) down the left-most branch, 2.) across (left to right) any sibling nodes at the same level, and 3.) up one level to the next node. 4

3
See Robert F. Teitel, "A Relational Database Approach to Social Science Computing" (1977).

4
The method used by OSIRIS.IV to read a structured data file while retaining its hierarchical pattern is the preorder traversal mentioned above.

Retrieval

Once a data set has been transformed into a hierarchical structure the user next has to develop rules for retrieval of the data. This is done via special ENTRY retrieval instructions which the user includes with the OSIRIS accessing program. These instructions are provided by default through the original SBUILD process; they may be overridden to suit the needs of the analyst.

In order to understand how OSIRIS.IV accomplishes retrieval of structured file records, the user should consider the output records resulting from SBUILD. These records are stored sequentially, whether on magnetic tape or direct access storage device. The records themselves are of varying length (VBS format) and composition; for example, a family level record of length 800 may be followed by an individual data record of length 40. As each record is read, ENTRY examines that record's identification fields (i.e., its sort fields) to determine the group and level with which the record is associated. ENTRY then makes use of an algorithm in which the sort fields of the current record are compared to the saved sort field of the previous record. If a change in ID field has occurred, the program recognizes that it has reached the end of a "branch" and has come upon a "leaf" (a leaf is a node in a tree structure having no subsidiary nodes).

When the end of a leaf is signalled -- that is, when the identification fields change at the unit of analysis level -- all the information associated with the branch on which that leaf appears is "evaluated". The ENTRY procedure now has to decide what to do with the data associated with the leaf. Depending on the criteria specified by the user within the Entry Definition Language, the data will or will not be passed to the OSIRIS.IV accessing program. After the pass/no-pass evaluation is made and conditions of the entry have been met, new data (indicated by changed sort fields in the new record) replace the previously saved data at the appropriate level.

Instructions within ENTRY allow the user to specify when an entry is to be evaluated, what information should be present before ENTRY passes a complete record to the accessing program, and which identification fields determine a particular leaf. By manipulating the Entry Definition Language, the user can impose a new structure on a particular structured file without rebuilding it. ENTRY is thus an extremely powerful tool for the analyst.

Figure 2: Schema -- logical structure of PSID data.


OSIRIS and the PSID Database

Figure 2 presents the logical structure, i.e., the schema of the PSID analysis data base (this example is based on the 10 -year Family-Individual file). At the top, or root, is the most current year's family record; level 2 contains the family history records; and level 3 contains the individual/year data. Each of these nodes represents a different record type, i.e., a distinctly different group of variables. All the individuals living in family $X$ during year $Y$ have their past individual records (level 3) linked to the family records of which they have been part in the past (level 2) and to the current family record (level 1).

Within the SBUILD setup the user must define ID and/or link variables for each group. These ID numbers are the basis of the sort fields which are attached to the front of each record.

Figure 3 presents a PSID instance as it would appear within an OSIRIS structured dataset. For simplicity each record is identified only by its node or group number.

Figure 4 is an actual representation of what the sort fields would look like for a sample family '1019' in 1977. Each record, as well as having the identification field appropriate to its own level, also has the identification fields of any records above it in the specific instance hierarchy.

Figure 3: Instance -- an actual occurrence of the schema (Family '1019')


Note that two digit constants are generated by SBUILD to distinguish between groups at the same level sharing identical sort ID's. For example, groups 02 through 10 as level 2 records have the same 1977 ID and family history number sort fields, but are differentiated by constants in the range 01-09. Constants are inserted by SBUILD as the component portions of the data structure are processed. When all input data have been processed, SBUILD sorts the resulting file; constants are used to place group records at the same level in the schema into the output structured file in proper sequence.

To retrieve any subset of the database the analyst must first conceptualize an appropriate sub-schema.5 The user gives OSIRIS.IV retrieval instructions via ENTRY in order to get the sub-instances defined by the sub-schema. Figure 5 outlines the basic ENTRY instructions necessary to implement typical PSID sub-schemas. The Entry Definition Language defines the sub-schema; the ENTRY procedure passes each sub-instance to the analysis program upon execution. 6

5
A sub-schema is a user's view of the data for a given piece of analysis.

6
Certain additional instructions may be necessary to allow for such circumstances as missing data due to non-response in one or more years. These instructions have been eliminated in our examples for the sake of simplicity.

## CONCLUSIONS

In this discussion we have documented the PSID experience in developing a sequentially ordered hierarchical database. With each additional year of data the PSID database has become larger and more complex. Original data management techniques were made obsolete by hardware and software limitations. To overcome these limitations, the rectangular data format was abandoned in favor of a structured data format. In the move to a new database structure we have learned lessons which may prove interesting to others engaged in planning or designing database systems for longitudinal studies. The PSID staff have discovered from experience that:
1.) Designing a database that will fulfill both the requirements of the analysis staff and the data management personnel may require a large amount of time, energy and money.
2.) In the initial stages of a longitudinal study, it is important to retain maximum flexibility in storing collected data. When data are collected on a variety of levels, all interrelationships (and hence the real structure of the data) may alter over time in ways which were unexpected at the start of the study. Any database must be flexible enough to accommodate these new levels of complexity.
3.) Close contact with the research and analysis staff (as well as outside users) is necessary at all points in the development process. Study planners should be aware that analysis interests may change during the course of their study. It is important to take analysis needs, both present and anticipated, into account when developing a database structure.
4.) It is necessary to have a thorough understanding of how the structured file software operates in order to conceptualize methods for its use.

Figure 4: OSIRIS.IV Sort Fields (generated by SBUILD)

| Group \| Number | | Level 1 |  | Level 2 | \| | Level 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ------- | FH \# | Constant | \| 1968 | ID PER \# | Constant | Data |
| 01 | 1019 |  |  | \| |  |  | 77 F |
| 02 \| | 1019 | 1 | 01 | \| |  |  | 68 F |
| 03 \| | 1019 | 1 | 02 | \| |  |  | 69 F |
| 04 | 1019 | 1 | 03 | \| |  |  | 70 F |
| 05 \| | 1019 | 1 | 04 | \| |  | \| | 71 F |
| 06 \| | 1019 | 1 | 05 | \| |  |  | 72 F |
| 07 \| | 1019 | 1 | 06 | I |  |  | 73 F |
| 08 \| | 1019 | 1 | 07 | \| |  | \| | 74 F |
| 09 | 1019 | 1 | 08 | \| |  |  | 75 F |
| 10 \| | 1019 | 1 | 09 | \| |  |  | 76 F |
| 68 \| | 1019 | 1 | 09 | 1010 | 01 | 01 | 68 I |
| 69 \| | 1019 | 1 | 09 | 1010 | 01 | 02 | 69 I |
| 70 \| | 1019 | 1 | 09 | 1010 | 01 | 03 \| | 701 |
| 71 \| | 1019 | 1 | 09 | 1010 | 01 | 04 | 71 I |
| 72 \| | 1019 | 1 | 09 | \| 1010 | 01 | 05 | 72 I |


| 73 | 1019 | 1 | 09 | 1010 | 01 | 06 | 73 I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74 | 1019 | 1 | 09 | 1010 | 01 | 07 | 74 |
| 75 | 1019 | 1 | 09 | 1010 | 01 | 08 | 75 |
| 76 | 1019 | 1 | 09 | 1010 | 01 | 09 | 76 |
| 77 | 1019 | 1 | 09 | 1010 | 01 | 10 | 77 |
| 68 | 1019 | 1 | 09 | 1010 | 02 | 01 | 68 I |
|  | . |  |  | . |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77 | 1019 | 1 | 09 | 1010 | 31 | 10 | 77 I |
| 68 | 1019 | 1 | 09 | 1010 | 31 | 01 | 68 I |
|  | . |  |  | . |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77 | 1019 | 1 | 09 | 1010 | 31 | 10 | 77 I |
| 02 | 1019 | 2 | 01 |  |  |  | 68 F |
| 03 | 1019 | 2 | 02 |  |  |  | 69 F |
| 04 | 1019 | 2 | 03 |  |  |  | 70 F |
| 05 | 1019 | 2 | 04 |  |  |  | 71 F |
| 06 | 1019 | 2 | 05 |  |  |  | 72 F |
| 07 | 1019 | 2 | 06 |  |  |  | 73 F |
| 08 | 1019 | 2 | 07 |  |  |  | 74 F |
| 09 | 1019 | 2 | 08 |  |  |  | 75 F |
| 10 | 1019 | 2 | 09 |  |  |  | 76 F |
| 68 | 1019 | 2 | 09 | 1010 | 07 | 01 | 681 |
| 69 | 1019 | 2 | 09 | 1010 | 07 | 02 | 69 I |
| 70 | 1019 | 2 | 09 | 1010 | 07 | 03 | 70 I |
| 71 | 1019 | 2 | 09 | 1010 | 07 | 04 | 71 I |
| 72 | 1019 | 2 | 09 | 1010 | 07 | 05 | 72 I |
| 73 | 1019 | 2 | 09 | 1010 | 07 | 06 | 73 I |
| 74 | 1019 | 2 | 09 | 1010 | 07 | 07 | 741 |
| 75 | 1019 | 2 | 09 | 1010 | 07 | 08 | 75 I |
| 76 | 1019 | 2 | 09 | 1010 | 07 | 09 | 76 I |
| 77 | 1019 | 2 | 09 | 1010 | 07 | 10 | 77 I |

Figure 5: Accessing the PSID 1968-1977 Database

| Analysis Subset (sub-schema) | ENTRY Instructions |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cross-year | UNIT $=3$ |
| Family-Individual | G1+G2+..4G10+G68+.. +G77 |
| Cross-year Family | UNIT=1 |
|  | $\mathrm{G} 1+\mathrm{G} 2+$. . . +G10 |
|  | $\mathrm{G}=2$ LEVEL=1 |
|  | $\mathrm{G}=10$ LEVEL=1 |
| Current-year Family | UNIT=1 |
|  | G1 |
| Current-year | UNIT=3 |
| Family-Individual | G1+G77 |

The PSID decision to implement a structured database was made after careful consideration of the costs and benefits involved in the move. The size and complexity of the PSID database entailed considerable cost both in the design stage and in the creation phase of the structured cross-year dataset. A structured file can be used to eliminate redundant data records; this was an important benefit of the PSID implementation. Of course, this benefit is partially offset by the overhead costs involved in OSIRIS.IV ENTRY pre-processing.

Users should be aware that structured files do not represent a universal solution to social science data management problems. If a particular database is easily managed in a rectangular format, the use of a structured file system might result in extra overhead costs with no corresponding benefits. For many years, the PSID database was constructed in a rectangular format with redundant records--a format that kept our data processing tasks straightforward and our computing budget relatively low. Only when the rectangular format became unmanageable was it necessary to revise our processing strategy. Even
now, when processing of the PSID data takes place within a structured
file environment, the analysis and distribution of the data are centered around the rectangular file concept.
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## SECTION II

TAPE CODES FOR WAVE XI

Part 1: Eleventh-Year Family Tape Code
The following are the codes for the eleventh-wave information from interview schedule. The eleven-year individual tape code will be found in Part 2 of this section. The variable numbers and tape locations appearing first refer to the eleven-year merged tape. For the codes for the first five waves of this study, see A Panel Study of Income Dynamics, Volume II. The remainder of the codes for waves VI through $x$ will be found in successive volumes entitled A Panel Study of Income Dynamics: Procedures and Tape Codes. The distributions for the following variables are weighted and based on all families interviewed in 1978. For generation of distributions on field amounts, percent zero, percent nonzero, and mean values are provided.

Raw Data
1978 FAMILY TAPE CODE

| Variable <br> Number | Tape <br> Location | Content |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (5701) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1-3 \\ (10,101-10,103) \end{gathered}$ | Study Number 768 (Wave 11) |
| $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ (5702) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4-7 \\ (10,104-10,107) \end{gathered}$ | 1978 Interview Number |
| $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ (5703) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8-9 \\ (10,108-10,109) \end{gathered}$ | *State of Residence at time of 1978 Interview |
| $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (5704) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10-12 \\ (10,110-10,112) \end{gathered}$ | *County of Residence at time of 1978 Interview |
| $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ (5705) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13-17 \\ (10,113-10,117) \end{gathered}$ | *State and County of Residence at time of 1978 Interview |
|  |  | V3 and V4 combined into one variable |
| Detailed State and County Codes will be furnished on request |  |  |


| 7 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5707)$ | $(10,119)$ |

31.8
23.0
12.3
8.3
9.6
14.5
0.4
-----
96.1
3.9
----
100.0

Color of Coversheet
--------------------
0. Gold (Main Family)

1. Yellow (Splitoff)
100.0

Whether Originally Refused in 1978
(5708) $(10,120)$
99.7
0.2
0.1
-----
100.0

21
(5709) (10,121)
8.8
89.5
0.4
1.2
----
99.9

Whether Telephone Interview in 1978


| 10 | 22 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5710)$ | $(10,122)$ |

79.2 0. No change; no movers-in or movers-out of the family
12.2

1. Change in members other than Head or Wife
2.2
2. Head same but Wife left/died and/or Head has new Wife
1.8
1.0
3.2
0.5
0.0
0.0
-----

| 11 | 23 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5711)$ | $(10,123)$ |

mean $=16.2 \%$

```
    0.1
    0.0
    7. Seven
    0.0 8. Eight
    0.0 9. Nine or more
100.1
```

24
(5712) $(10,124)$
4.5
0.5
4.5
0.1
0.2
0.5
0.5
1.1
0.0
88.1
----
100.0

| 13 | 25 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5713)$ | $(10,125)$ |

    mean \(=13.0 \%\)
    14
26
$(5714) \quad(10,126)$
1.
1.5
4.9
0.2
0.3
0.2
0.4
0.3
0.1
90.7
----
100.0
90.7
7.1
1.2
0.5
0.1
0.1
0.1
0.0
0.0
0.0
----8
99.8

Number Moved out of FU between 1977 and 1978
mean $=13.0 \%$

Relation to last year's Head of person(s) who moved out/died between 1977 and 1978

If more than one person moved out, the person with the highest priority was coded

In order of priority
1.5 1. Head of family
1.4 2. Wife
4.9 3. Child, stepchild
4. Sibling
0.3 5. Parent
0.2 6. Grandchild, great-grandchild
0.4 7. In-law or other relative
0.3 8. Nonrelative
0.1 9. Husband of 1977 Head
90.7 0. Inap.; no one moved out
100.0

27
(5715) (10,127)

## 1978 Family Composition



1. Head and immediate family (Wife and children) only
5.9 2. FU contains other people related to Head
2.1 3. FU contains people unrelated to

| 16 | 28 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5716)$ | $(10,128)$ |

Quality of Match
----------------
99.4 0. Perfect or near perfect match
0.4 1. Fair match
0.2 2. Poor match
0.0 5. No match

| 17 | $29-34$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5717)$ | $(10,129-10,134)$ |
| 39.0 |  |
| \% nonzero $=61.1$ |  |
| mean nonzero $=40,587.6$ |  |


| 18 | 35 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5718)$ | $(10,135)$ |

97.6
0.3
0.5
1.6
----
100.0

| 19 | $36-40$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5719)$ | $(10,136-10,140)$ |

64.3
\% nonzero $=35.7$
mean nonzero $=18,677$

| 20 | 41 |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $(5720)$ | $(10,141)$ |  |
|  |  | 98.1 |
|  |  | 0.1 |
|  |  | 0.9 |
|  |  | 0.9 |
|  |  | 100.0 |

## 21

(5721)
$42-45$
$(10,142-10,145)$
64.3
\% nonzero $=35.7$
mean nonzero $=2,564$

House Value (1978)
-------------------
000000 Inap.; not a home owner 999999 \$999,999 or more
(All missing data were assigned)

Accuracy of V17 (House Value)
-------------------------------
0. Inap.; not a home owner; no assignment

1. Minor assignment
2. Major assignment
3. Complex property, requiring allocation of property taxes, etc. between dwelling and other purposes of building/land

Remaining Mortgage Principal (1978)

00000 Inap.; not a home owner; no mortgage 99999 \$99,999 or more
(All missing data were assigned)

Accuracy of V19 (Remaining Mortgage Principal)
----------------------------------------------------1
0. Inap.; not a home owner; no mortgage; no assignment

1. Minor assignment
2. Major assignment
3. Complex property, requiring allocation of mortgage principal between dwelling and other purposes of building/land

Annual Mortgage Payment (1978)

0000 Inap.; not a homeowner; no mortgage 9999 \$9,999 or more
(All missing data were assigned)

Accuracy of V21 (Annual Mortgage Payment)
$(10,146)$

| 22 | 46 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5722)$ | $(10,146)$ |

99.0
0. Inap.; not a home owner; no mortgage; no assignment
0.0 1. Minor assignment
0.1 2. Major assignment
0.9 3. Complex property, requiring allocation of mortgage payment between dwelling and
100.0
other purposes of building/land


| 24 | 51 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5724)$ | $(10,151)$ |

Annual Rent
66.6 0000. Inap.: not a renter
mean nonzero $=1,856$
(5724) (10,151)

| 99.9 | 0. | Inap.; not a renter; no assignment |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0.1 | 1. | Minor assignment |
| 0.0 | 2. | Major assignment |


| 25 | 52 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $(5725)$ | $(10,152)$ |  |
|  |  | Whether Rent (V23) includes furnishings |
|  | 66.6 | 0. Inap.; not a renter |
|  | 5.0 | 1. Yes |
|  | 28.0 | 5. No |
|  | 0.4 | 9. N.A. |

Whether Rent (V23) includes heat

| 26 | 53 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5726)$ | $(10,153)$ |

$$
75.2
$$

0. Inap.; not a renter; does not pay utilities
7.1 1. Yes
17.0 5. No
0.8 9. N.A.
100.1

| 27 | $54-57$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5727)$ | $(10,154-10,157)$ |

1.6
-----
100.0

| 28 | 58 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5728)$ | $(10,158)$ |


| 29 | $59-62$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5729)$ | $(10,159-10,162)$ |

$$
\% \text { nonzero }=87.0 \quad 13.0
$$

mean nonzero $=767$
94.4 0000. Inap.; home owner or renter 9999. \$9,999 or more (All missing data were assigned)

Accuracy of $V 27$ (Annual rent value of free housing)
98.3 0. Inap.; home owner or renter; no assignment
0.1 1. Minor assignment

Annual rent value of free housing for those who neither own nor rent
$\qquad$
2. Major assignment

Annual Expenditure on Utilities in 1977

0000 . $\$ 0$
9998. \$9,998 or more

Accuracy of V29 (Annual Expenditure on Utilities)
96.2
0.5
. Minor assignment
-----
99.6

| 31 | $64-67$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5731)$ | $(10,164-10,167)$ |
|  |  |
| \% nonzero $=78.1$ |  |
| mean nonzero $=1,993$ |  |


| 32 | 68 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5732)$ | $(10,168)$ |

95.2
3.0
1.9
-----
100.1

33 69-72
(5733) $\quad(10,169-10,172)$

mean nonzero $=514$
\% nonzero = 7.7
$\begin{array}{lr}34 & 73\end{array}$
(5734) (10,173)
100.0
0.0
0.0
-----

35
74-77
(5735) $\quad(10,174-10,177)$
66.8
\% nonzero $=33.2$
mean nonzero $=190$

| 36 | 78 |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $(5736)$ | $(10,178)$ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | 100.0 |
|  |  | 0.0 |
|  |  | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

0. None
1. 9,999 hours or more for money in 1977)
2. Minor assignment
3. Major assignment someone else was ill in 1977 9999. 9,999 hours or more
4. Minor assignment
5. Major assignment
100.0

Head's annual hours working for money in 1977
--------------------------------------------------------19
(All missing data were assigned)

Accuracy of V31 (Head's annual hours working
--------------------------------------------------1
0. Inap.; Head did no work; no assignment

Head's annual hours of work missed because
(Weeks someone else ill times 40)
0000. Inap.; Head is retired, disabled, student, housewife, etc.; no time missed for someone else's illness
(All missing data were assigned)

Accuracy of V33 (Head's annual hours of work missed because someone else was ill in 1977)
0. Inap.; no time missed; no assignment

Head's annual hours of illness in 1977
-----------------------------------------1
(Weeks ill times 80 for first eight weeks and times 60 for the time thereafter)
0000. Inap; Head is retired, disabled, student, housewife, etc.; no time missed for Head's own illness
9999. 9,999 hours or more
(All missing data were assigned)

Accuracy of V35 (Head's annual hours of illness in 1977)

0. Inap.; no time missed; no assignment

1. Minor assignment
2. Major assignment
(5737)
\% nonzero = 1.6
mean nonzero $=225$
98.4
mean nonzero $=225$

| 38 | 83 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5738)$ | $(10,183)$ |

100.0
0.0
0.0
----
100.0

| 39 | $84-87$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5739)$ | $(10,184-10,187)$ |

87.4
\% nonzero = 12.6
mean nonzero $=521$
$\begin{array}{cc}40 & 88 \\ (5740) & (10,188)\end{array}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
99.9 \\
0.1 \\
0.0 \\
--100.0
\end{array}
$$

```
41
(5741) (10,189-10,191)
```

\% nonzero = 65.4
mean nonzero $=177$
34.6

(Weeks on strike times 40)

```
0000. Inap; Head is retired, disabled,
                student, housewife, etc.; no hours
                on strike in 1977
9999. 9,999 hours or more
(All missing data were assigned)
```

Accuracy of V37 (Head's annual hours on strike
in 1977)
0. Inap; no strike time; no assignment
. Minor assignment
2. Major assignment

Head's annual hours of unemployment in 1977
(Weeks unemployed times 40)
0000 . Inap.; Head is retired, disabled, student, housewife, etc.; no unemployment hours
9999. 9,999 hours or more
(All missing data were assigned)

Accuracy of V39 (Head's annual hours of unemployment in 1977)
--------------------------------------------
0. Inap.; no unemployment time; no assignment

1. Minor assignment
2. Major assignment

Head's travel to work time (annual hours)
in 1977
--------------------------------------------
000. Inap.; Head is retired, disabled, student, housewife, etc.; no hours; time varies widely
999. 999 hours or more
(All missing data were assigned)

Accuracy of V41 (Head's travel to work time in 1977)
99.6 0. Inap.; no hours time varies widely; no assignment
0.2 1. Minor assignment
0.2 2. Major assignment
100.0

Wife's annual hours working for money in 1977
\% nonzero $=31.8 \quad 68.2$ mean nonzero $=1,315$

| 44 | 97 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5744)$ | $(10,197)$ |

$$
\begin{array}{r}
99.3 \\
0.4 \\
0.4 \\
---1 \\
100.1
\end{array}
$$

| 45 | $98-101$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5745)$ | $(10,198-10,201)$ |

93.9
\% nonzero $=6.1$
mean nonzero $=64$
0000. Inap.; no wife; Wife did not work in 1977
9999. 9,999 hours or more
(All missing data were assigned)

Accuracy of V43 (Wife's annual hours working for money in 1977)
-------------------------------------------------
0. Inap.; no wife; Wife did not work
in 1977; no assignment

1. Minor assignment
2. Major assignment

Wife's annual hours of work missed because someone else was ill in 1977
(Weeks someone else ill times 40)
0000. Inap.; no wife; Wife did not work in 1977; no time missed for someone else's illness
9999. 9,999 hours or more
(All missing data were assigned)

Accuracy of V45 (Wife's annual hours of work missed because someone else was ill in 1977) --------------------------------------------------11
100.0
0.0
0.0
$-----$
$\left.\begin{array}{ccr}46 \\ (5746)\end{array} \begin{array}{c}102 \\ (10,202)\end{array}\right)$

| 47 | $103-106$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5747)$ | $(10,203-10,206)$ |

( nonzero $=13.3$
mean nonzero $=171$

| 48 | 107 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5748)$ | $(10,207)$ |

100.0

> 0.0 0.0 ---100.0

49
108-111
(5749) $\quad(10,208-10,211)$

Wife's annual hours of illness in 1977
(Weeks ill times 80 for the first eight weeks and times 60 for the time thereafter)
0000. Inap.; no wife; Wife did not work in 1977; no time missed for Wife's own illness
9999 9,999 hour or more
(All missing data were assigned)

Accuracy of V47 (Wife's annual hours of illness in 1977)
0. Inap.; no wife; Wife did no work in 1977; no time missed for Wife's own illness; no assignment

