Assessing the Value of Collecting Biomeasures in the PSID: 
Ethical and Legal Concerns 
Henry T. Greely

Introduction

I. General Issues

A. Ethical Propriety of Expanding PSID to Biomeasures

Weighing of personal or social benefits against personal risks in human subjects research
Reinforces the need for thought-out potential benefits and not “fishing expeditions”
Biomeasures are trendy – are they worth it?

B. Are Biomeasures Different from Already Collected PSID Measures?

1. Ethics

No legal difference in the application of the Common Rule or ethics generally
May be some difference in IRB review

2. Law

Possible legal differences
May be HIPAA-covered medical information
May involve various state laws on, for example, genetic privacy
Note the issue of application of different state laws to a multi-state project

3. Perception

Possible differences in public perception
Biomeasures may *seem* more private, intrusive, and dangerous
Whether they are or not
But they may be – e.g.
Mental illness, HIV, STDs, etc.
Which may lead to significant differences in participation
In quantity
In who participates
It may provide a new confounding variable for participation
Possibly skewing, for example, SES

II. Specific Issues
A. Control over Subsequent Uses or Users

1. The dilemma of “blanket consent”

Cannot give subjects detailed information about risks and benefits
Because don’t know what all research will be done

2. Issues in this context

Can be control over biological samples or of data from biomeasures

Subjects may want to be assured that their data and samples won’t be used for some kinds of research
As a result of their personal or group sensitivities
  e.g. research on genetics and sexual preference
  e.g. research on race, genetics, and social outcomes

B. Right to Withdrawal from Research

Guaranteed by the Common Rule
What does it mean in this context?
  For samples
  For data

C. Confidentiality and Anonymity

What can be promised in terms of confidentiality?
  Given data security problems that are hard, at best, to preclude
    Stolen laptops

Can anonymity be provided?
  The serious problem of re-identification

The additional confidentiality risks of adding more kinds of measures
  Re-identification through one method leads to revelation of cross-connected data from other spheres
    e.g. identification through genetics reveals identity of the subject of social data

The issue of possible government requests for samples or data
  e.g., use of a pap smear from his daughter to incriminate the BTK killer
  The unclear power of certificates of confidentiality

D. Return of significant information to research subjects

1. Medical information
Examples
- genetic information about Huntington’s disease
- genetic information about BRCA1 or APOe
- genetic information about hereditary non-polyposis colon cancer genes
- imaging data on brain abnormalities
- infectious disease information on presence of an infection

Factors to Consider
- degree of power of the information
- penetrance of condition
- certainty of link
- seriousness of the condition
- availability and usefulness of interventions

2. Non-medical information

Examples
- genetic information about non-disease traits
- genetic information about ethnic ancestry
- genetic information about “misattributed parenthood”

Factors to Consider
- degree of power of the information
- penetrance of condition
- certainty of link
- importance to the subject of the information
- availability and usefulness of non-medical interventions