1. Minor assignment
2. Major assignment

Wife's annual hours on strike in 1977
(Weeks on strike times 40)

51

| 51 | $113-116$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5751)$ | $(10,213-10,216)$ |

\% nonzero $=5.6$
mean nonzero $=736$
$\begin{array}{cc}52 & 117 \\ (5752) & (10,217)\end{array}$
100.0
0.0
0.0
100.0

| 53 | $118-120$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5753)$ | $(10,218-10,220)$ |

\% nonzero $=23.6$
mean nonzero $=128$
76.4
$\begin{array}{cc}54 & 121 \\ (5754) & (10,221)\end{array}$
Wife's travel to work time (annual hours) in 1977
---------------------------------------------------1
000. Inap.; Wife is retired, disabled,
000. Inap.; Wife is retired, disabled,
student, housewife; unemployed; no hours; time varies widely
999. 999 hours or more
(All missing data were assigned)

Accuracy of $V 53$ (Wife's travel to work time in 1977)
99.8 0. Inap.; no hours; time varies widely; no assignment
0.1 1. Minor assignment
0.1 2. Major assignment
100.0
55
122-123
(5755)

$$
(10,222-10,223)
$$

mean $=2.6$

56
124
0000. Inap.; no wife; Wife did not work in 1977; no hours on strike in 1977
9999 9,999 hours or more
(All missing data were assigned)

Accuracy of V49 (Wife's annual hours on strike in 1977)
0. Inap.; no wife; Wife did not work in 1977; no strike time; no assignment

1. Minor assignment
2. Major assignment

Wife's annual hours of unemployment in 1977
-----------------------------------------------19
(Weeks unemployed times 40)
0000. Inap.; no wife; Wife did not work in 1977; no unemployment hours
9999. 9,999 hours or more
(All missing data were assigned)

Accuracy of V51 (Wife's annual hours of unemployment in 1977)
0. Inap.; no wife; Wife did not work in 1977; no unemployment time; no assignment

1. Minor assignment
2. Major assignment

| mean $=2.8$ | 0.0 | 1. | One |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 50.0 | 2. | Two |
|  | 28.0 | 3. | Three |
|  | 15.3 | 4. | Four |
|  | 4.6 | 5. | Five |
|  | 1.3 | 6. | Six |
|  | 0.5 | 7. | Seven |
|  | 0.2 | 8. | Eight |
|  | 0.1 | 9. | Nine or |
|  | 00.0 |  |  |


| 57 | $125-129$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5757)$ | $(10,225-10,229)$ |
| mean $=$ | 17.06 |


| 58 | $130-134$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5758)$ | $(10,230-10,234)$ |

mean $=3139.5$

Weekly Food Needs

Based on USDA Low-Cost Plan estimates of
weekly food costs, according to the table below (reproduced from Family Economics Review, June 1967), summed for the family

INDIVIDUAL FOOD STANDARD (LOW COST)

| Age | Male | Female |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| --- | ---- | ------ |
| Under 4 | $\$ 3.90$ | $\$ 3.90$ |
| $4-6$ | 4.60 | 4.60 |
| $7-9$ | 5.50 | 5.50 |
| $10-12$ | 6.40 | 6.30 |
| $13-15$ | 7.40 | 6.90 |
| $16-20$ | 8.70 | 7.20 |
| $21-35$ | 7.50 | 6.50 |
| $36-55$ | 6.90 | 6.30 |
| 56 and older | 6.30 | 5.40 |

(This same standard has been used in Waves I-XI. Adjustments for inflation, etc., are left to users)

Annual Need Standard in 1977

This is the Orshansky-type poverty threshold, based on an annual food needs standard derived from the weekly food costs above, which is converted to an annual amount and adjusted for economies of scale by USDA rules as follows:

| Single person | - add $20 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Two persons | - add $10 \%$ |
| Three persons | - add $5 \%$ |
| Four persons | - no change |
| Five persons |  |
| Six or more persons |  |
|  |  |
| An additional adjustment for diseconomies of |  |
| small households (in rent, etc.) was made as |  |
| follows for the Annual Need Standard: |  |

nonzero $=57.4$
mean nonzero $=1,553$

| 60 | 139 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5760)$ | $(10,239)$ |

99.6 0. Inap.; no wife; Wife does no housework; no assignment
0.0 1. Minor assignment
0.4 2. Major assignment
100.0

| 61 | $140-143$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5761)$ | $(10,240-10,243)$ |

16.2
\% nonzero = 83.8
mean nonzero $=629$

| 62 | 144 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5762)$ | $(10,244)$ |

99.2
0.0
0.8
----
100.0

| 63 | $145-148$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5763)$ | $(10,245-10,248)$ |

\% nonzero = 30.8
mean nonzero $=665$
69.2

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
64 & 149 \\
(5764) & (10,249)
\end{array}
$$

99.5
0.1
0.4
-----

| 65 | 150 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5765)$ | $(10,250)$ |


|  | 1.4 | 1. | One |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\%$ nonzero $=5.6$ | 1.3 | 2. | Two |
| mean nonzero $=3.5$ | 1.1 | 3. | Three |
|  | 0.9 | 4. | Four |
|  | 0.4 | 5. | Five |
|  | 0.2 | 6. | Six |
|  | 0.1 | 7. | Seven |

Number of people in Household for whom Food Stamps were issued last month

Household may include more people than our FU: therefore this number will not always equal V55 (Family Size in 1978). See editing procedures
Accuracy of V63 (Annual hours of housework done by all others in FU)
0. Inap.; no others in $F U$; no housework done by others in FU; no assignment

1. Minor assignment
2. Major assignment
------------------------------------------------
. One
\% nonzero $=5.6$ 1.3 2. Two
0.9 4. Four
0.4 5. Five
0.1 7. Seven
0.1 9. N.A.; D.K.
94.4 0. Inap.; Food Stamps not used last month
100.0
66
(5766)

151-153
$(10,251-10,253)$
\% nonzero $=5.2$
mean nonzero $=61.8$

67
(5767)

## 154

$(10,254)$
100.0
0.0
0.0
-----

68
155-157
(5768) $\quad(10,255-10,257)$
nonzero = 5.6
mean nonzero $=77$

Amount paid for Food Stamps last month
-----------------------------------------
000. Inap.; Food Stamps not used last month;
000. Inap.; Food Stamps not used last
Food Stamps issued free of charge
999. \$999 or more
(All missing data were assigned)

Accuracy of V66 (Amount paid for Food Stamps
last month)
0. Inap.; Food Stamps not used last month; no assignment

1. Minor assignment
2. Major assignment

Bonus value of Food Stamps used last month

(Dollar value of food bought minus dollars spent to purchase Food Stamps)
000. Inap.; Food Stamps not used last month 999. \$999 or more
(All missing data were assigned)

Accuracy of V68 (Bonus value of Food Stamps used last month)
------------------------------------------------
0. Inap.; Food Stamps not used last month; no assignment

1. Minor assignment
2. Major assignment
100.0

70
159-162
(5770) (10,259-10,262)
\% nonzero $=96.8$
mean nonzero $=2,111$

Annual food expenditure for meals at home
(Excludes expenditure for food purchased with Food Stamps)
0000. Inap.; none
9999. \$9,999 or more
100.0
0.0
mean nonzero $=2,111$
(All missing data were assigned)

Accuracy of V70 (Annual food expenditure for meals at home)
99.4 0. Inap.; no assignment
$\begin{array}{cc}71 & 163 \\ (5771) & (10,263)\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{cc}71 & 163 \\ (5771) & (10,263)\end{array}$
(5771) (10,263)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
99.4 \\
0.2 \\
0.4 \\
---- \\
100.0
\end{array}
$$

8. Eight or more
D. Inap.; Food Stamps not used last month
\% nonzero $=81.0$ mean nonzero $=603$

| 73 | 168 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5773)$ | $(10,268)$ |


| 99.4 | 0. | Inap.; no assignment |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0.2 | 1. | Minor assignment |
| 0.4 | 2. | Major assignment |
| ----- |  |  | 9999. \$9,999 or more

(All missing data were assigned) meals away from home)

169-172
(5774)

$$
(10,269-10,272)
$$

$\%$ nonzero $=6.7$
mean nonzero $=549$
93.3
19.0
0000. Inap.; none

Accuracy of V72 (Annual food expenditure for

100.0
$\begin{array}{lr}75 & 173 \\ (5775) & (10,273)\end{array}$
(5775) (10,273)

> 99.9 0.1 0.0 ----100.0
0.1 Inap.; no assignment
0.1 1. Minor assignment
0.0 2. Major assignment

76
174-177
(5776) (10,274-10,277)
\% nonzero = 7.1
mean nonzero $=586$
$\begin{array}{cc}77 & 178 \\ 5777) & (10,278)\end{array}$
92.9 0000. Inap.; none
\% nonzero = 7.1
mean nonzero = 586
Annual amount paid for Food Stamps in 1977
0000. Inap.; none
9999. \$9,999 or more
(All missing data were assigned)

Accuracy of V74 (Annual amount paid for Food Stamps in 1977)


Bonus value of Food Stamps used in 1977
-------------------------------------------1
(Dollar value of food bought minus dollars spent to purchase Food Stamps)
9999. \$9,999 or more
(All missing data were assigned)

Accuracy of V76 (Bonus value of Food Stamps used in 1977)
99.9 0. Inap.; no assignment
9.1 1. Minor assignment
0.0 2. Major assignment
100.0

| 78 | $179-180$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5778)$ | $(10,279-10,280)$ |

92.2 00. None; Food Stamps not used in 1977
XX. Actual number of months
99. N.A.

Number of major adults in family

Only Head and Wife are counted in this
variable. If one of them is severely limited physically or mentally, he is not counted here; hence an FU with both could be coded 1 here
42.4 1. One
57.6 2. Two
100.0

| $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ (5780) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 182-186 \\ (10,282-10,286) \end{gathered}$ | Head's labor part of farm income in 1977 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% no mean | $\begin{aligned} & 98.5 \\ & \text { nzo }=1.5 \\ & =8,013.3 \end{aligned}$ | 00000 None <br> $99999 \$ 99,999$ or more <br> (All missing data were assigned) |
| $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ (5781) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 187-191 \\ (10,287-10,291) \end{gathered}$ | Head's labor part of unincorporated business income in 1977 |
| \% no mean | $\begin{aligned} & 94.7 \\ & \text { anzero }=5.3 \end{aligned}$ | ```00000 None 99999 $99,999 or more``` (All missing data were assigned) |
| $\begin{gathered} 82 \\ (5782) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 192-196 \\ (10,292-10,296) \end{gathered}$ | Head's Income from wages in 1977 |
| \% no mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ero }=72.1 \\ & \text { anzero }=13,308.7 \end{aligned}$ | ```00000 None 99999 $99,999 or more (All missing data were assigned)``` |
| $\begin{gathered} 83 \\ (5783) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 197 \\ (10,297) \end{gathered}$ | Accuracy of V82 (Head's income from wages in 1977) |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 98.9 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.7 \\ ----- \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | 0. Inap.; no wages; no assignment <br> 1. Minor assignment <br> 2. Major assignment |
| $\begin{gathered} 84 \\ (5784) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 198-202 \\ (10,298-10,302) \end{gathered}$ | Head's income from bonuses, overtime, commissions in 1977 |
| \% no mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ero }=5.9 \\ & \text { anzero }=2,450.8 \end{aligned}$ | ```00000 None 99999 $99,999 or more (All missing data were assigned)``` |
| $\begin{gathered} 85 \\ (5785) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 203-207 \\ (10,303-10,307) \end{gathered}$ | Head's income from professional practice or trade in 1977 |
| \% no mean | $\begin{aligned} & 96.2 \\ & \text { anzoro }=3.8 \\ & \text { nneron } 610.5 \end{aligned}$ | ```00000 None 99999 $99,999 or more (All missing data were assigned)``` |
| $\begin{gathered} 86 \\ (5786) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 208-211 \\ (10,308-10,311) \end{gathered}$ | Head's labor part of roomers and boarders, farming or market gardening in 1977 |
| \% no mean | $\begin{aligned} & 98.6 \\ & \text { aro }=1.4 \\ & =1,735.7 \end{aligned}$ | 0000. None <br> 9999. \$9,999 or more |


| 87 | 212 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5787)$ | $(10,312)$ |

Accuracy of V80-V81, V84-V86 (Head's labor income excluding wages in 1977)
99.4
0.2
0.4
----
100.0
0. Inap.; no assignment

1. Minor assignment
2. Major assignment

| 88 | $213-217$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5788)$ | $(10,313-10,317)$ |

Wife's labor income in 1977
68.2
\% nonzero $=31.8$
00000 None
99999 \$99,999 or more
(All missing data were assigned)

| 89 | 218 |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $(5789)$ | $(10,318)$ |  |
|  |  | 99.6 |
|  |  | 0.1 |
|  |  | 0.2 |
|  |  | ----9 |

Accuracy of V88 (Wife's labor income in 1977)

90 219-223
(5790) (10,319-10,323)
98.4 00000 None
\% nonzero $=1.6 \quad 99999$ \$99,999 or more
mean nonzero $=4,968.7$

| 91 | $224-228$ <br> $(5791)$ <br> $(10,324-10,328)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| \% nonzero $=5.8$ |  |
| mean nonzero $=7,093.1$ |  |


| 92 | $229-232$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5792)$ | $(10,329-10,332)$ |

98.3
\% nonzero = 1.7
mean nonzero $=841.2$

| 93 | $233-236$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5793)$ | $(10,333-10,336)$ |
|  |  |
| \% nonzero $=0.4$ |  |
| mean nonzero $=$ | $2,675.0$ |

$\begin{array}{cc}94 & 237-241 \\ (5794) & (10,337-10,341)\end{array}$
Head's income from rent, interest, dividends, etc. in 1977
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { \% nonzero }=42.5 & 57.5 \quad 00000 & \text { None } \\ \$ 99999 & \\ \$ 99,999 & \text { or more }\end{array}$


97.6
\% nonzero = 2.4 mean nonzero $=1,404.2$

| 110 | $300-304$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5810)$ | $(10,400-10,404)$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 96.8 \\
& \% \text { nonzero }=3.2 \\
& \text { mean nonzero }=1,515.6
\end{aligned}
$$

| 111 | $305-308$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5811)$ | $(10,405-10,408$ |

94.7
\% nonzero = 5.3
mean nonzero $=879.2$

112
309-312
(5812) $(10,409-10,412)$
94.3
\% nonzero = 5.7
mean nonzero $=1,091.2$
$\begin{array}{cc}113 & 313-317 \\ (5813) & (10,413-10,417)\end{array}$
95.8
\% nonzero = 4.2
mean nonzero $=1,342.9$

| 114 | 318 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5814)$ | $(10,418)$ |

98.8
0.7
0.4
----
99.9

115
319-323
(5815) $(10,419-10,423)$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { \% nonzero }=49.7 & 50.3 & 00000 & \text { None } \\ 99999 & \$ 99,999 & \text { or more }\end{array}$

116 324-327
(5816) $\quad(10,424-10,427)$

00000 None
9999. \$99,991 or more
(All missing data were assigned)

Amount of child support of Head and Wife in 1977
--------------------------------------------
0000. None
9999. \$9,999 or more
(All missing data were assigned)

Amount of help from relatives of Head in 1977
0000. None
9999. \$9,999 or more
(All missing data were assigned)

Amount of Head's other transfer income in 1977

0000. None
9999. \$9,999 or more
(All missing data were assigned)

Amount of Wife's other transfer income in 1977

(Excludes ADC/AFDC, other welfare, social security and supplemental security income. Includes child support if clearly received only before marriage to current Head)

00000 None
99999 \$99,999 or more
(All missing data were assigned)

Accuracy of V104-V113 (Transfer income of Head and Wife in 1977 excluding ADC/AFDC)
0. Inap.; no assignment

1. Minor assignment
2. Major assignment

Total transfer income of Head and Wife in 1977 (sum V102, V104-V113)

Annual work hours of all others in FU in 1977

| $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { nonzero }=22.3 \\ & \text { mean nonzero }=1,305 \end{aligned}$ |  | 0000. None <br> 9999. 9,999 hours or more <br> (All missing data were assigned) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 117 \\ (5817) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 328-332 \\ (10,428-10,432) \end{gathered}$ | Total taxable income of all others in FU in 1977 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% nonzero }=23.0 \\ & \text { mean nonzero }=5,052.6 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 00000 & \text { None } \\ 99999 & \$ 99,999 \text { or more } \end{array}$ <br> (All missing data were assigned) |
| $\begin{gathered} 118 \\ (5818) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 333 \\ (10,433) \end{gathered}$ | Accuracy of V117 (Total taxable income of all others in FU in 1977) |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 96.6 \\ 0.6 \\ 2.8 \\ ---- \\ 100.0 \end{array}$ | 0. Inap.; no assignment <br> 1. Minor assignment <br> 2. Major assignment |
| $\begin{gathered} 119 \\ (5819) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 334 \\ (10,434) \end{gathered}$ | Bracketed amount of asset income of all others in $F U$ in 1977 |
|  |  | (The actual amount is included in V117) |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 98.5 \\ 0.8 \end{array}$ | 0. Inap.: none <br> 1. $\$ 1$ - 499 |
|  | 0.2 | 2. $500-999$ |
|  | 0.2 | 3. 1,000-1,999 |
|  | 0.1 | 4. 2,000-2,999 |
|  | 0.2 | 5. 3,000-4,999 |
|  | 0.1 | 6. 5,000-7,499 |
|  | 0.0 | 7. 7,500-9,999 |
|  | 0.1 | 8. 10,000 or more |
|  | 0.0 | 9. N.A. (includes assigned amounts) |
|  | 100.0 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 120 \\ (5820) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 335-339 \\ (10,435-10,439) \end{gathered}$ | Total estimated Federal Income Taxes of all others in FU in 1977 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 89 . \\ & \% \text { nonzero }=10.9 \\ & \text { mean nonzero }=1,083.5 \end{aligned}$ |  | 00000 None <br> 99999 \$99,999 or more |
| $\begin{array}{cc} 121 & 340-344 \\ (5821) & (10,440-10,444) \end{array}$ |  | Amount of ADC/AFDC of all others in $F U$ in 1977 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% nonzero }=0.3 \\ & \text { mean nonzero }=1,700.0 \end{aligned}$ |  | ```00000 None 99999 $99,999 or more (All missing data were assigned)``` |
| $\begin{array}{cc} 122 & 345-349 \\ (5822) & (10,445-10,449) \end{array}$ |  | Amount of Supplemental Security Income of all Others in FU in 1977 |
| \% no mean | $\begin{aligned} & 99.5 \\ & \text { inzoro }=0.5 \\ & \text { inzer } 1,800.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00000 \text { None } \\ & 99999 \\ & \$ 99,999 \text { or more } \end{aligned}$ <br> (All missing data were assigned) |


| $\begin{gathered} 123 \\ (5823) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 350-354 \\ (10,450-10,454) \end{gathered}$ | Amount of other welfare of all others in $F U$ in 1977 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% no mean | $\begin{aligned} & 99.7 \\ & \text { ero }=0.3 \\ & \text { inzero }=1,133.3 \end{aligned}$ | 00000 None <br> $99999 \$ 99,999$ or more <br> (All missing data were assigned) |
| $\begin{gathered} 124 \\ (5824) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 355-359 \\ (10,455-10,459) \end{gathered}$ | Amount of Social Security of all others in $F U$ in 1977 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% nor } \\ & \text { mean } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96.2 \\ & \text { nno }=3.8 \\ & =2,355.3 \end{aligned}$ | 00000 None <br> $99999 \$ 99,999$ or more <br> (All missing data were assigned) |
| $\begin{gathered} 125 \\ (5825) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 360-364 \\ (10,460-10,464) \end{gathered}$ | Amount of other retirement, pensions, and annuities of all others in $F U$ in 1977 |
| \% no mean | $\begin{aligned} & 98.7 \\ & \text { aro }=1.3 \\ & \text { nzero }=2,523.1 \end{aligned}$ | ```00000 None 99999 $99,999 or more (All missing data were assigned)``` |
| $\begin{gathered} 126 \\ (5826) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 365-369 \\ (10,465-10,469) \end{gathered}$ | Amount of Unemployment Compensation of all others in $F U$ in 1977 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% nol } \\ & \text { mean } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99.6 \\ & \text { ero }=0.4 \\ & \text { nzero }=1,175.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00000 \text { None } \\ & 99999 \$ 99,999 \text { or more } \\ & \text { (All missing data were assigned) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 127 \\ (5827) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 370-373 \\ (10,470-10,473) \end{gathered}$ | Amount of Workmen's Compensation of all others in $F U$ in 1977 |
|  | 100.0 | 0000. None <br> 9999. \$9,999 or more <br> (All missing data were assigned) |
| $\begin{gathered} 128 \\ (5828) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 374-378 \\ (10,474-10,478) \end{gathered}$ | Amount of child support of all others in FU in 1977 |
| \% no mean | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ro }=0.1 \\ & \text { nzero }=2,90.9 \\ & \text { 2, } \end{aligned}$ | ```00000 None 99999 $99,999 or more (All missing data were assigned)``` |
| $\begin{gathered} 129 \\ (5829) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 379-382 \\ (10,479-10,482) \end{gathered}$ | Amount of help from relatives of all others in FU in 1977 |
|  | 100.0 | 0000. None <br> 9999. \$9,999 or more <br> (All missing data were assigned) |
| $\begin{gathered} 130 \\ (5830) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 383-386 \\ (10,483-10,486) \end{gathered}$ | Amount of other transfer income of all others in FU in 1977 |
| \% no | ro $=0.3 \quad 99.7$ | 0000. None <br> 9999. \$9,999 or more |

```
(All missing data were assigned)
```

| 131 | $387-391$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5831)$ | $(10,487-10,491)$ |

\% nonzero $=5.8$
mean nonzero $=2,631.0$

| 132 | 392 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5832)$ | $(10,492)$ |

99.4
0.3
0.3
-----
100.0


| 134 | 394 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5834)$ | $(10,494)$ |

77.7
\% nonzero $=22.3 \quad 15.1$ mean nonzero $=1.35 .1$
1.5
0.4
0.2
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
100.0

| 135 | $395-398$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5835)$ | $(10,495-10,498)$ |


| 136 | $399-402$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5836)$ | $(11,499-10,502)$ |

137 403-406
(5837) $(10,503-10,506)$

| 138 | $407-410$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5838)$ | $(10,507-10,510)$ |

139
411-414

Total transfer income of all others in FU in 1977
-------------------------------------------------

## 00000 None

99999 \$99,999 or more

Accuracy of V131 (Total transfer income of all others in FU in 1977)
----------------------------------------------------
0. Inap.; no assignment

1. Minor assignment
2. Major assignment

Number of income receivers in $F U$ other than Head and Wife in 1977
0. None

1. One
2. Two
3. Three
4. Four
5. Five
6. Six
7. Seven
8. Eight
9. Nine or more

Number of labor income receivers in FU other than Head and Wife in 1977
0. None

1. One
2. Two
3. Three
4. Four
5. Five
6. Six
7. Seven
8. Eight
9. Nine or more

1968 Interview Number
-----------------------

1969 Interview Number

1970 Interview Number

1971 Interview Number
-----------------------

1972 Interview Number

| $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ (5840) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 415-418 \\ (10,515-10,518) \end{gathered}$ | 1973 Interview Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 141 \\ (5841) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 419-422 \\ (10,519-10,522) \end{gathered}$ | 1974 Interview Number |
| $\begin{gathered} 142 \\ (5842) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 423-426 \\ (10,523-10,526) \end{gathered}$ | 1975 Interview Number |
| $\begin{gathered} 143 \\ (5843) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 427-430 \\ (10,527-10,530) \end{gathered}$ | 1976 Interview Number |
| $\begin{gathered} 144 \\ (5844) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 431-434 \\ (10,531-10,534) \end{gathered}$ | 1977 Interview Number |
| $\begin{gathered} 145 \\ (5845) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 435-438 \\ (10,535-10,538) \end{gathered}$ | 1. Interviewer's ID Number |
|  |  | XXXX. Actual number <br> 0000. Mail interview |
| $\begin{gathered} 146 \\ (5846) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 439-440 \\ (10,539-10,540) \end{gathered}$ | 3. Interviewer's Interview Number |
|  |  | $x x$. Actual number <br> 98. 98 or more <br> 99. N.A.; D.K. <br> 00. Mail interview |
| $\begin{gathered} 147 \\ (5847) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 441 \\ (10,541) \end{gathered}$ | 4. Date of Interview |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 27.7 \\ 23.7 \\ 28.5 \\ 10.0 \\ 6.7 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.0 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.3 \end{array}$ | 1. March 1 - March 14 <br> 2. March 15 - March 28 <br> 3. March 29 - April 18 <br> 4. April 19 - May 2 <br> 5. May 3 - May 16 <br> 6. May 17 - May 30 <br> 7. May 31 - June 30 <br> 8. July 1 and after <br> 9. N.A.; D.K. |
|  | 100.0 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 148 \\ (5848) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 442-444 \\ (10,542-10,544) \end{gathered}$ | 5. Length of Interview |
| mean | 42.4 | xxx. Actual number of minutes 999. N.A.; mail interview |
| $\begin{gathered} 149 \\ (5849) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 445-446 \\ (10,545-10,546) \end{gathered}$ | Number in FU |
| mean | 2.6 | xx. Actual number of persons in FU |
| $\begin{gathered} 150 \\ (5850) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 447-448 \\ (10,547-10,548) \end{gathered}$ | Age of Head $\qquad$ |
| mean | 46.2 | xx. Actual age of Head 99. N.A. |
| 151 | 449 | Sex of Head |

71.5 1. Male
28.5 2. Female
100.0

| 152 | $450-451$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5852)$ | $(10,550-10,551)$ |
|  |  |
| \% nonzero $=57.6$ |  |
| mean nonzero $=43$ |  |
| 42 |  |
| 153 | $452-453$ |
| $(5853)$ | $(10,552-10,553)$ |

\% nonzero $=41.0 \quad 59.0$ mean nonzero $=1.9$

| 154 | $454-455$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5854)$ | $(10,554-10,555)$ |

\% nonzero = 41.0 mean nonzero $=759.0$

| 155 | 456 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5855)$ | $(10,556)$ |

48.7
51.3
0.0
100.0

| 156 | 457 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5856)$ | $(10,557)$ |

4.4
43.5
0.7
51.3
-
99.9

| 157 | 458 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5857)$ | $(10,558)$ |

53.1
46.5
0.5
-----
100.1

| 158 | 459 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5858)$ | $(10,559)$ |

$(10,559)$ 99. N.A.
00. None
9. N.A.

1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Yes
5. No
6. N.A.; D.K.

Age of Wife or Permanent Friend
00. No Wife or Permanent Friend in FU xx. Actual age of Wife or Permanent Friend

```
Number of children in FU aged 0-17
```

xx. Actual number of children

## Age of youngest child

----------------------

1. 23 months or under
$x x$. Actual age of youngest child
2. Inap.; no children in $F U$;

V153 = 00

## A1. Interviewer Checkpoint

1. Someone other than Head or Wife under 25 in FU during 1977 or 1978
2. No one other than Head or Wife under 25 in $F U$ during 1977 or 1978

A2. Did anyone in this household stop going go school in 1977 or 1978?
0. Inap.; no children under 25; V155 = 5, 9

B1. Is there public transportation within walking distance of (here) (your house)?


B2. Is it good enough so that a person could
38.0
5.5
use it to get to work?

1. Yes; "I don't use it but good enough for others;" very good; good
2. Pro-con: Yes for some areas or types of work but not for others; "I
others;" fair
7.0
2.3
99.9

| 159 | 460 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5859)$ | $(10,560)$ |

84.1
15.8
0.1
----
100.0

```
B3. Do you (or anyone else in the family here)
    own a car or truck?
```

B4. How many cars and trucks do you (and your
family living here) own?
(5860) $\quad(10,561)$
mean nonzero $=1.7 \begin{array}{r}40.5 \\ 33.3\end{array}$
6.8 3. Three
2.0 4. Four
0.7 5. Five
0.3 6. Six
0.1 7. Seven
0.1 8. Eight or more
0.3 9. N.A.; D.K.
15.9 0. Inap.; own no cars or trucks;
V159 = 5 or 9
100.0

| 161 | $462-466$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5861)$ | $(10,562-10,566)$ |


| 162 | 467 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5862)$ | $(10,567)$ |


|  | 2.2 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\%$ nonzero $=99.8$ | 5.7 |
| mean nonzero $=5$ | 9.1 |
|  | 16.8 |
|  | 21.2 |
|  | 21.3 |
|  | 11.9 |
|  | 10.3 |
|  | 1.4 |
|  | 0.2 |
|  | ----- |
|  | 100.1 |


| 163 | 468 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5863)$ | $(10,568)$ |

C2. Do you live in a one-family house, a twofamily house, an apartment, a mobile home, or what?

```
68.0 1. One-family
6.4 2. Two-family; duplex
```

```
    18.0 3. Apartment; project
    4.6 4. Mobile home; trailer
    3.0 7. Other
    0.0 9. N.A.; D.K.
100.0
```

| 164 | 469 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5864)$ | $(10,569)$ |

```
C3. Do you own this (home/apartment), pay
    rent, or what?
```

61.0 1. Owns or is buying house or trailer
(fully or jointly)
33.4 5. Pays rent
5.6
100.0

| 165 | 470 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5865)$ | $(10,570)$ |

0.0 1. Servant; housekeeper
0.3 2. Farm laborer; ranch laborer
1.0 3. Other persons for whom housing is
part of compensation (janitors,
gardeners, nurses, tutors, etc.)
4. Persons for whom housing is a
gift; paid by someone outside of $F U$;
owned by relatives; pay no rent or only
pay taxes
0.1 5. Sold own home, but still living
there
0.0 6. Living in house which will inherit;
estate in process
0.1 7. Living in temporary quarters (garage,
shed, etc.) while home is under con-
struction or until new apartment is
found
0.5 8. Other
0.1 9. N.A.; D.K.
94.5 0. Inap.; owns or rents;
V164 = 1, or 5
100.0

| 166 | 471 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5866)$ | $(10,571)$ |

C20. Have you (HEAD) moved since the spring of 1977 ?
24.7 1. Yes
75.3 5. No
0.0 9. N.A.; D.K.
100.0

C16. How is that? (Neither owns nor rents)
-------------------------------------------------

Servant. housekeeper
1.0 3. Other persons for whom housing is part of compensation (janitors, gardeners, nurses, tutors, etc.)
3.4 4. Persons for whom housing is a gift; paid by someone outside of FU; owned by relatives; pay no rent or only pay taxes
0.1 5. Sold own home, but still living there
0.06 . Living in house which will inherit; estate in process
0.1
7. Living in temporary quarters (garage, shed, etc.) while home is under construction or until new apartment is found
. Other
0. Inap.; owns or rents;

V164 = 1, or 5
100.0

| 167 | $472-473$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5867)$ | $(10,572-10,573)$ |

C21. What month was that? (most recent move)

1. January
2. February
3. March

04 . April
05. May

06 . June
07. July
08. August
09. September
10. October
11. November
12. December
99. N.A.
00. Inap.; did not move; V166 = 5 or 9
$169 \quad 475$
(5869) $(10,575)$

| 170 | 476 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5870)$ | $(10,576)$ |

$171 \quad 477$
(5871) $(10,577)$

```
            3.1 1. Purposive productive reasons:
        to take another job; transfer; stopped
        going to school
            0.9 2. To get nearer to work
            3.6 3. Purposive consumptive reasons--
                expansion of housing:
                more space; more rent
            1.7 4. Purposive consumptive reasons--
        contraction of housing:
        less space; less rent
            5.0 5. Purposive consumptive--other house-
                        related:
        want to own home; got married
            1.2 6. Purposive consumptive--neighborhood-
        related:
        better neighborhood; go to school
        4.0 7. Response to outside events (involuntary
        reasons):
        DU coming down; being evicted;
        armed services, etc.; health reasons;
        divorce; retiring because of health
    4.6 8. Ambiguous or mixed reasons:
        to save money; all my old neighbors
        moved away; retiring (N.A. why)
            0.6 9. N.A.; D.K.
            75.4 0. Inap.; did not move;
        V166 = 5 or 9
100.0
3.1 1. Purposive productive reasons: to take another job; transfer; stopped going to school
3.6 3. Purposive consumptive reasons-expansion of housing: more space; more rent
1.7 4. Purposive consumptive reasons-contraction of housing: less space; less rent
5.0 5. Purposive consumptive--other houserelated: want to own home; got married
1.2 6. Purposive consumptive--neighborhoodrelated: Response to outside events (involuntary reasons): DU coming down; being evicted;
8. Ambiguous or mixed reasons: to save money; all my old neighbors moved away; retiring (N.A. why)
0. Inap.; did not move; V166 = 5 or 9
100.0
```

C23. Do you think you might move in the next
couple of years?
34.9 1. Yes; might or maybe, hope to
61.1 5. No
4.0 8. D.K.
0.0 9. N.A.
100.0

C24. Would you say you definitely will move, probably will move, or are you more uncertain?
15.2 1. Definitely
12.5 2. Probably
6.9 3. More uncertain
0.3 9. N.A.
65.1 0. Inap.; does not plan to move; V169 = 5, 8, or 9
100.0

## C22. Why did you move?

----------------------------

C23. Do you think you might move in the next couple of years?


```
    related:
    want to own home; got married
    2.4 6. Purposive consumptive--neighborhood-
    related:
    better neighborhood; go to school
    3.0 7. Response to outside events (involuntary
    reasons):
    DU coming down; being evicted;
    armed services, etc.; health reasons;
    divorce; retiring because of health
    5.3 8. Ambiguous or mixed reasons:
    to save money; all my old neighbors
    moved away; retiring (N.A. why)
    0.5 9. N.A.; D.K.
65.1 0. Inap.; does not plan to move;
    V169 = 5, 8 or 9
```

| 172 | 478 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5872)$ | $(10,578)$ |

D1. We would like to know about what you do-are you (HEAD) working now, looking for work, retired, a student, (a housewife), or what?
68.1
1.0
2.8
16.2
3.8
6.5
1.3
0.3
----
100.0

| 173 | $479-480$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5873)$ | $(10,579-10,580)$ |

PROFESSIONAL, TECHNICAL AND KINDRED WORKERS
0.3 10. Physicians (medical \& osteopathic), Dentists
0.8 11. Other Medical and Paramedical; chiropractors, optometrists, pharmacists, veterinarians, nurses, therapists, healers, dieticians (except medical and dental technicians, see 16)
0.9 12. Accountants and Auditors
1.9 13. Teachers, Primary and Secondary Schools. (including N.A. type)
1.0 14. Teachers, College; Social Scientists; Librarians, Archivists
2.4 15. Architects; Chemists; Engineers; Physical and Biological Scientists
2.3 16. Technicians: Airplane pilots and navigators, designers, draftsmen, foresters and conservationists, embalmers, photographers, radio operators, surveyors, technicians (medical, dental, testing, n.e.c.)
17. Public Advisors: Clergymen, editors and reporters, farm and home management advisors, personnel and labor relations workers, public relations persons, publicity workers, religious, social and welfare workers
0.5 18. Judges; Lawyers
0.7 19. Professional, technical and kindred workers not listed above

MANAGERS, OFFICIALS AND PROPRIETORS
(EXCEPT FARM)
8.7 20. Not self-employed
2.6 31. Self-employed (unincorporated businesses)

CLERICAL AND KINDRED WORKERS
1.6 40. Secretaries, stenographers, typists
5.7 41. Other Clerical Workers: agents (n.e.c.) library assistants and attendants, bank tellers, cashiers, bill collectors, ticket, station and express agents, etc., receptionists

SALES WORKERS
3.3 45. Retail store salesmen and sales clerks, newsboys, hucksters, peddlers, travelling salesmen, advertising agents and salesmen, insurance agents, brokers, and salesmen, etc.

CRAFTSMEN, FOREMEN, AND KINDRED WORKERS
1.8
10.7
0.9
50. Foremen, n.e.c.
51. Other craftsmen and kindred workers
52. Government protective service workers; firemen, police, marshals, and constables

OPERATIVES AND KINDRED WORKERS
3.1 61. Transport equipment operatives
8.0 62. Operatives, except transport

LABORERS
2.3 70. Unskilled laborers--nonfarm
0.6 71. Farm laborers and foremen

SERVICE WORKERS
0.4 73. Private household workers
4.9 75. Other service workers: barbers, beauticians, manicurists, bartenders, boarding and lodging housekeepers, counter and fountain workers, housekeepers and stewards, waiters, cooks, midwives, practical nurses, babysitters, attendants in physicians' and dentists' offices NOTE: For government protective service workers (firemen, police, etc.) see code 52

FARMERS AND FARM MANAGERS

$$
1.6
$$

80. Farmers (owners and tenants) and managers (except code 71)

MISCELLANEOUS GROUPS
0.8 55. Members of armed forces
0.2 99. N.A.; D.K.
30.9 00. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; V172 = $3-8$

174
(5874)

481-482 $(10,581-10,582)$
2.8 AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY, AND FISHING 11.
0.6 MINING AND EXTRACTION
21.

MANUFACTURING DURABLES
2.5 30. Metal industries
3.6 31. Machinery, including electrical
3.5 32. Motor vehicles and other
transportation equipment
2.9 33. Other durables
0.3 34. Durables, N.A. what

MANUFACTURING NONDURABLES
1.4 40. Food and kindred products
0.1 41. Tobacco manufacturing

```
    1.2
    42.
    Textile mill products, appare
                and other fabricated textile
                products, shoes
    0.4 43. Paper and allied products
    2.2 44. Chemical and allied products, petroleum
        and coal products, rubber and
        miscellaneous plastic products
    0.1 45. Other nondurables
    0.0 46. Nondurables, N.A. what
    0.3 49. Manufacturing, N.A. whether durable or
        nondurable
    5.6 CONSTRUCTION
    51.
    3.0 TRANSPORTATION
    55.
    1.1 COMMUNICATION
    56.
    1.3 OTHER PUBLIC UTILITIES
    57.
    7.6 RETAIL TRADE
    61.
    1.9 WHOLESALE TRADE
    62.
    0.3 TRADE, N.A. WHETHER WHOLESALE OR RETAIL
    69.
    2.9 FINANCE, INSURANCE, AND REAL ESTATE
    71.
    1.2 REPAIR SERVICE
    81.
    1.1 BUSINESS SERVICES
    82.
    1.7 PERSONAL SERVICES
    83.
    0.6 AMUSEMENT, RECREATION, AND RELATED SERVICES
    84.
    1.3 PRINTING, PUBLISHING, AND ALLIED SERVICES
    85.
    3.7 MEDICAL AND DENTAL AND HEALTH SERVICES,
    WHETHER PUBLIC OR PRIVATE
    86.
    4.8 EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, WHETHER PUBLIC OR
    PRIVATE
    87.
    2.9 PROFESSIONAL AND RELATED SERVICES OTHER
    THAN MEDICAL OR EDUCATIONAL
    8.
    0.9 ARMED SERVICES
        91.
    4.7 GOVERNMENT, OTHER THAN MEDICAL OR EDUCATIONAL
        SERVICES; N.A. WHETHER OTHER
        92.
    0.4 99. N.A.; D.K.
30.9 00. Inap.; unemployed; retired; permanently
---- disabled, housewife, student;
99.8 V172 = 3 - 8
```

D5. Do you work for someone else, yourself, or what?

| 176 | 484 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5876)$ | $(10,584)$ |


| 177 | 485 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5877)$ | $(10,585)$ |


| 178 | 486 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5878)$ | $(10,586)$ |

18.2
41.3
0.2
40.3
----
100.0
15.7
2.3
0.0
81.9
---9
99.9
0.1
1.3
0.0
98.6
100.0

V172 = $3-8 ;$ V175 $=2-9$

D7. Is your current job covered by a union contract?
0.2 1. Yes
1.2 5. No
0.0 9. N.A.; D.K.
98.6
-----
59.7
1.5
7.8
0.1
31.0
----1
100.1

1. Someone else
2. Both someone else and self
3. Self only
4. N.A.; D.K.
5. Inap.; unemployed; permanently
disabled, retired, housewife, student; V172 = 3-8

D6. Do you work for the federal, state, or local government?
------------------------------------------------

1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; unemployed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; does not work for someone else only;
------------------------------------------------
12.6
46.9
0.2
40.3
-----
100.0

D9. When you work for others, do you work for
the federal, state or local government?


1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; unemployed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; does not work for both someone else and self; $\mathrm{V} 172=3-8 ; \mathrm{V} 175=1,3$ or 9

D10. Is your current job covered by a union contract?
0. Inap.; unemployed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student, works for self only or works for others only;

| 182 | 490 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5882)$ | $(10,590)$ |

489 $(10,589)$
(10,590)
11.7
3.2
2.2
28.3
5.6
9.5
3.7
4.8
31.0
----
100.0

491
$(10,591)$
47.5
21.4
0.1
31.0
---0
100.0

```
D11. Do you belong to that labor union?
D11. Do you belong to that labor union?
```

0.1 1. Yes
0.0 5. No
0.0 9. N.A.; D.K.
99.8 0. Inap.; unemployed; permanently
disabled, retired, housewife, student;
works for self only or works for others
only; job not covered by union contract;
V172 = 3 - 8; V175 = 1, 3 or 9;
$\mathrm{V} 180=5$ or 9

D12. How much formal education is required
to get a job like yours?

1. 0 - 5 grades
2. 6 - 8 grades; grade school
3. 9 - 11 grades; some high school; junior high
4. 12 grades; high school
5. College, no degree necessary; associate degree
6. College, degree; BA or BS
7. College, advanced or professional degree
8. N.A.; D.K.
9. Inap.; unemployed; permanently
disabled, retired, housewife, student; $\mathrm{V} 172=3-8$

D13. Do you also have to get some work ex-
D13. Do you also have to get some work ex-
perience or special training to get a job like yours?

1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; unemployed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; V172 = $3-8$

D14. What kind of experience or special training is that?
2.9 1. Apprenticeship
7.9 2. Courses; training program; vocational/ trade school
6.6
0.7
4.4
7.0
16.9
0.4
0.7
to get a job like yours?

training is that?

On-the-job training (NOT training program): training on previous job
4. Training plus experience: no mention of apprenticeship, courses, training program, etc.
5. Training, N.A. how acquired: "they teach you how to use machinery," "a year's training," "military training," "you work your way up"
7. Experience; Background: No mention of specific skills; only mentions broad type of work; "public relations background," "knowledge of finance," "mechanical knowledge"
8. Other sxplicit skill, no mention how acquired: mentions taking exam only; "type 30 words/minute," "switchboard," "vocational carpentry" N.A.; D.K.
52.5
0.

Inap.; unemployed; permanently
100.0

493-495
185
$(5885$
(10,593-10,595)
\% nonzero = 68.2
mean nonzero $=36.5$
D15. On a job like yours, how long would it take the average new person to become fully trained and qualified?

001 . One month or less
XXX. Actual number of months
997. Nine hundred ninety seven months or more

496
1.5 3. Many
4.0 7. Other
1.2
31.0
100.0
$\begin{array}{cc}187 & 497 \\ (5887) & (10,597)\end{array}$
30.6
25.1
11.8
0.8
0.7
31.0
----0
100.0

| 188 | $498-500$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5888)$ | $(10,598-10,600)$ |

\% nonzero = 69.0
mean nonzero $=81.0$
31.0
$\begin{array}{cc}189 & 501-502 \\ (5889) & (10,601-10,602)\end{array}$

### 34.6 5. Very few

998. "Always learning;" never fully trained
999. N.A.; D.K.
1000. Inap.; unemployed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; None; V172 = $3-8$

D16. When a job like yours becomes available, would there be many qualified people ready and eager to get it, very few, or what?
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; unemployed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; V172 = 3-8

D17. Would a woman have a harder time getting a job like yours than a man, or an easier time, or what?
. Harder time
3. Same
5. Easier time
7. Other
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; unemployed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; V172 = $3-8$

```
D18. How long have you had your present
    position?
001. One month or less
XXX. Actual number of months
998. 998 months or more
999. N.A.; D.K.
000. Inap.; unemployed; permanently disabled,
    retired, housewife, student;
    V172 = 3 - 8
```

D19. What month did you start this job?

1. January
2. February
3. March
04 . April
4. May
06 . June
5. July
6. August
7. September
8. October
9. November
10. December
11. N.A.; D.K.
12. Inap.; unemployed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; has had job for one year or more; V172 = 3 - 8 or V188 = 012 - 999

| 191 | 504 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5891)$ | $(10,604)$ |

505
$(10,605)$

D20. What happened to the job you had before-did the company fold, were you laid off, or what?
1.0 1. Company folded/changed hands/moved out of town; employer died/went out of business
0.0 2. Strike; lockout
1.8 3. Laid off; fired
4. Quit; resigned; retired; pregnant; needed more money; just wanted a change in jobs; was self-employed before; still has previous job (in addition to the job in D2)
0.9 5. No previous job; first full-time or permanent job Head ever had; wasn't working before this
2.1 6. Promotion
1.5 7. Other--(including drafted into service or any mention of service)
0.5 8. Job was completed; seasonal work; was a temporary job
0.4 9. N.A.; D.K.
84.5
99.9
0. Inap.; unemployed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; has had job for one year or more; V172 = 3-8 or V188 = 012 - 999

D21. On the whole, would you say your present job is better or worse than the one you had before?
11.1
1.5
1.6
0.3
85.5
----
100.0
4.5
1.0
1.0
0.6

1.8

2.1
0.1
1.5
0.4
87.0
----
100.0

D22. Why is it (better/worse)?


1. Better/worse pay
2. More/less steady work; more/less work hours
3. Better/worse opportunity for advancement; any mention of training program
4. Better/worse pension or social security program; any mention of fringe benefits, vacations
5. More/less closely related to my field/area/skill; the type of thing I like to do
6. More/less pleasant working conditions
7. Better/worse than armed services (or any mention thereof)
8. Other
9. N.A.; D.K.
10. Inap.; present job is same as previous job; unemployed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; first job; been on job more than one
```
    year;
    V172 = \(3-8\) or V188 = 012 - 999
    or V190 \(=5\) or V191 \(=3\)
```

| 193 | 506 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5893)$ | $(10,606)$ |


| 194 | 507 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5894)$ | $(10,607)$ |

7.5
61.5
0.0
31.0
----
100.0

| 195 | $508-509$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5895)$ | $(10,608-10,609)$ |

\% nonzero = 7.5
mean nonzero $=1.3$
92.5
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{ccc}196 \\ (5896)\end{array}\right) \begin{array}{cc}510 & \\ & (10,610)\end{array}\right)$

197

(5897) (10,611-10,612)
\% nonzero $=32.2$
mean nonzero $=2.7$
67.8

| 198 | 513 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5898)$ | $(10,613)$ |

$$
\begin{array}{r}
53.0 \\
15.9 \\
0.0 \\
31.1 \\
---- \\
100.0
\end{array}
$$

D23. Does your present job pay more than the one you had before?

1. Yes, more
2. No, same or less
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; first job; been an job more than one year; unemployed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; V172 = 3-8 or V188 = $012-999$ or V190 $=5$

D24. Did you miss any work in 1977 because someone else in the family was sick?
--------------------------------------------------

1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; unemployed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; V172 = 3-8

D25. How much work did you miss?

1. One week or less
XX. Actual number of weeks
2. N.A.; D.K.
3. Inap.; none; unemployed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; V172 = $3-8 ;$ V194 $=5$ or 9

D26. Did you miss any work in 1977 because you were sick?

1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; unemployed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; $\mathrm{V} 172=3-8$

D27. How much work did you miss?
------------------------------------

1. One week or less
XX. Actual number of weeks
2. N.A.; D.K.
3. Inap.; none; unemployed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; V172 = $3-8 ; \mathrm{V} 196=5$ or 9

D28. Did you take any vacation or time off during 1977?


1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; unemployed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; V172 = 3-8
```
199 514-515
(5899) (10,614-10,61
    mean nonzero = 3.4
        47.0
    200
        516
(5900)
    (10,616)
1.5
67.5
0.0
31.0
-----0
100.0
    201
        517-518
(5901) (10,617-10,618)
    % nonzero = 1.5
    mean nonzero = 6.7
        98.5
    202
        519
(5902) (10,619)
    11.0
    58.0
    0.0
    31.0
                -----
100.0
    203
(5903)
        520-521
        (10,620-10,621)
    % nonzero = 11.0
    mean nonzero = 11.8
                            89.0
        204
        522-523
(5904) (10,622-10,623)
    % nonzero = 68.8
        mean nonzero = 45.6
            31.2
        205 cc
```

D33. How much work did you miss?
-----------------------------------

1. One week or less
XX. Actual number of weeks
2. N.A.; D.K.
3. Inap.; none; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; V172 $=3-8 ; \mathrm{V} 202=5$ or 9

D34. Then, how many weeks did you actually work on your main job in 1977?

1. One week or less
XX. Actual number of weeks
2. N.A.; D.K.
3. Inap.; none; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; $\mathrm{V} 172=3-8$

D35. And, on the average, how many hours a week did you work on your main job in 1977?

1. One hour or less
\% nonzero $=68.8$
mean nonzero $=44.0$
31.2

| 206 | 526 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5906)$ | $(10,626)$ |

19.1
49.6
0.1
31.3
-----
100.0

207
(5907) $\quad(10,627)$
29.2
29.9
9.8
0.0
31.1
100.0

208 528-531
(5908) $\quad(10,628-10,631)$
\% nonzero $=29.2$
mean nonzero $=\$ 8.80$
70.8
$\begin{array}{cc}209 & 532 \\ (5909) & (10,632)\end{array}$
8.7
20.3
0.2
70.9
100.1

| 210 | $533-536$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5910)$ | $(10,633-10,636)$ |

\% nonzero $=8.6$
mean nonzero $=\$ 8.89$
91.4
XX.
98.
or more
00. Inap.; none; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; V172 = $3-8 ;$ V204 = 00

D36. Did you work any overtime which isn't included in that?


1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; V172 = 3-8; V204 = 00

D38. Are you salaried, paid by the hour, or what?


1. Salaried
2. Paid by hour
3. Other
4. N.A.; D.K.
5. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student;
V172 = $3-8$
```
D39. How much is your salary?
9998. $99.98 or more per hour
XXXX. Actual dollars and cents per hour
9999. N.A.; D.K.
0000. Inap.; is not salaried; unemployed;
        retired, permanently disabled, house-
        wife, student;
        V172 = 3 - 8; V207 = 3 - 9
    D40. If you were to work more hours than usual
        during some week, would you get paid for
        those extra hours of work?
    1. Yes
    5. No
    9. N.A.; D.K.
    0. Inap.; is not salaried; unemployed;
        retired, permanently disabled, house-
    wife, student;
    V172 = 3 - 8; V207 = 3-9
```

D41. About how much would you make per hour
for that overtime?

9998. $\$ 99.98$ or more per hour
XXXX. Actual dollars and cents per hour
9999. N.A.; D.K.
Inap.; would not get paid; is not
salaried; unemployed; retired,
permanently disabled, housewife,
student;
V172 = $3-8 ; \mathrm{V} 207=3-9$;
V209 = 5 or 9

D42. What is your hourly wage rate for your regular work time?
\% nonzero = 29.9
mean nonzero $=\$ 5.82$
70.1

| 212 | $541-544$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5912)$ | $(10,641-10,644)$ |

\% nonzero $=27.7$
mean nonzero $=\$ 8.66$

545
$(10,645)$
1.1
2.3
0.2
1.0
4.9
0.3
90.2
-----
100.0

| 214 | $546-549$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5914)$ | $(10,646-10,649)$ |

\% nonzero = 5.0
mean nonzero $=\$ 2.24$
95.0

| 215 | 550 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5915)$ | $(10,650)$ |

216
(5916)

551-552
$(10,651-10,652)$

$$
0.1
$$

0.1


D43. What is your hourly wage rate for overtime?

```
9998. $99.98 or more per hour
XXX. Actual dollars and cents per hour
9999. N.A.; D.K.
Inap.; does not get overtime; is not
    paid an hourly wage; unemployed;
    permanently disabled, retired, house-
    wife, student;
    V172 = 3 - 8; V207 = 1, 7 or 9
```

D44. How is that?

1. Piecework
2. Commission
3. Tips; tips and salary
. Salary plus commission
4. Other
5. N.A.; D.K.
wage; unemployed; retired, permanently
disabled, housewife, student;
V172 = $3-8$; V207 = 1, 3 or 9
D45. If you worked an extra hour, how much
would you earn for that hour?
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { 9998. } & \$ 99.98 \text { or more per hour } \\ \text { XXXX. Actual dollar and cents per hour } \\ \text { 9999. } & \text { N.A.; D.K. } \\ \text { 0000. } & \text { Inap.; nothing; is paid a salary } \\ \text { or hourly wage; unemployed; retired, } \\ \text { permanently disabled, housewife, } \\ \text { student; } \\ & \text { V172 }=3-8 ; \mathrm{V} 207=1,3 \text { or } 9\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { D46. } \begin{array}{l}\text { Did you have any extra jobs or other ways } \\ \text { of making money in addition to your main } \\ \text { job in 1977? }\end{array}\end{aligned}$
0.0 9. N.A.; D.K.
31.0 0. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently
disabled, housewife, student;
V172 = 3-8
D47. What did you do?
PROFESSIONAL, TECHNICAL AND KINDRED WORKERS
Other Medical and Paramedical;
veterinarians, nurses, therapists,
healers, dieticians (except medical
13.4 1. Yes
55.5 5. No


MISCELLANEOUS GROUPS
0.2 99. N.A.; D.K.
86.5 00. Inap.; "No" to D46; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, 100.1


| 218 | $554-557$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| (5918) | $(10,654-10,657)$ |
|  |  |
| \% nonzero $=$ | 13.2 |
| mean nonzero $=\$ 33.11$ |  |
|  | 86.8 |


| 219 | $558-559$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $(5919)$ | $(10,658-10,659)$ |
| \% nonzero $=13.4$ |  |
| mean nonzero $=18.7$ |  |
|  | 86.6 |

220
560-561
(5920) $\quad(10,660-10,661)$
\% nonzero $=13.4$
mean nonzero $=15.7$
86.6
$\begin{array}{cc}221 & 562 \\ (5921) & (10,662)\end{array}$

| 222 | $563-566$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5922)$ | $(10,663-10,666)$ |

\% nonzero $=21.8$
mean nonzero $=\$ 8.19$
78.2
$\begin{array}{cc}223 & 567 \\ (5923) & (10,667)\end{array}$

| 224 | 568 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(5924)$ | $(10,668)$ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | 26.2 |
|  |  | 26.7 |
|  |  | 1.7 |
|  |  | 45.4 |
|  |  | --100.0 |


| 225 | 569 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5925)$ | $(10,669)$ |

$$
\begin{array}{r}
4.3 \\
22.2 \\
1.2 \\
72.4 \\
----1 \\
100.1
\end{array}
$$

| 226 | $570-571$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5926)$ | $(10,670-10,671)$ |

\% nonzero = 63.4
mean nonzero $=11$
36.5

## $227 \quad 572$ <br> (5927) (10,672)

D53. How much would you have earned per hour?

| 9998. | $\$ 99.98$ or more per hour |
| :--- | :--- |
| XXXX. Actual dollars and cents per hour |  |
| 9999. | N.A.; D.K. |
| 0000 . |  |
|  | avap.; nothing; no more work |
|  | permanenti unemployed; retired, |
|  | student; |
|  | V172 $=3-8 ; \mathrm{V} 221=5$ or 9 |

D54. Would you have liked to work more if you could have found more work?

1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; more work available; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; V172 = 3-8; V221 = 1

D55. Could you have worked less if you had wanted to?

1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; would have liked more work; "Yes" to D54; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; $\mathrm{V} 172=3-8 ; \mathrm{V} 223=1$

D56. Would you have preferred to work less even if you had earned less money?
---------------------------------------------------

1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; could have worked less; "Yes" to D55; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; $\mathrm{V} 172=3-8 ; \mathrm{V} 223=1 \mathrm{~V} 224=1$

D58. About how many miles is it to where you work? (one way)

1. One mile or less
XX. Actual number of miles
2. 98 miles or more
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; "None" to D57; doesn't travel to work; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; $\mathrm{V} 172=3-8$

D59. Do you use public transportation to get to work, have a car pool, drive by yourself, walk, or what?
4.1 1. Public transportation

```
    2.4
    5.1 3. Car pool
    46.3 4. Drive by self
    2.5 5. Walk
    2.9 7. Other
    0.2 9. N.A.; D.K.
    36.5 0. Inap.; doesn't travel to work;
---- unemployed; retired, permanently
100.0 disabled, housewife, student;
V172 = 3 - 8; V226 = 00
```

| $\begin{gathered} 228 \\ (5928) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 573 \\ (10,673) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 229 \\ (5929) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 574-575 \\ (10,674-1 \end{array}$ |

\% nonzero $=43.8$
mean nonzero $=20$
56.2
$\begin{array}{cc}230 & 576 \\ (5930) & (10,676)\end{array}$
7.1
12.2
0.4
4.1
56.5
100.0
$\begin{array}{cc}231 & 577 \\ (5931) & (10,677)\end{array}$
29.3
14.0
0.2
56.5
----
100.0
6.8 1. Professional and technical workers
1.1 2. Manager and officials
0.1 3. Self-employed businessman
6.8 4. Clerical and salesworkers
4.9 5. Craftsmen and Foremen

D60. Interviewer Checkpoint

1. Head is under 45
2. Head is 45 - 65 years old
3. Head is 65 or older
4. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; V172 = 3 - 8

D61. We are interested in how people hear about and get their jobs. How old were you when you got a job you thought of as a regular or possibly permanent job?
XX. Actual age
97. 97 years old or more
98. Head does not have and never had a regular or permanent job
99. N.A.; D.K.
00. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; Head is 45 years old or older; V172 = 3 - 8; V228 = 3 or 5

D62. What sort of work did you do on that job?

```
------------------------------------------------
```

6. Operatives
7. Unskilled laborer and service worker
8. Farmers and Farm managers, ranchers
9. Miscellaneous; armed forces; protective services; N.A.; D.K.
10. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; Head is 45 years old or older; $\mathrm{V} 172=3-8 ; \mathrm{V} 228=3$ or $5 ; \mathrm{V} 229=98$

D63. Was that the type of job that gave you a lot of useful skills or training?

. Yes
5. No
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; Head is 45 or older; $\mathrm{V} 172=3-8 ; \mathrm{V} 228=3$ or $5 ; \mathrm{V} 229=98$

D64. How did you first hear about that job-was it through a friend, a relative, a want ad, an employment agency or what?
13.6
10.3
3.4
2.4
13.2
0.5
56.5
----
99.9

| 233 | 579 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5933)$ | $(10,679)$ |

$\begin{array}{cc}234 & 580 \\ (5934) & (10,680)\end{array}$
8.8
7.9
2.0
0.0
81.4
----1
100.1
235
$(5935)$

[^0]581 $(10,681)$
4.1
2.8
4.9
2.7
0.5
1.6
1.3
0.6
81.4
$-99.9$
14.0
4.6
0.0
81.4
----0
100.0

D65. Do you think there was anyone who may have helped you get the job?
-------------------------------------------------1

## 1. Yes

5. No
6. N.A.; D.K.
7. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; Head is 45 or older; $\mathrm{V} 172=3-8 ; \mathrm{V} 228=3$ or $5 ; \mathrm{V} 229=98$

D66. Was that a friend, a relative, or who?


1. Friend, acquaintance, neighbor
2. Relative
3. Other
4. N.A.; D.K.
5. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; Head is 45 or older; no one helped Head get job; V172 = 3 - 8; V228 = 3 or 5; V229 = 98; V233 $=5$ or 9

D67. How did they help?
--------------------------

1. Direct influence stated; "gave me the the job"; "got me the job"
2. Direct influence inferred; "friend of the foreman"
3. "Recommended me to employer"
4. "Told employer about me"; (no evidence of recommendation)
5. "Told me to try for job"
6. "Told me about the job"
7. Other
8. N.A.; D.K.
9. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled; housewife, student; Head is 45 or older; no one helped Head get job; V172 = 3 - 8; V228 = 3 or 5; V229 = 98; $\mathrm{V} 233=5$ or 9

## D68. Did they work there?

------------------------------

1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; Head is 45 or older; no one helped Head get job; $\mathrm{V} 172=3-8 ; \mathrm{V} 228=5$ or $9 ; \mathrm{V} 229=98 ;$ V233 = 5 or 9
10.2
3.7
0.1
86.0
----
100.0
$\left.\begin{array}{ccc}238 \\ \text { (5938) }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{cc}584 & \\ & (10,684)\end{array}\right)$

| 239 | 585 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5939)$ | $(10,685)$ |


| 240 | 586 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5940)$ | $(10,686)$ |

10.7
33.0
0.1
56.2
----
100.0
\% nonzero $=33.0$
mean nonzero $=53.3$
23.4
0.6
56.7
-----
(10,686)

D69. Could they have had some say in your getting the job?


1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; Head 45 or older; no one helped Head get job; they did not work there; V172 = 3-8; V228 = 3 or 5 ; V229 = 98; $\mathrm{V} 233=5$ or $9 ; \mathrm{V} 236=5$ or 9

D70. How much say do you think they had?

1. Very much; a lot; "gave me the job"
2. Moderate amount; some
3. Not very much; a little
4. Don't know
5. N.A.
6. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; Head is 45 or older; no one helped get job; they did not work there; had no say in job; V172 = $3-8 ; \mathrm{V} 228=3$ or $5 ; \mathrm{V} 229=98$ V233 = 5 or 9; V236 = 5 or 9; V237 = 5 or 9

D71. Before you got the job, did you know anyone (else) who worked there?
------------------------------------------------1
19.3 1. Yes

D74. How did you first hear about a job with

1. Same
2. Different
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; Head is 45 or older; $\mathrm{V} 172=3-8 ; \mathrm{V} 228=3$ or 5

D73. How long have you been working for your present employer?

1. One month or less
XXX. Actual number of months
2. Nine hundred ninety-eight or more
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently
disabled, housewife, student; Head 45 or older; working for same employer; V172 = $3-8$; V228 = 3 or 5; $\mathrm{V} 240=1$ or 9
D72. Are you still working for that same employer or are you now working for a different one?

- $228=3$ or 5
--------------------------------------------------
your present employer--was it through a
friend, a relative, a want ad, an employ-
ment agency, or what?
```
    11.1
    5.5
    3.7
    2.0
    10.4
    0.4
67.0
-----
```

    243
    (5943)

591
$(10,691)$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
28.3 \\
4.5 \\
0.2 \\
67.0 \\
---- \\
100.0
\end{array}
$$

## 592

593 $(10,693)$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
7.2 \\
3.6 \\
1.6 \\
0.0 \\
87.6 \\
---- \\
\hline 100.0
\end{array}
$$

594
$(10,694)$

D78. How did they help?
-------------------------
2.6
1.5
4.0
1.7
0.3
1.3
0.9
0.2
87.6
---------------------------

1. Direct influence stated; "gave me the job"; "got me the job"
2. Direct influence inferred; "friend of the foreman"
3. "Recommended me to employer"
4. "Told me to try for job"
5. "Told me about the job"
6. Other
7. N.A.; D.K.
8. Inap.; unemployed; retired,

D75. Is this the type of job that gives you useful training or skills?


1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; 45 or older; working for same employer; V172 = $3-8 ;$ V228 = 3 or 5; $\mathrm{V} 240=1$ or 9

D76. Do you think there was anyone who may have helped you get the job?
----------------------------------------------1

1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; 45 or older; working for same employer; V172 = $3-8$; V228 = 3 or 5; $\mathrm{V} 240=1$ or 9

D77. Was that a friend, relative, or who?
-----------------------------------------------1

1. Friend, acquaintance, neighbor
2. Relative
3. Other
4. N.A.; D.K.
5. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; 45 or older; working for same employer; no one helped; $\mathrm{V} 172=3-8 ; \mathrm{V} 228=3$ or 5 ; $\mathrm{V} 240=1$ or $9 ; \mathrm{V} 244=5$ or 9
6. "Told employer about me" (no evidence
```
100.1
    permanently disabled, housewife, student;
    45 or older; working for same employer;
    no one helped;
    V172 = 3 - 8; V228 = 3 or 5; V240 = 1 or 9;
    V244 = 5 or 9
```

247 (5947)
$248 \quad 596$
(5948) $\quad(10,696)$

595
$(10,695)$
6.8
2.2
0.1
91.0
----
100.1

| 249 | 597 |
| :---: | ---: |
| $(5949)$ | $(10,697$ |

4.6
1.1
0.6
0.5
93.2
-----
$\begin{array}{cc}250 & 598 \\ (5950) & (10,698)\end{array}$
14.6
17.6
0.5
67.3
100.0
\% nonzero = 23.1
mean nonzero $=54$

D79. Did they work for your present employer?


1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; 45 or older; works for same employer; no one helped;
V172 = $3-8 ;$ V228 = 3 or 5;
$\mathrm{V} 240=1$ or $9 ; \mathrm{V} 244=5$ or 9

D80. Could they have had some say in your getting the job?


1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; no one helped; did not work for present employer;
$\mathrm{V} 172=3-8 ; \mathrm{V} 228=3$ or 5 ;
$\mathrm{V} 240=1$ or $9 ; \mathrm{V} 247=5$ or 9

D81. How much say do you think they had?

1. Very much; a lot; "gave me the job"
2. Moderate amount; some
3. Not very much; a little
4. N.A.; D.K.
5. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; 45 or older; works for same employer; no one helped; did not work for present employer;
V172 = $3-8 ; \mathrm{V} 228=3$ or 5 ; $\mathrm{V} 240=1$ or 9 ; $\mathrm{V} 244=5$ or 9 ; $\mathrm{V} 247=5$ or $9 ; \mathrm{V} 248=5$ or 9

D82. Before you got your first job with your present employer, did you know anyone (else) who worked there?

1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; 45 or older; works for same employer; V172 = 3-8; V228 = 3 or 5 ; $\mathrm{V} 240=1$ or 9

D83. Now I have some questions about retirement and planning for the future. At what age do you think you will retire from the main work you are now doing?
45. Forty-five years old
XX. Actual age plans to retire
96. Ninety-six years or older
97.
98. Don't know
99. N.A.
76.9 00. Inap.; unemployed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; under 45 or over 64;
V172 = $3-8 ; \mathrm{V} 228=1$ or 5

| 252 | 601 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5952)$ | $(10,701)$ |


| 253 | 602 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5953)$ | $(10,702)$ |

$\begin{array}{lr}254 & 603 \\ (5954) & (10,703)\end{array}$
(5954) (10,703)

604
$(10,704)$

605
$(10,705)$

D88. Taking everything into account, if you retired at 65 would you expect to have a retirement income that was not enough, just enough, or more than enough, or what?

3.3 5. More than enough
0.5 7. Other
1.6
0.2
76.9
-----
99.9

| 257 | 606 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5957)$ | $(10,706)$ |

5.4 5. No
0.5
0.2
76.9
100.0

258
(5958)
newsboys, hucksters, peddlers, travel-
ling salesmen, advertising agents and salesmen, insurance agents, brokers, and salesmen, etc.

CRAFTSMEN, FOREMEN, AND KINDRED WORKERS
0.0 50. Foremen, n.e.c.
0.4 51. Other craftsmen and kindred workers
0.0 52. Government protective service workers; firemen, police, marshals, and constables
0.0 55. Members of armed forces

OPERATIVES AND KINDRED WORKERS
0.1 61. Transport equipment operatives
0.4 62. Operatives, except transport

LABORERS
0.2 70. Unskilled laborers--nonfarm
0.0 71. Farm laborers and foremen

SERVICE WORKERS
0.0 73. Private household workers
0.3 75. Other service workers: barbers, beauticians, manicurists, bartenders, boarding and lodging housekeepers, counter and fountain workers, housekeepers and stewards, waiters, cooks, midwives, practical nurses, babysitters, attendants in physicians' and dentists' offices NOTE: For government protective service workers (firemen, police, etc.), see code 52

FARMERS AND FARM MANAGERS
0.0 80. Farmers (owners and tenants) and managers (except code 71)

MISCELLANEOUS GROUPS
99. N.A.; D.K.
00. Inap.; not in labor force; employed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; V172 = $1-2,4-8$


609-612
(10,709-10, 712)
\% nonzero $=2.8$
mean nonzero $=\$ 4.86$
97.2

260
613
(5960) (10,713)

$$
0.3
$$

$$
0.2
$$

$$
0.2
$$

$$
2.2
$$

$$
0.1
$$

$$
0.0
$$

$$
97.2
$$

$$
100.2
$$

E2. How much would you expect to earn?
--------------------------------------------1
9998. $\$ 99.98$ per hour or more

XXXX. Actual dollars and cents per hour
9999. N.A.; D.K.
0000. Inap.; employed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student;
V172 $=1-2,4-8$

E3. Will you have to get any training to qualify?


1. Yes, and mentions the explicit training needed; or that he is getting trained
2. Yes, but does not mention what
3. Might, may be helpful (R is a little vague about the whole thing)
4. No
5. D.K.
6. N.A.
7. Inap.; employed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student;
V172 = $1-2,4-8$

| 2.3 | 1. | Yes |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0.6 | 5. | No |
| 0.0 | 9. | N.A.; D.K. |
| 97.2 | 0. | Inap.; employed; permanently disabled, |
| ---- | retired, housewife, student; |  |
| 100.1 |  | V172 $=1-2,4-8$ |


| 262 | 615 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5962)$ | $(10,715)$ |

0.2
0.2
0.3
0.2
1.1
0.1
97.8
----
99.9

| 263 | 616 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5963)$ | $(10,716)$ |

1.1
1.7
0.0
0.0
97.2 100.0

| 264 | 617 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5964)$ | $(10,717)$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 265 | 618 |
| $(5965)$ | $(10,718)$ |

0.8
1.9
0.1
0.0
97.2
----
100.0
1.8
1.0
0.1
0.0
97.2
----
100.1

E5. How many places have you been to in the
last few weeks to find out about a job?
$-------------------------------------------$

1. One
2. Two
3. Three
4. Four
5. Five or more
6. N.A.; D.K.
7. Inap.; none; employed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; V172 = 1 - 2, $4-8 ; ~ V 261=5$ or 9

E6. Are there some jobs around here you wouldn't take because of where these jobs are located?

1. Yes
2. No
3. D.K.
4. N.A.
5. Inap.; employed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; V172 = $1-2,4-8$

E7. Are there some jobs around here you wouldn't take because of the hours they want you to work?


1. Yes
2. No
3. D.K.
4. N.A.
5. Inap.; employed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; V172 = $1-2,4-8$

E8. Are there jobs around here that just aren't worth taking?
$------------------------------------------------1$

1. Yes
2. No
3. D.K.
4. N.A.
5. Inap.; employed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; V172 = $1-2,4-8$

E9. How much do they pay?
998. \$9.98 per hour or more
XXX. Actual dollars and cents per hour
999. N.A.; D.K.
000. Inap.; no jobs not worth taking; employed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; V172 = $1-2,4-8 ; \mathrm{V} 265=5,8$ or 9

619-621
(5966) $\quad(10,719-10,721)$
\% nonzero = 1.8
mean nonzero $=\$ 2.72$
98.2


631
\% nonzero = 2.2
mean nonzero $=23$
97.8

| 274 | 634 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5974)$ | $(10,734)$ |


| 275 | 635 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5975)$ | $(10,735)$ |

$276 \quad 636$
(5976) $\quad(10,736)$
0.0
97.2
-----
100.0

632-633
$(10,732-10,733)$
mean nonzero $=23$
$(10,734)$
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.6
0.1
0.3
0.8
0.0
0.2
97.8
---8
99.8
$(10,735)$

E15. Interviewer Checkpoint
--------------------------------
2.2 1. Head is under 45
0.6 5. Head is 45 or older

E16. We are interested in how people hear about and get their jobs. How old were you when you first got a job you thought of as a regular or possibly permanent job?
XX. Actual age
97. 97 years or older
98. Head has never had a regular or permanent job
99. N.A.; D.K.
00. Inap.; employed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; 45 or older;
V172 = 1 - 2, $4-8 ; \mathrm{V} 271=5$ or 9 ; $\mathrm{V} 272=5$

E17. What sort of work did you do on that job?

1. Professional and technical workers
2. Managers and officials
3. Self-employed businessmen
4. Clerical and sales workers
5. Craftsmen and foremen
6. Operatives
7. Unskilled laborers and service workers
8. Farmers and farm managers; ranchers
9. Miscellaneous; armed services, protective service workers
10. Inap.; employed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; 45 or older;
$\mathrm{V} 172=1-2,4-8 ; \mathrm{V} 271=5$ or 9 ; $\mathrm{V} 272=5 ; \mathrm{V} 273=98$ or 99

E18. Was that the type of job that gave you a lot of useful skills or training?

1.3 1. Yes
0.9 5. No
0.0 9. N.A.; D.K.
97.8 0. Inap.; employed; retired, permanently
----- disabled, housewife, student; 45 or older; $\mathrm{V} 172=1-2,4-8 ; \mathrm{V} 271=5$ or 9 ; $\mathrm{V} 272=5 ; \mathrm{V} 273=98$ or 99

E19. How did you first hear about that job-was it through a friend, a relative, a want ad, an employment agency or what?
0.7 1. Friend, acquaintance, neighbor
0.6 2. Relative

```
    0.2
    0.2
    0.5
    0.0
    97.8
-----
100.0
```

E20. Do you think there was anyone who may have helped you get the job?
-------------------------------------------------1

1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; employed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; 45 or older; $\mathrm{V} 172=1-2,4-8 ; \mathrm{V} 271=5$ or 9 ; $\mathrm{V} 272=5 ; \mathrm{V} 273=98$ or 99

E21. Was that a friend, a relative, or who?
---------------------------------------------------

1. Friend, acquaintance, neighbor
2. Relative
3. Other
4. N.A.; D.K.
5. Inap.; employed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; 45 or older; $\mathrm{V} 172=1-2,4-8 ; \mathrm{V} 271=5$ or 9 ; $\mathrm{V} 272=5 ; \mathrm{V} 273=98$ or 99 ; $\mathrm{V} 277=5$ or 9

E22. How did they help?

1. Direct influence stated; "gave me the job"; "got me the job"
2. Direct influence inferred; "friend of the foreman"
3. "Recommended me to employer"
4. "Told employer about me" (no evidence of recommendation)
5. "Told me to try for job"
6. "Told me about the job"
7. Other
8. N.A.; D.K.
9. Inap.; employed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; $\mathrm{V} 172=1-2,4-8 ; \mathrm{V} 271=5$ of 9 ; $\mathrm{V} 272=5 ; \mathrm{V} 273=98$ or 99 ; V277=5 or 9

E23. Did they work there?
-----------------------------

1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; employed; retired, permanently disabled, housewife, student; 45 or older; $\mathrm{V} 172=1-2,4-8 ; \mathrm{V} 271=5$ or 9 ; $\mathrm{V} 272=5 ; \mathrm{V} 273=98$ or 99 ; V277 = 5 or 9

E24. Could they have had some say in your getting the job?
277

637
$(10,737)$

638
$(10,738)$
0.5
0.5
0.1
0.0
99.0
-----
100.1

639 $(10,739)$
0.2
0.2
0.2
0.1
0.1
0.1
0.1
0.0
99.0
-----

640 $(10,740)$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
0.7 \\
0.3 \\
0.0 \\
99.0 \\
----- \\
100.0
\end{array}
$$

```
    0.5
    0.2
    0.0 9. N.A.; D.K.
    99.3 0. Inap.; employed; retired, permanently
    disabled, housewife, student;
100.0 V172 = 1 - 2, 4 - 8; V271 = 5 or 9;
V272 = 5; V273 = 98 or 99;
V277 = 5 or 9; V280 = 5 or 9
```

| 282 | 642 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5982)$ | $(10,742)$ |

    E25. How much say do you think they had?
    0.3 1. Very much; a lot; "gave me the job"
    0.1 3. Moderate amount; some
    0.0 5. Not very much; a little
    0.1 9. N.A.; D.K.
    99.5 0. Inap.; employed; retired, permanently
disabled, housewife, student; 45 or
older;
V172 = $1-2,4-8 ; \mathrm{V} 271=5$ or 9 ;
$\mathrm{V} 272=5 ; \mathrm{V} 273=98$ or $99 ; \mathrm{V} 277=5$ or 9 ;
$\mathrm{V} 280=5$ or $9 ; \mathrm{V} 281=5$ or 9
E26. Before you got the job, did you know
anyone (else) who worked there?
283
$(5983)$

643
$(10,743)$

644-645 (10,744-10,745)
1.0
1.1
0.0
97.8
99.9
5)

Self-employed (unincorporated

CLERICAL AND KINDRED WORKERS
0.0 40. Secretaries, stenographers, typists
0.4 41. Other Clerical Workers: agents (n.e.c.)
library assistants and attendants, bank
tellers, cashiers, bill collectors,
ticket, station and express agents, etc.,
receptionists
SALES WORKERS
0.1 45. Retail store salesmen and sales clerks, newsboys, hucksters, peddlers, travelling salesmen, advertising agents and salesmen, insurance agents, brokers, and salesmen, etc.

CRAFTSMEN, FOREMEN, AND KINDRED WORKERS
0.0 50. Foremen, n.e.c.
0.4 51. Other craftsmen and kindred workers
0.0 52. Government protective service workers; firemen, police, marshals, and constables
0.0 55. Members of armed forces

OPERATIVES AND KINDRED WORKERS
0.1 61. Transport equipment operatives
0.5 62. Operatives, except transport

LABORERS
0.1 70. Unskilled laborers--nonfarm
71. Farm laborers and foremen

SERVICE WORKERS
0.0 73. Private household workers
0.4 75. Other service workers: barbers, beauticians, manicurists, bartenders, boarding and lodging housekeepers, counter and fountain workers, housekeepers and stewards, waiters, cooks, midwives, practical nurses, babysitters, attendants in physicians' and dentists' offices
NOTE: For government protective service workers (firemen, police, etc.) see code 52

FARMERS AND FARM MANAGERS
0.0 80. Farmers (owners and tenants) and managers (except code 71)

MISCELLANEOUS GROUPS
0.1 99. N.A.; D.K.
97.2
99.8

646-647 (10,746-10,747)
00. Inap.; not in labor force; employed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; never worked; V172 = $1-2,4-8 ;$ V271 $=5$ or 9
E28. What kind of business was that in?
THE TWO-DIGIT INDUSTRY CODE
0.2 AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY
11.
0.0 MINING AND EXTRACTION
12.
MANUFACTURING DURABLES
0.1 30. Metal industries
0.1 31. Machinery, including electrical
0.0 32. Motor vehicles and other transporta-
tion equipment
0.1 33. Other durables
0.0 34. Durables, N.A. what

```
    MANUFACTURING NONDURABLES
    0.1 40. Food and kindred products
    0.0 41. Tobacco manufacturing
    0.1 42. Textile mill products, apparel and
        other fabricated textile products,
        shoes
    0.0 43. Paper and allied products
    0.0 44. Chemical and allied products, petro-
        leum and coal products, rubber and
        miscellaneous plastic products
    0.0 45. Other nondurables
    0.0 46. Nondurables, N.A. what
    0.0 49. Manufacturing, N.A. whether durable or
        nondurable
    0.3 CONSTRUCTION
    51.
    0.1 TRANSPORTATION
    55.
    0.0 COMMUNICATION
    56.
    0.0 OTHER PUBLIC UTILITIES
    57.
    0.5 RETAIL TRADE
    6 1 .
    0.1 WHOLESALE TRADE
    62.
    0.0 TRADE, N.A. WHETHER WHOLESALE OR RETAIL
    69.
    0.1 FINANCE, INSURANCE, AND REAL ESTATE
    71.
    0.0 REPAIR SERVICE
    81.
    0.1 BUSINESS SERVICES
    82.
    0.2 PERSONAL SERVICES
    83.
    0.1 AMUSEMENT, RECREATION AND RELATED SERVICES
    84.
    0.0 PRINTING, PUBLISHING AND ALLIED SERVICES
    85.
    0.1 MEDICAL AND DENTAL AND HEALTH SERVICES,
    WHETHER PUBLIC OR PRIVATE
    86.
    0.1 EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, WHETHER PUBLIC OR
    PRIVATE
    87.
    0.1 PROFESSIONAL AND RELATED SERVICES OTHER
        THAN MEDICAL OR EDUCATIONAL
        8.
    0.0 ARMED SERVICES
    91.
    0.1 GOVERNMENT, OTHER THAN MEDICAL OR EDUCA-
        TIONAL SERVICES; N.A. WHETHER OTHER
        92.
    0.1 99. N.A.; D.K.
97.2 00. Inap.; employed; retired, permanently
---- disabled, housewife, student;
99.9 V172 = 1 - 2, 4 - 8
```


\% nonzero = 0.3
mean nonzero $=1.0$

| 292 | 657 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5992)$ | $(10,757)$ |


| 293 | $658-659$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5993)$ | $(10,758-10,759)$ |

\% nonzero = 1.0
mean nonzero $=3.0$

| 294 | 660 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(5994)$ | $(10,760)$ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.0 |
|  |  | 2.3 |
|  |  | 0.0 |
|  |  | --1.6 |
|  |  | 99.9 |


| 295 | $661-662$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5995)$ | $(10,761-10,762)$ |

$\begin{array}{cc}296 & 663 \\ (5996) & (10,763)\end{array}$
1.0
1.4
0.0
97.6
----
100.0
99.0

E34. How much work did you miss?

1. One week or less
XX. Actual number of weeks
2. N.A.; D.K.
3. Inap.; none; employed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; never worked;
V172 = $1-2,4-8 ; \mathrm{V} 271=5$ or 9 ;
$\mathrm{V} 287=01-76,99 ; \mathrm{V} 290=5$ or 9

E35. Did you miss any work in 1977 because you were sick?


1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; employed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; never worked; V172 = $1-2,4-8 ; \mathrm{V} 271=5$ or 9 ; $\mathrm{V} 287=01-76,99$

E36. How much work did you miss?

1. One week or less
XX. Actual number of weeks
2. N.A.; D.K.
3. Inap.; none; employed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; never worked;
V172 = $1-2,4-8 ; \mathrm{V} 271=5$ or 9 ;
$\mathrm{V} 287=01-76,99 ; \mathrm{V} 292=5$ or 9

E37. Did you miss any work in 1977 because you were on strike?


1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; employed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; never worked; V172 = $1-2,4-8 ; \mathrm{V} 271=5$ or 9 ; $\mathrm{V} 287=01-76,99$

E38. How much work did you miss?

1. One week or less
XX. Actual number of weeks
2. N.A.; D.K.
3. Inap.; none; employed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; never worked;
V172 = $1-2,4-8 ; ~ V 271=5$ or
$\mathrm{V} 287=01-76,99 ; \mathrm{V} 294=5$ or 9

E39. Did you miss any work in 1977 because you were unemployed or temporarily laid off?
1.6 1. Yes
0.8 5. No
0.0 9. N.A.; D.K.
97.6 0. Inap.; none; employed; permanently
disabled, retired, housewife, student;
never worked;
V172 = $1-2,4-8 ; \mathrm{V} 271=5$ or 9 ;
V287 = $01-76,99$

297
664-665
(5997) $\quad(10,764-10,765)$
\% nonzero $=1.6$
mean nonzero $=18.7$
98.4

| 298 | $666-667$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(5998)$ | $(10,766-10,767)$ |

\% nonzero $=2.4$
mean nonzero $=33.3$
97.6
$\begin{array}{cc}299 & 668-669 \\ (5999) & (10,768-10,769)\end{array}$
\% nonzero $=2.4$
mean nonzero $=40.0$
97.6

300
$(6000)$
670-671
(6000) (10,770-10,771)
\% nonzero $=2.2$
mean nonzero $=9$
97.8
$\begin{array}{cc}301 & 672 \\ (6001) & (10,772)\end{array}$

E40. How much work did you miss?

1. One week or less
XX. Actual number of weeks
2. N.A.; D.K.
3. Inap.; none; employed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; never worked;
V172 = $1-2,4-8 ; \mathrm{V} 271=5$ or 9 ; $\mathrm{V} 287=01-76,99 ; \mathrm{V} 296=5$ or 9

E41. Then, how many weeks did you actually work on your job in 1977?

1. One week or less
XX. Actual number of weeks
2. N.A.; D.K.
3. Inap.; none; employed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; V172 = $1-2,4-8 ; \mathrm{V} 271=5$ or 9 ; $\mathrm{V} 287=01-76,99$

E42. And, on average, how many hours a week did you work when you worked?

1. One hour or less
XX. Actual number of hours per week
2. 98 hours or more
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; none; employed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; never worked;
V172 = $1-2,4-8 ; \mathrm{V} 270=5$ or 9 ;
$\mathrm{V} 287=01-76,99 ; \mathrm{V} 298=00$

E44. About how many miles was it to where you worked? (one way)

1. One mile or less
XX. Actual number of miles
2. 98 miles or more
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; "none" to E43; employed; permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student; never worked; $\mathrm{V} 172=1-2,4-8 ; \mathrm{V} 270=5$ or 9 ; $\mathrm{V} 287=01-76,99$

E45. Did you use public transportation to get to work, have a car pool, drive by yourself, walk, drive with your wife, or what?
0.3 1. Public transportation
0.0 2. Drive with Wife
0.1 3. Car pool
1.3 4. Drive by self
0.2 5. Walk
0.2 7. Other
0.0 9. N.A.; D.K.
97.8 0. Inap.; did not travel to work; "None" to E43; employed, permanently disabled, retired, housewife, student;

```
V172 = 1 - 2, 4 - 8;
V271 = 5 or 9; V287=01 - 76, 99;
V300=00
```

302
$(6002)$

```
303
(6003)
674-675 (10,774-10,775)
```

304

673
$(10,773)$
16.2
11.8
72.0
----
100.0

676
$(10,776)$
1st
--
6.3
3.8
0.2
1.9

1.1
0.7
0.1
0.5
0.3
85.0
----9
99.9

677
$(10,777)$

## F1. Interviewer Checkpoint

-----------------------------

1. Retired
2. Permanently disabled, housewife, student or other
3. Inap.; employed; unemployed; V172 = 1 - 3

F2. In what year did you retire?
------------------------------------
XX. Last two digits of actual year retired
99. N.A.; D.K.
00. Inap.; employed; unemployed; permanently disabled, housewife; student; $\mathrm{V} 172=1-3 ; \mathrm{V} 302=5$

F3. How did you happen to retire when you did? FIRST MENTION

1. Reason referring specifically to retirement age or eligibility
2. Health of self reasons
3. Financial reasons
4. R refers to having worked long enough, to being tired of work; did not want to work
5. Other job reasons; except code 4
6. Family reasons; health of other
7. Recreational reasons; "to enjoy life"
8. Other
9. N.A.; D.K.
10. Inap.; employed; unemployed; permanently disabled, student, housewife; retired 20 or more years ago; V172 = 1 - 3; V302 = 5; $\mathrm{V} 303=01-58,99$

F3. How did you happen to retire when you did?

2nd

$$
0.0
$$

0.3
0.2
0.6
0.5
0.3
0.2
0.2
0.1
97.6
-----

SECOND MENTION

1. Reason referring specifically to retirement age or eligibility
2. Health of self reasons
3. Financial reasons
4. R refers to having worked long enough, to being tired of work; did not want to work
5. Other job reasons; except code 4
6. Family reasons; health of other
7. Recreational reasons; "to enjoy life"
8. Other
9. N.A.; D.K.
10. Inap.; employed; unemployed; permanently disabled, student, housewife; retired 20 or more years ago; $\mathrm{V} 172=1-3 ; \mathrm{V} 302=5$; $\mathrm{V} 303=01-58,99$
```
306
    678
    (10,778)
    F4. Had you planned to retire then, or did
    you retire unexpectedly, or what?
    6 7 9
    (10,779)
```

$\left.\begin{array}{ccc}308 \\ (6008)\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}680 \\ (10,780)\end{array}\right)$

| 309 | 681 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(6009)$ | $(10,781)$ |

4.7
10.1
0.2
85.0
----
100.0

682
$(10,782)$
0.4
85.0
100.0

10,781)
3.2
0.1
0.2
0.9
0.5
0.0
0.2
0.0
94.5
99.9

## .

F6. Were you willing or even glad to retire, or did you only retire because you had to, or what?
8.0 I. Willing/glad to retire
5.7 5. Only retired because had to
0.9 7. Other
4.4
10.2
0.4
85.0

F7. Have you worked and earned any money since

F5. What happened to make you retire?
------------------------------------------

1. Reason referring specifically to retirement age or eligibility
-----
```
F4. Had you planned to retire then, or did
1. Planned to retire
5. Retired unexpectedly
7. Other
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; employed; unemployed; permanently
disabled, housewife, student; retired 20
or more years ago;
V172 = 1 - 3; V302 = 5;
V303 = 01 - 58, 99
    2. Health of self
    3. Financial reasons
    4. R refers to having worked long
    enough, to being tired of work; did not
    want to work
    5. Other job reasons
    6. Family reasons; health of other family
    members
    7. Recreational reasons; "to enjoy life"
    8. Other
    9. N.A.; D.K.
    0. Inap.; employed; unemployed; permanently
    disabled, housewife, student; retired 20
    or more years ago; planned to retire
    V172 = 1 - 3; V302 = 5;
    V303 = 01 - 58, 99; V306 = 1, 7 or 9
    9. N.A.; D.K.
    0. Inap.; employed; unemployed; permanently
        disabled, housewife, student; retired 20
    or more years ago;
    V172 = 1 - 3; V302 = 5;
    V303 = 01 - 58, 99
        you retired?
    1. Yes
    5. No
    9. N.A.; D.K.
    0. Inap.; employed; unemployed; permanently
        disabled, housewife, student; retired
    20 or more years ago;
    V172 = 1 - 3; V302 = 5;
    V303 = 01 - 58, 99
F8. Do you do any volunteer work, for a church,
        charity, or somewhere else?
```



```
    1. Yes
    5. No
    9. N.A.; D.K.
    0. Inap.; employed; unemployed; permanently
        disabled, housewife, student; retired
```

20 or more years ago,
V172 = 1 - 3; V302 = 5;
$\mathrm{V} 303=01-58,99$
311
$(6011)$

| 312 | 684 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(6012)$ | $(10,784)$ |

683
$(10,783)$

685
$(10,785)$

| 0.3 | 1 | sing, including solicitation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.4 | 2 | Leadership, participation in management of organization, boards or committees |
| 1.1 | 3. | Clerical or manual labor, secretarial, cleaning, painting, cooking, babysitting |
| 0.1 | 4. | Teaching, scout leader, speaking, Day Care Group, nursery school |
| 0.1 | 5. | Professional, skill related to R's previous occupation or specialized skill |
| 0.5 | 6 | Personal contact, visitations, counseling, hospital work, political campaigning; passing out literature |
| 0.4 | 7. | Organized social functions; ushering; choir |
| 1.3 | 8. | Other |
| 0.3 | 9 | N.A.; D.K. |
| 95.6 | 0 | Inap.; employed; unemployed; permanently |
|  |  | disabled, housewife, student; retired 20 |
| 100.1 |  | or more years ago; $\mathrm{V} 172=1-3 ; \mathrm{V} 302=5 ;$ |

F10. Is there some kind of paid work you would do if a job like that were available?

4.2
10.4 0.4
85.1
-----
100.1
0.8
0.3
0.2
0.1
0.3
95.8
99.9
99.9

F9. What do you do?
---------------------
. Fund raising, including solicitation eadership, participation in committees cleaning, painting, cooking, babysitting Professional, skill related to R's previous occupation or specialized kill counseling, hospital work, political campaigning; passing out literature choir
8. Other
9. N.A.; D.K.

Inap.; employed; unemployed; permanently
or more years ago; V303 = $01-58, ~ 99 ; ~ V 310=5$ or 9

1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; employed; unemployed; permanently disabled, housewife, student; retired 20 or more years ago; V172 = 1 - 3; V302 = 5; V303 = 01 - 58, 99

F11. What kind of work would that be?
-----------------------------------------

1. Mentions some explicit job or type of work, e.g., machinist, computer programmer, etc.; self-employed with mention of explicit type of work/ field/area
2. Mentions broad type of work, e.g., hospital work, job at factory or school; self-employment with no mention of any explicit type of work
3. Vague; mentions only company name
4. Mentions temporary/intermittent work
5. Other
6. N.A.; D.K.
7. Inap.; employed; unemployed; permanently disabled, housewife, student; retired 20 or more years ago; V172 = 1 - 3; V302 = 5; V303 = $01-58, ~ 99 ; ~ V 312=5$ or 9

F12. Considering income and expenses, are you living better than before you retired, about as well, not quite as well, much worse, or what?
1.6 1. Better

```
    8.1
    3.4
        1.0
        0.2
        0.5
        85.2
        ------
```

    315
    (6015)
$316 \quad 688$
(6016) (10,788)
687
$(10,787)$
11.9
2.2
0.7
85.2
----
100.0
3.4
6.0
2.6
1.5
0.4
0.1
0.8
85.2
---0. 0
317
(6017)
690-691
(10,790-10,791)
7.0
20.8
0.1
72.2
-----
100.1
689
$(10,789)$

|  | PROFESSIONAL, TECHNICAL AND KINDRED WORKERS |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 10. Physicians (medical and osteopathic), Dentists |
| 0.1 | 11. Other Medical and Paramedical; chiropractors, optometrists, pharmacists, veterinarians, nurses, therapists, healers, dieticians (except medical and dental technicians, see 16) |
| 0.0 | 12. Accountants and Auditors |
| 0.1 | 13. Teachers, Primary and Secondary Schools (including N.A. type) |
| 0.0 | 14. Teachers, College; Social Scientists; and Biological Scientists |
| 0.1 | 15. Architects; Chemists; Engineers; Physical and Biological Scientists |

```
0.2
    16.
    Technicians: Airplane pilots and
    navigators, designers, draftsmen,
    foresters and conservationists,
    embalmers, photographers, radio opera-
    tors, surveyors, technicians (medical,
    dental, testing, n.e.c.)
    0.1 17. Public Advisors: Clergymen, editors
        and reporters, farm and home manage-
        ment advisors, personnel and labor
        relations workers, public relations
        persons, publicity workers, religious,
        social and welfare workers
    0.0 18. Judges; Lawyers
    0.0 19. Professional, technical, and kindred
        workers not listed above
    MANAGERS, OFFICIALS, AND PROPRIETORS
    (EXCEPT FARM)
    0.4 20. Not self-employed
    0.4 31. Self-employed (unincorporated
        businesses)
    CLERICAL AND KINDRED WORKERS
    0.2 40. Secretaries, stenographers, typists
    0.4 41. Other Clerical Workers: agents (n.e.c.),
    library assistants and attendants,
    bank tellers, cashiers, bill collectors,
    ticket, station and express agents, etc.,
    receptionists
    SALES WORKERS
    0.4 45. Retail store salesmen and sales clerks,
    newsboys, hucksters, peddlers, travel-
    ling salesmen, advertising agents and
    salesmen, insurance agents, brokers,
    and salesmen, etc.
    CRAFTSMEN, FOREMEN, AND KINDRED WORKERS
    0.0 50. Foremen, n.e.c.
    0.7 51. Other craftsmen and kindred workers
    0.0 52. Government protective service workers;
        firemen, police, marshals, and
        constables
    0.1 55. Members of armed forces
    OPERATIVES AND KINDRED WORKERS
    0.3 61. Transport equipment operatives
    0.5 62. Operatives, except transport
    LABORERS
    0.5 70. Unskilled laborers - nonfarm
    0.1 71. Farm laborers and foremen
    SERVICE WORKERS
    0.1 73. Private household workers
    1.4 75. Other service workers; barbers,
        beauticians, manicurists, bar-
        tenders, boarding and lodging
        housekeepers, counter and fountain
        workers, housekeepers and stewards,
        waiters, cooks, midwives, practical
        nurses, babysitters, attendants in
        physicians' and dentists' offices
        NOTE: For government protective
        service workers (firemen, police,
        etc.), see code 52
        FARMERS AND FARM MANAGERS
    0.4 80. Farmers (owners and tenants) and
        managers (except code 71)
    0.4 99. N.A.; D.K.
93.1 00. Inap.; employed; unemployed;
        V172 = 1 - 3; V317 = 5 or 9
100.0
```

| 0.7 | AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY AND FISHING 11. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | MINING AND EXTRACTION 21. |
|  | MANUFACTURING DURABLES |
| 0.0 | 30. Metal industries |
| 0.2 | 31. Machinery, including electrical |
| 0.1 | 32. Motor vehicles and other transportation equipment |
| 0.1 | 33. Other durables |
| 0.0 | 34. Durables, N.A. what |
|  | MANUFACTURING NONDURABLES |
| 0.0 | 40. Food and kindred products |
| 0.0 | 41. Tobacco manufacturing |
| 0.2 | 42. Textile mill products, apparel and other fabricated textile products, shoes |
| 0.0 | 43. Paper and allied products |
| 0.0 | 44. Chemical and allied products, petroleum and coal products, rubber and miscellaneous plastic products |
| 0.0 | 45. Other nondurables |
| 0.0 | 46. Nondurables, N.A. what |
| 0.0 | 49. Manufacturing, N.A. whether durable or nondurable |
| 0.6 | CONSTRUCTION 51 |
| 0.2 | TRANSPORTATION 55. |
| 0.0 | COMMUNICATION 56. |
| 0.1 | OTHER PUBLIC UTILITIES 57. |
| 1.0 | RETAIL TRADE 61. |
| 0.1 | WHOLESALE TRADE 62. |
| 0.0 | TRADE, N.A. WHETHER WHOLESALE OR RETAIL 69. |
| 0.3 | FINANCE, INSURANCE, AND REAL ESTATE 71. |
| 0.2 | REPAIR SERVICE 81. |
| 0.3 | BUSINESS SERVICES 82. |
| 0.8 | PERSONAL SERVICES 83. |
| 0.1 | AMUSEMENT, RECREATION, AND RELATED SERVICES 84. |
| 0.1 | PRINTING, PUBLISHING, AND ALLIED SERVICES 85. |
| 0.4 | MEDICAL AND DENTAL AND HEALTH SERVICES, WHETHER PUBLIC OR PRIVATE 86. |
| 0.4 | EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, WHETHER PUBLIC OR PRIVATE $87 .$ |


| 0.3 | PROFESSIONAL AND RELATED SERVICES OTHER THAN MEDICAL OR EDUCATIONAL 88. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | ARMED SERVICES 91. |
| 0.5 | GOVERNMENT, OTHER THAN MEDICAL OR EDUCATIONAL SERVICES; N.A. WHETHER OTHER 92. |
| 0.2 | 99. N.A.; D.K. |
| 93.1 | 00. Inap.; employed; unemployed; <br> $\mathrm{V} 172=1-3 ; \mathrm{V} 317=5$ or 9 |


| 320 | $694-695$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(6020)$ | $(10,794-10,795)$ |

```
    % nonzero = 7.0
    mean nonzero = 22.8
```

| 321 | $696-697$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(6021)$ | $(10,796-10,797)$ |

\% nonzero $=6.9$
mean nonzero $=26.1$
93.1

$$
\begin{gathered}
322 \\
(6022)
\end{gathered}
$$

698
$(10,798)$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2.7 \\
4.1 \\
0.2 \\
93.1 \\
-\quad 100.1
\end{array}
$$

323
(6023) (10,799)

```
700
```

F22.
Are you thinking of getting (a/another)
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; employed; unemployed;
V172 = 1 - 3
100.0

701-702 (10,801-10, 802)
95.9
0.1
0.0
0.3
95.9
100.0

704
$(10,804)$
1.6
2.4
0.1
95.9
----
100.0

705
$(10,805)$
0.8
3.4
0.0
95.9
100.1

F23. When might that be? (How soon?)

1. One year from now, or sooner
2. Mentions family events only, no dates given
3. Educational reasons for self only, no dates given
4. D.K.
5. N.A.
6. Inap.; employed; unemployed;
is not thinking of getting a job
in the future;
$\mathrm{V} 172=1-3 ; \mathrm{V} 324=5$ or 9

F24. What kind of job do you have in mind?
------------------------------------------------

1. Mentions some explicit job or type of work, e.g., machinist, computer programmer, etc.; self-employed with mention of explicit type of work/ field/area
2. Mentions broad type of work, e.g., hospital work, job at factory or school; self-employment with no mention of any explicit type of work
3. Vague; mentions only company name
4. Mentions temporary/intermittent work
5. Other
6. N.A.; D.K.
7. Inap.; employed; unemployed; not thinking about getting a job; $\mathrm{V} 172=1-3 ; \mathrm{V} 324=5$ or 9

F25. Would you have to get any training to qualify?


1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; not thinking about getting a job; employed; unemployed; $\mathrm{V} 172=1-3 ; \mathrm{V} 324=5$ or 9

F26. Have you been doing anything in the last
four weeks to find a job?
---------------------------------------------------

1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; not thinking about getting a job; employed; unemployed; V172 = 1 - 3 ; V324 = 5 or 9

706
$(10,806)$

F27. How many places have you been to in the last few weeks to find out about a job?
0.2 1. One
0.0 2. Two
0.1 3. Three
0.0 4. Four
0.3 5. Five or more
0.0 9. N.A.; D.K.
99.4 0. Inap.; none; not thinking about getting a job; employed; unemployed; has been doing nothing to find a job; $\mathrm{V} 172=1-3 ; \mathrm{V} 324=5$ or 9 ; $\mathrm{V} 328=5$ or 9
F28. Are there some jobs around here you wouldn't take because of where these jobs are located?
1.7
2.0
0.4
0.1
95.9
----
100.1

| 331 | 708 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(6031)$ | $(10,808)$ |


| 332 | 709 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(6032)$ | $(10,809)$ |

2.6
1.1
0.4
95.9
----
100.0

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
333 & 710-713 \\
(6033) & (10,810-10,813)
\end{array}
$$

\% nonzero $=2.6$
mean nonzero $=\$ 1.85$
334
(6034)
714
$(10,814)$

F30. Are there jobs around here that just aren't worth taking?

1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; not thinking about getting a job; employed; unemployed;
$\mathrm{V} 172=1-3 ; \mathrm{V} 324=5$ or 9

F31. How much do they pay?
-----------------------------
9998. \$99.98 per hour or more

XXXX. Actual dollars and cents per hour
9999. N.A.; D.K.
0000. Inap.; no jobs not worth taking; not thinking about getting a job; employed; unemployed; $\mathrm{V} 172=1-3 ; \mathrm{V} 324=5$ or 9 ; V332 = 5 or 9

G1. Are you married, single, widowed, divorced, or separated?
. Married
2. Single
3. Widowed
4. Divorced
5. Separated

| 335 | 715 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(6035)$ | $(10,815)$ |

0.4
13.3
0.0
86.2
---9
99.9

716
(6036) $(10,816)$
0.1
0.3
0.0
0.0
0.0
99.6
----
100.0

717
(6037) $(10,817)$
57.6
42.4
-----
$\begin{array}{cc}338 & 718 \\ (6038) & (10,818)\end{array}$

> 42.4
> ----
> 100.1
25.9 5. No
0.0 9. N.A.; D.K.

715
$(10,815)$
Yes
5. No
9. N.A.; D.K.
in G1;
$\mathrm{V} 334=1,3-5$
3. Widowed
4. Divorced
5. Separated
7. Other
9. N.A.; D.K.
5. All others money in 1977?

$$
31.8 \text { 1. Yes }
$$

9. N.A.; D.K. $\mathrm{V} 337=5$
10. Inap.; widowed, divorced, or separated

G3. What happened to your last marriage--were you widowed, divorced, separated, or what?
---------------------------------------------------
0. Inap.; widowed, divorced, or separated in G1; never married; V334 = 1, $3-5 ;$ V335 = 5 or 9

G4. Interviewer Checkpoint

1. Male Head is married with Wife in FU or male Head has been living with Female friend for one year or more

G5. Did your (wife/friend) do any work for

0. Inap.; no wife/friend present;

719-720 $(10,819-10,820)$

$$
0.0
$$

10. Physicians (medical \& osteopathic),

$$
0.9
$$ Dentists

0.9
11. Other Medical and Paramedical; chiropractors, optometrists, pharmacists,
chiropractors, optometrists, pharm
veterinarians, nurses, therapists, healers, dieticians (except medical and dental technicians, see 16)
0.2 12. Accountants and Auditors
2.4 13. Teachers, Primary and Secondary Schools
4. (including N.A. type)
0.5 14. Teachers, College; Social Scientists; Librarians; Archivists
0.1 15. Architects; Chemists; Engineers; Physical and Biological Scientists
0.5 16. Technicians: Airplane pilots and navigators, designers, draftsmen, foresters and conservationists, embalmers, photographers, radio operators, surveyors, technicians (medical, dental, testing, n.e.c.)
0.4

G6. What kind of work did she do?
--------------------------------------
PROFESSIONAL, TECHNICAL AND KINDRED WORKERS

Accountants and Auditors
and reporters, farm and home manage-
ment advisors, personnel and labor relations persons, publicity workers, religious, social and welfare workers 0.0 18. Judges; Lawyers
0.2 19. Professional, technical and kindred workers not listed above

MANAGERS, OFFICIALS AND PROPRIETORS (EXCEPT FARM)
1.5 20. Not self-employed
0.4 31. Self-employed (unincorporated businesses)

CLERICAL AND KINDRED WORKERS
3.6 40. Secretaries, stenographers, typists
6.5 41. Other Clerical Workers: agents (n.e.c) library assistants and attendants, bank tellers, cashiers, bill collectors, ticket, station and express agents, etc., receptionists

SALES WORKERS
2.3 45. Retail store salesmen and sales clerks, newsboys, hucksters, peddlers, travelling salesmen, advertising agents, brokers, and salesmen, etc.

CRAFTSMEN, FOREMEN, AND KINDRED WORKERS
0.0 50. Foremen, n.e.c.
0.2 51. Other craftsmen and kindred workers
0.0 52. Government protective service workers; firemen, police, marshals, and constables
0.0 55. Members of armed forces

OPERATIVES AND KINDRED WORKERS
0.4 61. Transport equipment operatives
4.1 62. Operatives, except transport

LABORERS
0.1 70. Unskilled laborers--nonfarm
0.1 71. Farm laborers and foremen

SERVICE WORKERS
0.5 73. Private household workers
6.2 75. Other service workers: barbers, beauticians, manicurists, bartenders, boarding and lodging housekeepers, counter and fountain workers, housekeepers and stewards, waiters, cooks, midwives, practical nurses, babysitters, attendants in physicians' and dentists' offices
NOTE: For government protective service workers (firemen, police, etc.), see code 52

FARMERS AND FARM MANAGERS
0.1 80. Farmers (owners and tenants) and managers (except code 71)

MISCELLANEOUS GROUPS
0.6 99. N.A.; D.K.
68.2 00. Inap.; no wife/friend; Wife/friend did ----- not work;
$100.0 \quad \mathrm{~V} 337=5 ; \mathrm{V} 338=5$ or 9

721-722 (10,821-10, 822)
0.3

340
$(6040)$

| 0.3 | AGRICULTURE, FORESTRY, AND FISHING |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 11. |
| 0.0 | MINING AND EXTRACTION |
|  | 21. |

```
                                    MANUFACTURING DURABLES
0.3 30. Metal industries
1.0 31. Machinery, including electrical
0.5 32. Motor vehicles and other trans-
        portation equipment
0.4 33. Other durables
0.0 34. Durables, N.A. what
    MANUFACTURING NONDURABLES
0.3 40. Food and kindred products
0.1 41. Tobacco manufacturing
1.6 42. Textile mill products, apparel and other
    fabricated textile products, shoes
0.2 43. Paper and allied products
0.4 44. Chemical and allied products, petroleum
    and coal products, rubber and
        miscellaneous plastic products
0.1 45. Other nondurables
0.0 46. Nondurables, N.A. what
0.2 49. Manufacturing, N.A. whether durable or
        nondurable
0.4 CONSTRUCTION
    51.
0.5 TRANSPORTATION
    55.
0.4 COMMUNICATION
    56.
0.2 OTHER PUBLIC UTILITIES
    57.
5.3 RETAIL TRADE
    61.
0.6 WHOLESALE TRADE
    62.
0.2 TRADE, N.A. WHETHER WHOLESALE OR RETAIL
    69.
2.1 FINANCE, INSURANCE, AND REAL ESTATE
    71.
0.1 REPAIR SERVICE
    81.
0.8 BUSINESS SERVICES
    82.
2.9 PERSONAL SERVICES
    83.
0.1 AMUSEMENT, RECREATION AND RELATED SERVICES
    84.
0.4 PRINTING, PUBLISHING AND ALLIED SERVICES
    85.
3.5 MEDICAL AND DENTAL AND HEALTH SERVICES,
    WHETHER PUBLIC OR PRIVATE
    86.
5.0 EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, WHETHER PUBLIC OR
        PRIVATE
        87.
1.5 PROFESSIONAL AND RELATED SERVICES OTHER
    THAN MEDICAL OR EDUCATIONAL
    8.
0.1 ARMED SERVICES
    91.
```

GOVERNMENT, OTHER THAN MEDICAL OR EDUCA-
TIONAL SERVICES; N.A. WHETHER OTHER 92.
99. N.A.; D.K.
00. Inap.; no wife/friend; Wife/friend did not work;
$\mathrm{V} 337=5 ; \mathrm{V} 338=5$ or 9

G8. Did your (wife/friend) miss any work in 1977 because someone else in the family was sick?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{cc}
342 & 724-725 \\
(6042) & (10,824-10,825)
\end{array} \\
& \% \text { nonzero }=6.1 \\
& \text { mean nonzero }=1.6 \\
& \begin{array}{cc}
343 & 726 \\
(6043) & (10,826)
\end{array} \\
& 13.3 \\
& 18.4 \\
& 0.1 \\
& 68.2 \\
& 100.0 \\
& 344 \\
& \text { 727-728 } \\
& \text { (6044) (10,827-10,828) } \\
& \text { \% nonzero }=13.3 \\
& \text { mean nonzero }=2.3 \\
& 100.0
\end{aligned}
$$

$\begin{array}{cc}345 & 729 \\ (6045) & (10,829)\end{array}$
21.7
10.0
0.1
68.2
----
100.0

| 346 | $730-731$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(6046)$ | $(10,830-10,831)$ |

\% nonzero $=21.7$
mean nonzero $=4.5$
6.1 25.7
0.1
68.2
100.1
1.5
86.7

Inap.; none; no wife/friend; Wife/
friend did not work;
V337 = 5; V338 = 5 or 9;
V345 = 5 or 9

```
733-734
\((10,833-10,834)\)
```

\% nonzero $=0.3$
mean nonzero $=3.3$
99.7
$\begin{array}{cc}349 & 735 \\ (6049) & (10,835)\end{array}$
5.7
26.1
0.1
68.2
100.1

350
736-737
(6050) $(10,836-10,837)$
\% nonzero = 5.6
mean nonzero $=17.9$
$\begin{array}{cc}351 & 738-739 \\ (6051) & (10,838-10,839)\end{array}$
\% nonzero = 31.8
mean nonzero $=38.1$
68.2
$\begin{array}{cc}352 & 740-741 \\ (6052) & (10,840-10,841)\end{array}$
\% nonzero = 31.8
mean nonzero $=33.3$

G14. Did your (wife/friend) miss any work in 1977 because she was on strike?


1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; no wife/friend; Wife/friend did not work; V337 = 5; V338 = 5 or 9

G15. How much work did she miss?

1. One week or less
XX. Actual number of weeks
2. N.A.; D.K.
3. Inap.; none; no wife/friend; Wife/friend did not work; $\mathrm{V} 337=5 ; \mathrm{V} 338=5$ or 9 ; V347 = 5 or 9

G16. Did your (wife/friend) miss any work in 1977 because she was unemployed or temporarily laid off?

1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; no wife/friend; Wife/friend did not work;
$\mathrm{V} 337=5 ; \mathrm{V} 338=5$ or 9

G17. How much work did she miss?

1. One week or less
XX. Actual number of weeks
2. N.A.; D.K.
3. Inap.; none; no wife/friend; Wife/friend did not work; $\mathrm{V} 337=5 ; \mathrm{V} 338=5$ or 9 ; V349 = 5 or 9

G18. Then, how many weeks did she actually work on her main job in 1977?

1. One week or less
XX. Actual number of weeks worked
2. N.A.; D.K.
3. Inap.; none; no wife/friend; Wife/friend did not work; $\mathrm{V} 337=5 ; \mathrm{V} 338=5$ or 9

G19. And, on the average, how many hours a week did she work on her main job in 1977?

1. One hour or less
XX. Actual number of hours per week
2. 98 hours or more
3. N.A.; D.K.
68.2 00. Inap.; none; no wife/friend; Wife/friend
```
did not work;
V337 = 5; V338 = 5 or 9;
V351 = 00
```

353
$(6053)$

| 354 | $(6054)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(10,843-10,844)$ |  |

\% nonzero $=24.4$
mean nonzero $=8$
75.6
$\begin{array}{cc}355 & 745 \\ (6055) & (10,845)\end{array}$
1.4
3.1
1.7
16.4
0.8
1.1
0.0
75.5
100.0

356
$(6056)$
(6056)
17.3
9.3
73.4
-----
100.0
$\begin{array}{cc}357 & 747-749 \\ (6057) & (10,847-10,849)\end{array}$
\% nonzero $=17.3$
mean nonzero $=43.9$

358
(6058)

750
$(10,850)$

```
G20. Is your (wife/friend) working for money
    now?
```

G22. About how many miles was it to where she works (one way)?

1. One mile or less
XX. Actual number of miles
2. 98 miles or more
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; "None" to G21; no wife/friend;

Wife/friend does not work;
$\mathrm{V} 337=5 ; \mathrm{V} 353=5$ or 9

G23. Does she use public transportation to get to work, drive with you, have a car pool, drive by herself, walk, or what?

1. Public transportation
2. Drive with Head
3. Car pool
4. Drive with self
5. Walk
6. Other
7. N.A.; D.K.
8. Inap.; did not travel to work; "None" to G21; no wife/friend; Wife/friend does not work; $\mathrm{V} 337=5 ; \mathrm{V} 353=5$ or 9 ; V354 $=00$

G24. Interviewer Checkpoint
-------------------------------

1. Wife/friend is under 45
2. Wife/friend is 45 or older
3. Inap.; no wife/friend; Wife/friend not working; $\mathrm{V} 337=5 ; \mathrm{V} 353=5$ or 9

G25. How long has your (wife/friend) been working for her present employer?

1. One month or less
XXX. Actual number of months
2. Nine hundred ninety-eight or more months
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; no wife/friend; Wife/friend is 45 or older; Wife/friend not working; $\mathrm{V} 337=5 ; \mathrm{V} 353=5$ or 9 ; V356 $=5$

G26. How did she first hear about a job with her present employer--was it through a friend, a relative, a want ad, an employment agency, or what?

```
    5.8 1. Friend, acquaintance, neighbor
    2.4 2. Relative
    2.2 3. Want ad
    1.0 4. Employment agency
    5.6 7. Other
    0.2 9. N.A.; D.K.
82.7 0. Inap.; no wife/friend; Wife/friend
---- is 45 or older; Wife/friend not working;
99.9 V337 = 5; V353 = 5 or 9; V356 = 5
```

| 359 | 751 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(6059)$ | $(10,851)$ |

14.0
3.2
0.1
82.7
----
100.0

G26a. Is this the type of job that gives her useful skills or training?
---------------------------------------------------

1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; no wife/friend; Wife/friend is 45 or older; Wife/friend not working; V337 = 5; V353 = 5 or 9 ; V356 = 5

G27. Was there anyone who may have helped her get that job?
(6060) (10,852)
5.3
11.5
0.3
0.1
82.7
---9
99.9

753
$(10,853)$
361
$(6061)$

362
(6062) $(10,854)$
1.0
0.6
1.9
0.5
0.1
0.7
0.5
0.2
94.7
-----

G29. How did they help?
--------------------------

1. Direct influence stated; "gave me the job"; "got me the job"
2. Direct influence inferred; "friend of the foreman"
3. "Recommended me to employer"
4. "Told employer about me" (no evidence of recommendation)
5. "Told me to try for job"
6. "Told me about the job"
7. Other
8. N.A.; D.K.
9. Inap.; no wife/friend; Wife/friend is 45 or older; Wife/friend not working; $\mathrm{V} 337=5 ; \mathrm{V} 353=5$ or 9 ; V356 = 5; $\mathrm{V} 360=5,8$ or 9

G30. Did they work there?
3.8 1. Yes
1.4 5. No
0.1 9. N.A.; D.K.
94.7 0. Inap.; no wife/friend; Wife/friend
is 45 or older; Wife/friend not working;
$\mathrm{V} 337=5 ; \mathrm{V} 353=5$ or 9 ; V356 = 5 ;
$\mathrm{V} 360=5,8$ or 9

| 364 | 756 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(6064)$ | $(10,856)$ |

2.8
0.9
0.1
0.0
96.2
----
100.0

757
$(10,857)$
1.9
0.5
0.2
0.2
97.2
----
100.0
$366 \quad 758$
(6066) $(10,858)$
7.2
9.2
0.5
83.1
----
100.0

| 367 | 759 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(6067)$ | $(10,859)$ |

100.0

368
760-761
(6068) (10,860-10,861)
\% nonzero $=8.8$
mean nonzero $=68$
91.2
8.8 1. Wife/friend is 45 - 64
0.5 5. Wife/friend is under 45 or over 64
90.7 0. Inap.; no wife/friend; Wife/friend

G31. Could they have had some say in her getting the job?

1. Yes
2. No
3. Don't know
4. N.A.
5. Inap.; no wife/friend; Wife/friend is 45 or older; Wife/friend not working; V337 = 5; V353 = 5 or 9; V356 = 5; $\mathrm{V} 360=5,8$ or 9 ; V363 $=5$ or 9

G32. How much say do you think they had?


1. Very much; a lot; "gave me the job"
2. Moderate amount; some
3. Not very much; a little
4. N.A.; D.K.
5. Inap.; no wife/friend; Wife/friend is 45 or older; Wife/friend not working; V337 = 5; V353 = 5 or 9; V356 = 5; $\mathrm{V} 360=5,8$ or 9 ; $\mathrm{V} 363=5$ or 9 ; V364 = 5, 8 or 9

G33. Before she got the job, did she know anyone (else) who worked there?


1. Yes
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; no wife/friend; Wife/friend is 45 or older; Wife/friend not working; V337 = 5; V353 = 5 or 9; V356 = 5

G34. Interviewer Checkpoint
---------------------------------not working; V337 = 5; V353 = 5 or 9; V356 = 1

G35. At what age do you think your (wife/ friend) will retire from the main work she is doing now?
45. Forty-five years old
XX. Actual age
96. Ninety-six years or more
97. Never
98. Don't know
99. N.A.
00. Inap.; no wife/friend; Wife/friend not working; Wife/friend under 45 or over 64; $\mathrm{V} 337=5 ; \mathrm{V} 353=5$ or 9 ; V356 = 1; V367 = 5

G36. Do you think she will retire before she is 65?

```
    1.2
    0.3
    No
    0.9 8. Don't know; depends
    0.3 9. N.A.
    97.3 0. Inap.; no wife/friend; Wife/friend
        ----- not working; Wife/friend under 45 or
        100.0 over 64; know when will retire;
        V337 = 5; V353 = 5 or 9; V356 = 1;
        V367 = 5; V368 = 45 - 97
```

    \(\begin{array}{cc}370 & 763 \\ (6070) & (10,8863)\end{array}\)
    \(\begin{array}{cc}371 & 764 \\ (6071) & (10,864)\end{array}\)
    372 765-766
    (6072) (10,865-10,866)
\% nonzero = 57.4
mean nonzero $=29$
42.6
373
767-768
(6073) $\quad(10,867-10,868)$
\% nonzero $=83.8$
mean nonzero $=12$
16.2
374
769
(6074)
$(10,869)$
30.8
19.4
0.1
49.7
G37. Will she be eligible for Social Security
payments from her own work?
6.9 1. Yes
1.3 5. No
0.2 8. Don't know
0.4 9. N.A.
91.2 0. Inap.; no wife/friend; Wife/friend
not working; Wife/friend under 45 or
over 64;
V337 = 5; V353 = 5 or 9;
V356 = 1; V367 = 5
G38. Will she be eligible for other retirement
pensions of her own?
3.9 1. Yes
4.2 5. No
0.3 8. Don't know
0.4 9. N.A.
91.2
-----
-----
100.0

0. Inap.; no wife/friend; Wife/friend
not working; Wife/friend under 45
or over 64;
V337 = 5; V353 = 5 or 9;
$\mathrm{V} 356=1 ; \mathrm{V} 367=5$
G39. About how much time does your (wife/
friend) spend on housework in an average
week? (I mean time spent cooking, clean-
ing, and doing other work around the
house)
XX. Actual number of hours per week
98. Ninety-eight hours or more
99. N.A.; D.K.
00. Inap.; none; no wife/friend; V337 = 5

G40. About how much time do you (HEAD) spend on housework in an average week? (I mean time spent cooking, cleaning, and other work around the house)
XX. Actual number of hours per week
98. Ninety-eight hours or more
99. N.A.; D.K.
00. Inap.; none

G42. Does anyone else here in the household the housework?

1. Yes (one or more persons help)
2. No
3. N.A.; D.K.
4. Inap.; only Head or Head and Wife in $F U$

```
    0.2
    0.0
    . Utilities
        3. Household appliances, furniture,
        clothing, personal items
        0.0 4. Car, bus fare, transportation
        0.0 5. Repairs to DU
        0.0 6. Food (other than food stamps)
        0.2 7. Medical bills
        0.1 8. Other
        0.1 9. N.A.; D.K.
        98.6 0. Inap.; welfare did not help with bills;
        ----
    23.5
            76.5
                100.0
        4.1 5 No
        0.5 9. N.A.; D.K.
                57.6
                57.6
                57.6
                57.6
H15. There is a public program called Medicaid
            (Medi-Cal, Medical Assistance, Welfare,
            Medical Services) which provides medical
    assistance to persons in need. During
    the past year, has anyone in the family
    received medical care which has been or
    will be paid for by Medicaid (Medi-Cal,
    Medical Assistance, Welfare, Medical
    Services)?
    1. Yes
    5. No
    9. N.A.; D.K.
    0. Inap.; no welfare, ADC, AFDC, or Sup-
        plemental Security income;
    V378 = 5
    H18. Interviewer Checkpoint
    ----------------------------
    1. Head has income from Social Security
    5. No such income
        H19. Do you have Medicare from Social
        Security?
```



```
        1. Yes
        0. Inap.; no Social Security;
        V382=5
        H23. Interviewer Checkpoint
```



```
        1. Yes, Wife/friend in FU
        5. No wife/friend in FU or FU has female
        Head
            5. NO
    381
(6081)
    \(382 \quad 777\)
(6082) \((10,877)\)
    \(383 \quad 778\)
(6083) \((10,878)\)
        \(\begin{array}{cc}384 & 779 \\ (6084) & (10,879)\end{array}\)
        \(\begin{array}{cc}384 & 779 \\ (6084) & (10,879)\end{array}\)
        \(385 \quad 780\)
        (6085) (10,880)

776 \((10,876)\)
4.6
1.4
0.8
93.2
-----
100.0
            \((10,880)\)
        \(\begin{array}{cc}384 & 779 \\ (6084) & (10,879)\end{array}\)
\begin{tabular}{cc}
383 & 778 \\
\((6083)\) & \((10,878)\)
\end{tabular}
```

        18.7
    ```
        18.7
        76.6
        76.6
        -----
        -----
            99.9
            99.9
            0.5
```

            0.5
    ```
```

H29. Did your (wife/friend) receive any Social
Security in 1977?
H29. Did your (wife/friend) receive any Social Security in 1977?

```
6.3
31.0
0.1
62.5
---9
99.9
\begin{tabular}{cc}
387 & 782 \\
\((6087)\) & \((10,882)\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{cc}
388 & 783 \\
\((6088)\) & \((10,883)\)
\end{tabular}
1.1
98.9
----
4.6
1.5
0.3
93.6
100.0
100.0
\begin{tabular}{cc}
389 & 784 \\
\((6089)\) & \((10,884)\)
\end{tabular}
0.8
0.2
0.2
98.8
----
100.0
3.8
96.2
---
100.0
\(\begin{array}{cc}391 & 786 \\ (6091) & (10,886)\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{cc}391 & 786 \\ (6091) & (10,886)\end{array}\)
100.0
6.3 1. Yes
31.0 5. No
0.1 9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; no wife/friend; has female Head; no income; V384 = 5; V385 = 5 or 9

H31. Does she have Medicare from Social Security?

1. Yes
5. No
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; no wife/friend; has female Head; no income; no Social Security income; V384 = 5 ; V385 = 5 or \(9 ; \mathrm{V} 386=5\) or 9

H48. Interviewer Checkpoint
1. Extra earner has ADC, AFDC, Supplemental Security, or other welfare 5. No such persons

H49. There is a public program called Medicaid (Medi-Cal, Medical Assistance, Welfare, Medical Services) which provides medical assistance to persons in need. During the past year, has anyone (else) in the family received medical care which has been or will be paid for by Medicaid (Medi-Cal, Medical Assistance, Welfare, Medical Services)?

1. Yes
5. No
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; no others with ADC, AFDC, Supplemental Security or other welfare; \(\mathrm{V} 388=5\)

H50. Interviewer Checkpoint
--------------------------------
1. Extra earner has Social Security
5. No such persons

H51. Does anyone (else) in the family have Medicare from Social Security
2.4 1. Yes
0.9 5. No
0.5 9. N.A.; D.K.
96.1 0. Inap.; no others with Social Security; V390 = 5
71.7
28.3
100.0
\begin{tabular}{cc}
393 & 788 \\
\((6093)\) & \((10,888)\)
\end{tabular}
H53. Is anyone in the family looking for work?
    6.8 1. Yes
64.5 5. No
    0.3 9. N.A.; D.K.
28.4 0. Inap.; one-person FU;
        V392 = 5
100.0
\begin{tabular}{cc}
394 & 789 \\
\((6094)\) & \((10,889)\)
\end{tabular}
    \% nonzero \(=6.8\)
    5.9
    0.8
    0.0
    0.0
    0.0
    0.0 6. Six
    0.0 7. Seven
    0.0 8. Eight or more
    0.0 9. N.A.; D.K.
93.2 0. Inap.; one-person \(F U\); no one
----- looking for work;
    99.9
    790
(6095) (10,890)
6.3
93.6
0.1
----
100.0
    \(\begin{array}{cc}396 & 791 \\ (6096) & (10,891)\end{array}\)
0.9
0.6
1.2
0.6
0.4
0.6
0.2
1.4
0.1
93.8
----
99.8
\begin{tabular}{cc}
397 & 792 \\
\((6097)\) & \((10,892)\)
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{cc}397 & 792 \\ (6097) & (10,892)\end{array}\)

9.8

90.1

0.1

H54.
H55. Total number looking for work
---------------------------------------
\(\%\) nonzero \(=6.8\)
1. One person looking for work
2. Two people looking for work
mean nonzero = 1
99.9
\(\begin{array}{cc}395 & 790 \\ (6095) & (10,890)\end{array}\)
H57. Did you get any other money in 1977--like a big settlement from an insurance company or an inheritance?

1. Yes
5. No
9. N.A.; D.K.
100.0
\begin{tabular}{cc}
396 & 791 \\
\((6096)\) & \((10,891)\)
\end{tabular}
99.8
-----
H59. Do you help support anyone who doesn't
live here with you?

H58. How much did that amount to in 1977?
-----------------------------------------------19
1. Less than \(\$ 500\)
2. \(\$ 500\) - 999
3. \(\$ 1000\) - 1999
4. \(\$ 2000-2999\)
5. \(\$ 3000\) - 4999
6. \(\$ 5000-7499\)
7. \(\$ 7500\) - 9999
8. \$10,000 or more
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.;

V395 = 5 or 9
1. Yes
5. No
9. N.A.; D.K.

4.8
3. Somewhat
4.1 5. Just a little
0.3 9. N.A.; D.K.
78.7 0. Inap.; does not have health problem; V402 \(=5\) or 9
100.0

78.7
\begin{tabular}{cc}
405 & 801 \\
\((6105)\) & \((10,901)\)
\end{tabular}
3.3
12.4
4.9
0.6
78.7
-----
99.9
\begin{tabular}{cc}
406 & 802 \\
\((6106)\) & \((10,902)\)
\end{tabular}

> 78.8
> -----
> 100.1
2.6 1. Yes
18.6 5. No
0.1 9. N.A.; D.K.
\begin{tabular}{cc}
407 & 803 \\
\((6107)\) & \((10,903)\)
\end{tabular}

> 1.2
> 1.4
> 0.0
> 97.5
\(\qquad\) 100.1
\begin{tabular}{cc}
408 & 804 \\
\((6108)\) & \((10,904)\)
\end{tabular}
0.1
0.4
0.6
0.1
98.8
-----
100.0

H67. How long have you had this condition?
01. One year or less
XX. Actual number of years
98. Ninety-eight years or more
99. N.A.; D.K.
00. Inap.; does not have health problem; V402 = 5 or 9
```

H68. Do you expect it to get better, worse,
or stay about the same?

```
H69. Do you require a lot of extra care by
someone?
    0. Inap.; does not have health problem;
\(\mathrm{V} 402=5\) or 9
    V402 = 5 or 9
H70. Does that mean extra costs for the
        family?
    1. Yes
    5. No
    9. N.A.; D.K.
    0. Inap.; does not have health problem;
        \(\mathrm{V} 402=5\) or 9 ; V406=5 or 9
        H71. Are those costs small, moderate, or quite
        large?
    --------------------------------------------------------1
        1. Small
        3. Moderate
        5. Large
        9. N.A.; D.K.
        0. Inap.; does not have health problem;
        no extra cost for family;
        \(\mathrm{V} 402=5\) or 9 ; V406 = 5 or 9;

805
\((10,905)\)
        \(\mathrm{V} 407=5\) or 9
    H72. Interviewer Checkpoint
```

                            1. FU includes people other than Head
    ```
                                    who are 18 or older
\begin{tabular}{cc}
410 & 806 \\
\((6110)\) & \((10,906)\)
\end{tabular}
8.0 1. Yes
56.0 5. No
1.1 9. N.A.; D.K.
34.9
-----
100.0
\begin{tabular}{cc}
411 & 807 \\
\((6111)\) & \((10,907)\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{\% nonzero = 8.0 \\ mean nonzero \(=1\)}
7.8
0.2
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
92.0
-----

412
(6112) (10,908)
41.1
58.9
-----
100.0
\begin{tabular}{cc}
413 & 809 \\
\((6113)\) & \((10,909)\)
\end{tabular}
2.2
38.5
0.4
59.0
-----
100.1 \(\mathrm{V} 409=5\)

H74-
1. One
2. Two
3. Three
4. Four
5. Five
6. Six
7. Seven
8. Eight or more
9. N.A.
5. No such persons
1. Yes
5. No
9. N.A.; D.K. V412 = 5
\begin{tabular}{cc}
414 & 810 \\
\((6114)\) & \((10,910)\)
\end{tabular}
\% nonzero \(=2.2\)
mean nonzero \(=1\)
2.0

H73. Is there anyone (else) 18 or older in this family who has any physical or nervous condition that limits the type of work or the amount of work they can do?

0. Inap.; no one (else) in FU 18 or older;

H79. Total number 18 or older with physical or nervous condition

0. Inap.; no one 18 or older with physical or nervous condition; \(\mathrm{V} 409=5 ; \mathrm{V} 410=5\) or 9

H81. Interviewer Checkpoint
1. FU includes people other than Head who are 0 - 17 years old

H82. Is there anyone under 18 in this family who has any physical or nervous condition that limits their activities or schooling?
0. Inap.; no one (else) \(0-17\) in \(F U\);

H83-
H88. Total number \(0-17\) with physical or nervous condition

.0 1. One
0.2 2. Two
0.0 3. Three
0.0 4. Four
0.0 5. Five
0.0 6. Six
0.0 7. Seven
0.0
0.0
9. N.A.
97.8 0. Inap.; no one (else) 0-17in FU; no one with physical or nervous condition; V413 = 5; V413 = 5 or 9

J1. Interviewer Checkpoint
-----------------------------
1. FU has new (Wife/permanent friend) this year
5. FU has same (Wife/permanent friend) as in 1977 or \(F U\) has no (wife/permanent friend) or FU has female Head

J2. How many grades of school did your (wife/friend) finish?
00. None; Inap.; no wife/friend
01. One
02. Two

03 . Three
04 . Four
05. Five
06. Six
07. Seven
08. Eight
09. Nine
10. Ten
11. Eleven
12. Twelve; GED
13. Thirteen
14. Fourteen
15. Fifteen
16. Sixteen
17. Seventeen or more
99. N.A.; D.K.

J3. Did she have any other schooling?
------------------------------------------
1. Yes
5. No
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; has more than twelve years of school; no wife/friend; V416 = 13 - 17, 99
0.1
8.5
0.4
0.4
1.7
0.0
88.8
99.9

J4. What other schooling did she have?
1. Government or other subsidized program (nonmilitary)--Manpower training; Vista; Peace Corps; Poverty Program
2. Job-specific (not codable in 1)--Nurses' training; business school; welding; apprenticeship; repair course
3. Company-specific--on-the-job training; company training program; army/navy training course
. Other
8. Vague; N.A. whether vocationally related;
"college courses"
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; had no other schooling; has more than twelve years of school; no wife/friend; V416 = 13 - 17, 99; V417 = 5 or 9
\begin{tabular}{cc}
421 & 818 \\
\((6121)\) & \((10,918)\)
\end{tabular}
5.4
25.7
6.3
12.0
1.0
3.2
2.7

1.7
2.4
39.6
----
100.0
1.6
5.8
0.1
92.5
----
100.0

817
\((10,917)\)
\((10,918)\)
100.0
\begin{tabular}{cc}
422 & 819 \\
\((6122)\) & \((10,919)\)
\end{tabular}
1.8
3.5
2.4
0.4
1.7
39.2
-----
3.4 1. 0 - 5 grades
23.1 2. 6 - 8 grades; "grade school"
8.3 3. 9 - 11 grades; some high school
16.2 4. 12 grades (completed high school);
5. "high school"

J7. Does she have any advanced degrees?
---------------------------------------------
1. Yes
5. No
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; has no degree; has twelve or fewer grades of school; no wife/friend; V416 = \(00-12, ~ 99 ; ~ V 419=5\) or 9

J8. How much education did your (wife's/ friend's) father have?

1. 0 - 5 grades
2. 6 - 8 grades; "grade school"
3. 9-11 grades; some high school; junior high
4. 12 grades; high school
5. 12 grades plus nonacademic training
6. College but no degree; Associate's degree
7. College BA and no advanced degree mentioned; normal school; college degree; "college"
8. College and advanced or professional degree
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; no wife/friend

J9. How much education did your (wife's/ friend's) mother have?
 R.N. (no further elaboration)
6. Some college, no degree; Associate's degree
7. College BA and no advanced degree mentioned; normal school; R.N. with 3 years college; "college"
8. College, advanced or professional degree, some graduate work; close to receiving degree
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; no wife/friend

J10. How many years altogether has your (wife/friend) worked for money since she was 18?
01. One year or less
\% nonzero \(=57.7\)
mean nonzero \(=11.4\)
42.3
\begin{tabular}{cc}
424 & \(822-823\) \\
\((6124)\) & \((10,922-10,923)\)
\end{tabular}
\% nonzero \(=53.6\)
mean nonzero \(=9.1\)
46.4
\(\begin{array}{cc}425 & 824-825 \\ (6125) & (10,924-10,925)\end{array}\)
\% nonzero \(=26.5\)
mean nonzero \(=46 \%\)
73.5
\begin{tabular}{ccr}
426 & 826 \\
\((6126)\) & \((10,926)\) & \\
& & 1.1 \\
& & 3.6 \\
& & 95.3 \\
& & ----- \\
& & 100.0
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ccr}
427 & 827 & \\
\((6127)\) & \((10,927)\) & \\
& & 6.4 \\
& & 93.6 \\
& & ----- \\
& & 100.0
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{cc}
428 & \(828-829\) \\
\((6128)\) & \((10,928-10,929)\) \\
& \\
429 & \(830-832\) \\
\((6129)\) & \((10,930-10,932)\) \\
& \\
430 & \(833-834\) \\
\((6130)\) & \((10,933-10,934)\) \\
& \\
431 & \(835-837\) \\
\((6131)\) & \((10,935-10,937)\)
\end{tabular}
XX. Actual number of years worked since age 18
98. Ninety-eight years or more
99. N.A.; D.K.
00. Inap.; none; wife/friend has never worked; no wife/friend

J11. How many of these years did she work full time for most or all of the year?
01. One year or less
XX. Actual number of years worked full time since age 18
98. Ninety-eight years or more
99. N.A.; D.K.
00. Inap.; none; never worked; never worked full time; no wife/friend; \(\mathrm{V} 423=00\)
```

J12. During the years that she was not working full time, how much of the time did she work?

```
01. One percent or less
XX. Actual percent of time worked
99. Ninety-nine percent
00. Inap.; none; worked full time; never worked; no wife/friend; V423=00

J12. Accuracy of V425
-----------------------
1. Minor assignment
2. Major assignment
0. Inap.; no assignment; worked full time; never worked; no wife/friend; \(\mathrm{V} 423=00\)

K1. Whether or not \(F U\) has a new Head
------------------------------------------
1. FU has a new Head this year
5. This FU has the same Head as in 1977

K2. Where did your mother and father grow up?
----------------------------------------------------1

FATHER'S STATE
01-51. State, if United States
99. N.A.; D.K. state
00. Inap.; foreign country

FATHER'S COUNTY
XXX. County, if United States; Country, if foreign
999. N.A.; D.K. county

MOTHER'S STATE
01-51. State, if United States
99. N.A.; D.K. state
00. Inap.; foreign country

MOTHER'S COUNTY
XXX. County, if United States; Country, if foreign
999. N.A.; D.K. county

K3. What was your father's usual occupation
when you were growing up?
\[
\begin{array}{r}
6.7 \\
4.0 \\
6.0 \\
5.8 \\
19.1 \\
15.1 \\
9.5 \\
22.7 \\
10.6 \\
0.4 \\
---1
\end{array}
\]
\(\begin{array}{cc}433 & 839 \\ (6133) & (10,939)\end{array}\)
9.4
1.3
0.6
18.3
7.7
20.0
26.7
3.9
8.2
3.9
----
100.0
\begin{tabular}{cc}
434 & 840 \\
\((6134)\) & \((10,940)\)
\end{tabular}
35.3
8.7
48.1
\[
3.3
\]
4.6
100.0
435 (6135)
436
(6136)

841-842
(10,941-10,942)
843-844
(10,943-10,944)
845-846
(10,945-10,946)

1. Professional, technical and kindred workers
2. Managers, officials and proprietors
3. Self-employed businessmen
4. Clerical and sales workers
5. Craftsmen, foremen, and kindred workers
6. Operatives and kindred workers
7. Laborers and service workers, farm laborers
8. Farmers and farm managers
9. Miscellaneous (armed services, protective workers); N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; no father; dead; did nothing

K4. Thinking of your (HEAD'S) first full-time regular job, what did you do?
1. Professional, technical and kindred workers
2. Managers, officials and proprietors
3. Self-employed businessmen
4. Clerical and sales workers
5. Craftsmen, foremen and kindred workers
6. Operatives and kindred workers
7. Laborers and service workers, farm laborers
8. Farmers and farm managers
9. Miscellaneous (armed services, protective workers); N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; never worked

K5. Have you had a number of different kinds of jobs, or have you mostly worked in the same occupation you started in, or what?
1. Have had a number of different kinds of jobs; mentions more than two kinds of jobs
3. Both; have had a number of different kinds of jobs but mostly the same occupation; mentions two kinds of jobs
5. Mostly the same occupation; same job all of working life
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; on first job now; never worked; \(\mathrm{V} 433=0\)

K6-K10. Ages of the three oldest children -----------------------------------------------
00. Inap. no children

00-99 AGE OF HEAD'S OLDEST CHILD

00-99 AGE OF HEAD'S SECOND OLDEST CHILD

00-99 AGE OF HEAD'S THIRD OLDEST CHILD
\% nonzero \(=66.0\)
mean nonzero \(=3\)
\begin{tabular}{ccc}
439 & 849 & K6-K10. \(\left.\left.\begin{array}{c}\text { Number of children Head had by } \\
\text { (6139) }\end{array}\right) \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { age } 25\end{array}\right)\)
\end{tabular}
99. N.A.; D.K.
00. Inap.; no children
```

K6-K10. Number of children Head had by
age 25

```
XX. Actual number of children
1. One
2. Two
3. Three
4. Four
5. Five
6. Six
7. Seven
8. Eight or more
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; none

K11. How many brothers and sisters did you (HEAD) have?
1. One
2. Two
3. Three
4. Four
5. Five
6. Six
7. Seven
8. Eight or more
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; none

K12. Were any of your brothers or sisters older than you?

1. Yes
5. No; has no brothers or sisters
9. N.A.; D.K.

K13. Did you (HEAD) grow up on a farm, in a small a large city, or what?
27.9 1. Farm; rural area; country
36.7 2. Small town; any size town, suburb
31.2 3. Large city; any size city
2.6 4. Other; several different places; combination of places
9. N.A.; D.K.
-----


K14, K15. In what state and county was that?

STATE
01-51. State, if United States
99. N.A.; D.K. state
00. Inap.; foreign country

COUNTY
```

(6144)
(10,955-10,957)
XXX.
County, if United States;
Country, if foreign
999. N.A.; D.K. county
K14-16, (L4, L6) What other states or countries
K14-16, (L4, L6) What other states or countries

| 445 | 858 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(6145)$ | $(10,958)$ |

mean = 1.7
858
(6145) (10,958)
28.2
9.6
5.7
0.7
0.2
0.0
0.1
2.9
----
99.9
52.5 1. One (lived in 1 region)
28.2 2. Two (lived in 2 regions)
9.6 3. Three
5.7 4. Four
0.7 5. Five
0.2 6. Six
0.0 7. Seven
0.1 8. Eight or more
2.9 9. N.A.; D.K.
99.9

```

Region Code:

Utah
Washington
Wyoming
\begin{tabular}{cc}
446 & 859 \\
\((6146)\) & \((10,959)\)
\end{tabular}
```

mean = 2.1

```
K14-16, (L4,L6). In what state (or country)
was that?
41.9
26.2
11.9
12.8
2.0
0.9
0.4
0.9
2.8
----
99.8

K17. Have you ever moved out of a community where you were living in order to take a job somewhere else?
```

23.6 1. Yes
64.5 5. No

```
9. N.A.; D.K.
\begin{tabular}{cc}
448 & 861 \\
\((6148)\) & \((10,961)\)
\end{tabular}

K18. (If "No" to K17), Have you ever turned down a job because you did not want to move?
\begin{tabular}{rll}
6.6 & 1. & Yes \\
51.7 & 5. & No \\
6.3 & 9. & N.A.; D.K. \\
35.4 & 0. & Inap.; moved for job; \\
& & \(V 447=1\) or 9
\end{tabular}
100.0
\begin{tabular}{cc}
449 & 862 \\
\((6149)\) & \((10,962)\)
\end{tabular}
39.3
38.2
17.6
4.8
----9
99.9
\begin{tabular}{cc}
450 & 863 \\
\((6150)\) & \((10,963)\)
\end{tabular}
8.7 1. 0 - 5 grades
47.5 2. 6 - 8 grades; "grade school"; D.K. but mentions could read and write
8.9 3. 9-11 grades (some high school); junior high
15.5 4. 12 grades (completed high school); "high school"
1.4
5.0
4.6
2.2
2.2
5.4
0.9
---0.-

864
\((10,964)\)

K19. Were your parents poor when you were growing up, pretty well-off, or what?

1. Poor
3. Average; "it varied"
5. Pretty well-off
9. N.A.; D.K.; didn't live with parents

K20-21. How much education did your (HEAD'S) father have? Could he read and write? (if less than 6 grades)
5. 12 grades plus nonacademic training; R.N. (no further elaboration)
6. Some college, no degree; Associate's degree
7. College BA and no advanced degree mentioned; normal school; R.N. with 3 years college; "college"
8. College, advanced or professional degree, some graduate work; close to receiving degree
9. N.A.; D.K. to both K20 and K21

0 . Inap.; could not read or write; N.A.; D.K. grade and could not read or write;
29.9
10.4
26.8
2.1
5.1
3.5
```

K22-23. How much education did your (HEAD'S)
mother have?
K22-23. How much education did your (HEAD'S) mother have?

```
10.1 1. 0 - 5 grades
2. 6 - 8 grades; "grade school"; D.K. but mentions could read and write
3. 9 - 11 grades (some high school); junior high
4. 12 grades (completed high school);
"high school"
5. 12 grades plus nonacademic training; R.N. (no further elaboration)
6. Some college, no degree; Associate's degree
7. College BA and no advanced degree mentioned; normal school; R.N. with 3 years college; "college"

College, advanced or professional degree, some graduate work; close to receiving degree
10.2 9. N.A.; D.K. to both K22 and K23
0.8 0. Inap.; could not read or write;
\begin{tabular}{cc}
452 & 865 \\
\((6152)\) & \((10,965)\)
\end{tabular}
28.1
69.8
2.1
-----
\[
\begin{array}{cc}
453 & 866-867 \\
(6153) & (10,966-10,967)
\end{array}
\]
\% nonzero = 97.1
mean nonzero \(=21.4\)
2.9
\begin{tabular}{cc}
454 & \(868-869\) \\
\((6154)\) & \((10,968-10,969)\)
\end{tabular}
\% nonzero \(=93.4\)
mean nonzero \(=20.4\)
6.6
\begin{tabular}{cc}
455 & \(870-871\) \\
\((6155)\) & \((10,970-10,971)\)
\end{tabular}
\% nonzero = 33.8
mean nonzero \(=46.7\)
66.2
\begin{tabular}{ccr}
456 & 872 & \\
\((6156)\) & \((10,972)\) & \\
& & 1.3 \\
& & 4.4 \\
& & 94.3 \\
& & ---100
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{cc}
457 & \(873-874\) \\
\((6157)\) & \((10,973-10,974)\)
\end{tabular}
\(\begin{array}{ll} & 0.6 \\ \text { \% nonzero }=99.4 & 0.1 \\ \text { mean nonzero }=11.7 & 0.3 \\ & 0.9 \\ & 1.3 \\ & 1.1\end{array}\)

K24. Are you (HEAD) a veteran?
------------------------------------1
1. Yes
5. No
9. N.A.
N.A.; D.K. grade and could not read or write

K25. How many years have you worked for money
since you were 18?
01. One year or less
XX. Actual number of years worked since age 18
98. 98 years or more
99. N.A.; D.K.
00. Inap.; none; never worked

K26. How many of these years did you work full time for most or all of the year?
01. One year or less
XX. Actual number of years worked full time
98. 98 years or more
99. N.A.; D.K.
00. Inap.; none; never worked; never worked full time;
\(\mathrm{V} 453=00\)

K27. During the years that you were not working full time, how much of the time did you work?
```

1. One percent or less
XX. Actual percent of time worked
2. Ninety-nine percent
3. Inap.; none; worked full time; never
worked;
V453=00
```
K27. Accuracy of V455
--------------------------
    1. Minor assignment
    2. Major assignment
    0. Inap.; no assignment; worked full
    time; never worked;
    \(\mathrm{V} 453=00\)
K28. How many grades of school did you (HEAD)
    finish?
    00. None
    01. One
    02. Two
    03 . Three
    04 . Four
    05. Five
\begin{tabular}{rll}
2.1 & 06. & Six \\
2.3 & 07. & Seven \\
9.3 & 08. & Eight \\
4.4 & 09. & Nine \\
6.6 & 10. & Ten \\
6.0 & 11. & Eleven \\
33.4 & 12. & Twelve; GED \\
4.5 & 13. & Thirteen \\
7.2 & 14. & Fourteen \\
2.5 & 15. & Fifteen \\
9.4 & 16. & Sixteen \\
7.5 & 17. & Seventeen or more \\
0.5 & 99. & N.A.; D.K. \\
----- & & \\
100.0 & &
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{cc}
458 & 875 \\
\((6158)\) & \((10,975)\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{cc}
459 & 876 \\
\((6159)\) & \((10,976)\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{cc}
460 & 877 \\
\((6160)\) & \((10,977)\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{cc}
461 & 878 \\
\((6161)\) & \((10,978)\)
\end{tabular}

879
(6162)
0.6
5.9
0.1
93.5
-----
100.1
1.7
2.7
1.8
93.9
-----
100.1

\section*{K29. Did you get any other training?}
------------------------------------------
1. Yes
5. No
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; finished more than six years of school; \(\mathrm{V} 457=07-17,99\)

K30. What was it?
0.0
0.3
0.1
0.0
0.1
0.0
99.4
99.9 \((10,977)\)
100.1

878
\((10,978)\)
\[
\begin{array}{r}
21.8 \\
39.6 \\
0.3 \\
38.3 \\
----- \\
100.0
\end{array}
\]

K32. Did you have any other schooling?
-----------------------------------------------1
1. Yes
5. No
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; finished less than seven or more than twelve years of school; \(\mathrm{V} 457=00-06,13-17,99\)

K33. What other schooling did you have?
0.4
13.6
--------------------------------------------
1. Government or other subsidized program (nonmilitary)--Manpower training; Vista; Peace Corps; Poverty Program
2. Job-specific (not codable in 1)--

K31. Do you have any trouble reading?
1. Yes
5. No
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; finished more than six years of school;
\(\mathrm{V} 457=07\) - 17,99
nurses' training; business school;
0.7
4.0
0.1
78.2
-----
\(463 \quad 880\) (6163) \((10,980)\)
16.4
14.7
0.2
68.7
-----

881
(6164) \((10,981)\)
5.3
11.0
0.2
83.6
-----
\(465 \quad 882\)
(6165) (10,982)
91.6
7.8
0.5
0.1
-----
\(\begin{array}{cc}466 & 883 \\ (6166) & (10,983)\end{array}\)
\(\begin{array}{lr} & 34.9 \\ \text { \% nonzero }=99.4 & 24.6 \\ \text { mean nonzero }=3 & 14.7 \\ & 8.6 \\ & 4.8 \\ & 3.4 \\ & 2.2 \\ & 5.2 \\ & 1.0 \\ & 0.6 \\ & ---100.0\end{array}\)
                                    welding; apprenticeship; repair courses

保
3. Company-specific--on-the-job training; company training program; army/navy training course
7. Other
8. Vague; N.A. whether vocationally related; "college courses"
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; had no other schooling; finished less than seven or more than twelve years of school; V457 = \(00-06,13-17,99\); V461 = 5 or 9

K35. Do you have a college degree?
```

K35.

```
1. Yes
5. No
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; finished twelve or fewer grades; \(\mathrm{V} 457=00-12,99\)
```

K36. Do you have any advance degrees?

```

    1. Yes
5. No
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; has no degree; finished twelve or fewer grades; V457 = \(00-12\), 99; V463 = 5 or 9
```

L1. Who was respondent? (Relation to Head)

```
    . Head
    2. Wife
    7. Other than Head or Wife
    9. N.A.
    L2. Number of calls
    ----------------------
        1. One
        2. Two
        3. Three
        4. Four
        5. Five
        6. Six
        7. Seven
        8. Eight or more
        9. N.A.
        0. Mail interview
38.5 1. Yes
60.8 5. No
    0.7 9. Foreign; N.A.; D.K.
        of a city of 50,000 or more?

\section*{L3. Is this address inside of the city limits \\ L3. Is this address inside of the city limits} of a city of 50,000 or more?
\(\begin{array}{cc}467 & 884 \\ (6167) & (10,984)\end{array}\)
100.0
\(468 \quad 885-887\)
(6168) \(\quad(10,985-10,987)\)
(10,985-10,987)
\begin{tabular}{cc}
469 & 888 \\
\((6169)\) & \((10,988)\)
\end{tabular}
19.8
14.5
2.2
0.7
0.1
1.1
61.6
---
100.0
\begin{tabular}{cc}
470 & \(889-891\) \\
\((6170)\) & \((10,989-10,991)\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ccr}
471 \\
\((6171)\) & 892 \\
& \((10,992)\) & \\
& & \\
& & 2.7 \\
& & 13.0 \\
& & 13.1 \\
& & 11.0 \\
& & 19.0 \\
& & 39.5 \\
& & \(-10-0.0\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{cc}
472 & 893 \\
\((6172)\) & \((10,993)\)
\end{tabular}
28.5
29.1
2.9
39.6
----
100.1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
473 \\
(6173)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
894-898 \\
(10,994-10,998)
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \% \text { nonzero }=100.0 \\
& \text { mean }=16,778.8
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
474 \\
(6174)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
899-903 \\
(10,999-11,003)
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(Not available to insure confidentiality)

L5. How far is this DU from the center of that city? (City in L4)

1. Less than 5 miles
2. 5 - 14.9 miles
3. 15 - 29.9 miles
4. \(30-49.9\) miles
5. 50 or more miles
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; this address outside city limits of city of 50,000 or more; foreign country; \(\mathrm{V} 467=5\) or 9

L6. What is the nearest city of 50,00 or more?
(Not available to insure confidentiality)

L7. How far is this DU from the center of that
city?
1. Less than 5 miles
2. 5 - 14.9 miles
3. \(15-29.9\) miles
4. \(30-49.9\) miles
5. 50 or more miles
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; this address inside city limits of city of 50,000 or more; foreign country; V467=1 or 9

L8. Is this address inside the city limits of a city of 5,000 or more?
1. Yes
5. No
9. N.A.; D.K.
0. Inap.; this address inside city limits of city of 50,000 or more; foreign country; V467 = 1 or 9

Generated Data

Total 1977 Family Money Income
Summation of the following variables:
V96 Taxable Income of Head and Wife
V115 Total Transfers of Head and Wife
V117 Taxable Income of Others
V131 Total Transfers of Others
00001 . One dollar or less
99999. \(\$ 99,999\) or more

Total 1977 Labor Income of Head
\% nonzero \(=77.8\)
mean nonzero = 13,452.1
475
\((6175)\) (6175)
\(904-908\)
\((11,004-11,008)\)
\(\%\) nonzero \(=48.0\)
mean nonzero \(=3,507.1\)

476
(6176) (11,009-11,012)
\% nonzero \(=99.8\)
mean nonzero \(=5.43\)
```

    4 7 7
    (6177) (11,013-11,016)
\% nonzero = 100.0
mean $=911.4$
478
917-920
(6178) (11,017-11,020)
\% nonzero = 77.8 mean nonzero $=\$ 6.88$

```
\[
22.2
\]
\begin{tabular}{cc}
479 & \(921-924\) \\
\((6179)\) & \((11,021-11,024)\)
\end{tabular}
\% nonzero \(=31.8\) mean nonzero \(=4.72\)

V80 Labor Part of Farm Income
V81 Labor Part of Business Income
V82 Head's Wages Income
V84 Head's Bonuses, Overtime, Commissions
V85 Head's Income from Professional
Practice or Trade
V86 Labor Part of Roomer/Market Gardening Income
00001. One dollar or less
99999. \$99,999 or more

Total 1977 Miscellaneous Transfers of Head and Wife (total transfers minus ADC and AFDC - V115 minus V102)
xxxxx. Actual dollar amount of transfers
99999. \$99,999 or more

Total 1977 Family Money Income/Needs (1978)

Total 1977 family money income (V473) divided by 1977 family needs (V58). This ratio is multiplied by 1.25 for farmers (those coded 80 in V173 or V284) to adjust for lower food costs. This is the only measure of income to needs on this tape which made this adjustment for farmers
xx.xx Actual income/needs ratio
99.99 Income/needs ratio of 99.99 or more

Annual Food Standard
----------------------
This variable is generated by multiplying the weekly food needs (V57) by 52 and then making the following adjustments for economies of scale: Add 20 percent for one-person families, 10 percent for two-person families, 5 percent for three-person families, and subtract 5 percent for five-person families and 10 percent for families with six or more persons
xxxx. Food standard for 1978 family
9999. Food standard of \(\$ 9,999\) or more

1977 Average Hourly Earnings - Head

1977 labor income of Head (sum V80 - V82 and V84 - V86)/1977 hours of work of Head (V31)
xx.xx 1977 average hourly earnings
00.00 Zero hourly earnings or Head did not work for money; (sum V80 - V82 and V84 - V86 = 00000 and V31 = 0000)
\(99.99 \$ 99.99\) per hour or more
```

1977 Average Hourly Earnings - Wife

```
------------------------------------------

1977 labor income of Wife (V88)/1977 hours of work for money of Wife (V43)
xx.xx 1977 average hourly earnings \(68.2 \quad 00.00\) Zero hourly earnings; Wife did not
```

    work for money; no Wife;
    V152 = 00 or V88 = 00000
    ```
    and V43 \(=0000\)
\begin{tabular}{cc}
480 & 925 \\
\((6180)\) & \((11,025)\)
\end{tabular}
22.8
28.6
29.7
18.3
0.2
0.5
0.0
----
100.1

926
```

(11, 026 )
(6181) (11,026)

```
22.8
30.5
30.3
11.4
0.1
3.6
1.4
-----
100.1

927 (11, 027)
18.2
27.0
34.0
5.0
0.0
13.5
2.2
---9
99.9
27.0 2. North Central
34.0 3. South
5.0 4. West
0.0 5. Alaska, Hawaii
13.5 6. Foreign Country
99.9

\section*{928}
\((11,028)\)
18.3
28.1
33.5
5.6
0.1
12.3
2.0 ----9
1. Northeast
2. North Central
3. South
4. West
5. Alaska, Hawaii
6. Foreign Country
9. N.A. ages 6-16)
1. Northeast
2. North Central
3. South
4. West
5. Alaska, Hawaii
6. Foreign Country
1. Northeast
2. North Central
3. South
4. West
5. Alaska, Hawaii
6. Foreign Country

Region at Time of 1978 Interview


Region Where 1978 Head of Family Grew Up (about

9. N.A. region where 1978 Head grew up

Region Where Father of 1978 Head Grew Up
---------------------------------------------1
9. N.A. where father of 1978 Head grew up

Region Where Mother of 1978 Head Grew Up
9. N.A. where mother of 1978 Head grew up

Geographic Mobility:
Where Head of Family Lived at Time of 1978
Interview Versus Where Grew Up
1. Same state at both times (V3 EQ V443)
65.8
11.7
21.0
1.5
-----

929
(11,029)
\begin{tabular}{cc}
484 & 929 \\
\((6184)\) & \((11,029)\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & V83 & Accuracy: & Head's wages income \\
\hline & V87 & Accuracy: & Head's other labor income \\
\hline & V89 & Accuracy: & Wife's labor income \\
\hline & V97 & Accuracy: & Capital income \\
\hline & V103 & Accuracy: & ADC/AFDC of Head and Wife \\
\hline & V114 & Accuracy: & Other transfers of Head and Wife \\
\hline & V118 & Accuracy: & Taxable income of others \\
\hline & V132 & Accuracy: & Transfer income of others \\
\hline & Sums & greater th & an 9 were truncated at 9 \\
\hline & Sum & & \\
\hline 94.6 & 0 & & \\
\hline 2.1 & 1 & & \\
\hline 1.8 & 2 & & \\
\hline 0.4 & 3 & & \\
\hline 0.5 & 4 & & \\
\hline 0.2 & 5 & & \\
\hline 0.3 & 6 & & \\
\hline 0.0 & 7 & & \\
\hline 0.1 & 8 & & \\
\hline 0.0 & 9 or & more & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



```

4 9 6
(6196)

```

949-951 (11,049-11, 051)
\% nonzero \(=6.4\)
mean nonzero \(=189.1\)

1977 Federal Income Tax Low Income Credit Head and Wife

The Federal government still allows the "negative income tax" begun in 1975; this tax credit is available to low income wage earners with independent children and who maintain a dwelling. (See V100 and Part I, Section 5 of this volume)
```

    This tax credit = the lesser of:
    a) 10% (V96 - (V94 + V95)
        or
    b) $400 - 10% (V96-$4,000), whichever is
        smaller, but not a negative number
    xxx. Tax credit dollars
    400. Maximum credit
    000. Zero tax credit; not eligible for
        credit
    | 10.0 | 0. | $\$ 1-3,827$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10.0 | 1. | $\$ 3,282-6,030$ |
| 10.0 | 2. | $\$ 6,031-8,594$ |
| 10.0 | 3. | $\$ 8,595-11,005$ |
| 10.0 | 4. | $\$ 11,006-13,762$ |
| 10.0 | 5. | $\$ 13,763-16,802$ |
| 10.0 | 6. | $\$ 16,803-20,394$ |
| 10.0 | 7. | $\$ 20,395-24,997$ |
| 10.0 | 8. | $\$ 24,998-32,797$ |
| 10.0 | 9. | $\$ 32,797$ and higher |
| ---0 |  |  |
| 100.0 |  |  |

```
```

Decile on Total 1977 Family Money Income/Needs

```
Decile on Total 1977 Family Money Income/Needs
(V476)
(V476)
10.0 0. 0.00 - 1.59
10.0 1. 1.60 - 2.36
10.0 2. 2.37 - 3.08
10.0 3. 3.09 - 3.81
10.0 4. 3.82 - 4.58
10.0 5. 4.59 - 5.39
10.0 6. 5.40-6.40
10.0 7. 6.41 - 7.70
10.0 8. 7.71 - 9.90
10.0 9. 9.91 and higher
100.0
```

| 497 | 952 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(6197)$ | $(11,052)$ |


| 498 | 953 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(6198)$ | $(11,053)$ |


| 499 | 954 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(6199)$ | $(11,054)$ |

The following variables, V500-V508, summate the actual number of children in the FU by various sex and age categories. Only persons whose relationship to Head are those of child, stepchild, grandchild, sibling or other relative, such as niece or nephew, are included (Relationship to Head $=3,4,6,7$. These are individual-tape variables)

| 89.6 | 0. | None |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 9.5 | 1. | One |
| 0.9 | 2. | Two |
| 0.0 | 3. | Three |
| 0.0 | 4. | Four |
| 0.0 | 5. | Five |
| 0.0 | 6. | Six |
| 0.0 | 7. | Seven |
| 0.0 | 8. | Eight |
| 0.0 | 9. | Nine or more |
| ---- |  |  |
| 100.0 |  |  |

## Number of Children of Both Sexes, Ages Three through Five

0. None
1. One
2. Two
3. Three
4. Four
5. Five
6. Six
7. Seven
8. Eight
9. Nine or more

Number of Children of Both Sexes, Ages Six through Thirteen
76.8
13.5
7.2
1.9
0.5
0.1
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
---0.
$503 \quad 958$
(6203) (11,058)
91.1
7.7
1.1
0.2
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
----
100.1

Number of Female Children, Ages Fourteen through Seventeen
0. None

1. One
2. Two
3. Three
4. Four
5. Five
6. Six
7. Seven
8. Eight
9. Nine or more

Number of Male Children, Ages Fourteen through Seventeen

| 90.5 | 0. | None |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 8.2 | 1. | One |


| 1.1 | 2. | Two |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 0.2 | 3. | Three |
| 0.0 | 4. | Four |
| 0.0 | 5. | Five |
| 0.0 | 6. | Six |
| 0.0 | 7. | Seven |
| 0.0 | 8. | Eight |
| 0.0 | 9. | Nine or more |
| ---- |  |  |
| 100.0 |  |  |

Number of Female Children, Ages Eighteen through Twenty

0 . None

1. One
2. Two
3. Three
4. Four
5. Five
6. Six
7. Seven
8. Eight
9. Nine or more

Number of Male Children, Ages Eighteen through Twenty
$\begin{array}{rll}94.5 & 0 . & \text { None } \\ 4.9 & 1 . & \text { One }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}4.9 & \text { 1. } & \text { One } \\ 0.5 & 2 . & \text { Two }\end{array}$
0.0 3. Three
0.0 4. Four
0.0 5. Five
0.0 6. Six
0.0 7. Seven
0.0 8. Eight
0.0
99.9
$507 \quad 962$
(6207) (11,062)

963
(6208) (11,063)
97.3
2.3
0.3
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
0.0
----9

Number of Female Children, Ages Twenty-one through Twenty-nine
0. None

1. One
2. Two
3. Three
4. Four
5. Five
6. Six
7. Seven
8. Eight
9. Nine or more

Number of Male Children, Ages Twenty-one through Twenty-nine
0. None
$\begin{array}{lll}96.2 & \text { I. } & \text { One }\end{array}$
0.3 2. Two
0.0 3. Three
0.0 4. Four
0.0 5. Five
0.0 6. Six
0.0
0.0
$\qquad$
100.1
509
$(6209)$

964
$(11,064)$
85.4
11.4
2.6
0.6
0.0
-----
100.0

## 965

$(11,065)$
967-968
100.0
100.0
31.0
5.7
0.6
100.1

```
            966
            966
```

$x^{2}$


$$
(6212) \quad(11,067-11,068)
$$

Race
----
Since in 1978 most interviews were taken by telephone, this variable was copied from 1972 data; splitoffs' races were assumed to be the same as those of their main families

1. White
2. Black
3. Spanish-American
4. Other
5. N.A.

## Split Sample Filter

This variable is identical for each case to V2969, a 1972 variable which randomly divided the sample into four equal parts. Splitoffs have received the same number as their main families
24.5 1. First quarter sample
24.3 2. Second quarter sample
26.7 3. Third quarter sample
24.5 4. Fourth quarter sample

Eleven-Year Changes in FU Composition

The highest number coded in any of the following variables is reproduced here: V542, V1109, V1809, V2410, V3010, V3410, V3810, V4310, V5210, V5710
18.5 0. No change in family members

1. Change in members other than Head or Wife
2. Head same, but Wife left/died and/or Head has new wife
8.4 3. Wife from previous years became Head
3.4 4. Female Head got married--husband (nonsample member) became Head
24.2 5. Some sample member other than Head or Wife became Head
7.6 6. Some female other than Head got married, and nonsample member became Head
0.7 7. Female Head with husband in institution in previous year(s) became Wife, as he came home to be the Head of the FU
3. Other

1978 Revised Family Weight
---------------------------
This weight variable was completely revised in 1978 to account for marriages to nonsample persons since 1968 and for differential nonresponse since 1968. See Section I, Part

| 513 | 969 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(6213)$ | $(11,069)$ |

$$
\begin{array}{r}
40.9 \\
17.4 \\
10.0 \\
---- \\
100.0
\end{array}
$$

2.3 1. Many more jobs than applicants
6.9 2. More jobs than applicants
22.5 3. Most people able to find jobs

| 514 | 970 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(6214)$ | $(11,070)$ |

$$
\begin{array}{r}
18.5 \\
43.5 \\
26.9 \\
1.6 \\
9.6 \\
---- \\
100.1
\end{array}
$$

Whether Shortage or Surplus of Unskilled Male Labor in County, November 1978
-------------------------------19
3. Most people able to find jobs
4. A number of unskilled workers unable to find jobs
5. Many unskilled workers unable to find jobs
9. N.A.

How Does the Market for Unskilled Females Compare with the Market for Unskilled Males? November 1978

1. Better (more women able to find jobs)
2. About the same
3. Worse (fewer women able to find jobs)
4. Much worse (many fewer women able to find jobs)
5. N.A.

How Does the Market for Unskilled Nonwhites Compare with the Market for Whites? November 1978
---------------------------------------------------
4.1 1. Better (more nonwhites able to find jobs)
49.4
29.5
5.1
11.9
-----

| 516 | 972 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(6216)$ | $(11,072)$ |

0.0
0.0
40.9
26.9
17.3
4.8
10.1
-----
100.0
0.0
0.0
40.9
26.9
17.3
4.8
10.1
----
100.0
$517 \quad 973$
(6217) (11,073)

Difference between the Typical Hourly Wage Rate for an Unskilled Male and for an Unskilled Female, November 1978
38.8 0. No difference
2.6 1. Females earn less than males by
$\$ .01$ - . 09
12.4 2. $\$ .10-.24$ difference
22.4 3. $\$ .25$ - . 49 difference
10.9 4. $\$ .50$ - . 99 difference
2.45 . $\$ 1.00$ or more

| 518 | 974 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(6218)$ | $(11,074)$ |

Unemployment Rate in Respondent's County, November 1978
1.6 1. Under 2\%
18.9 2. 2 - $3.9 \%$
39.3 3. $4-5.9 \%$
29.4 4. $6-8.9 \%$
6.0 5. $9-10.0 \%$
0.8 6. 10.1 - 12.0\%
1.2 7. 12\% or more
2.8 9. N.A.
100.0

| 519 | 975 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(6219)$ | $(11,075)$ |




[^0]:    236
    (5936)

    582
    $(10,682)$

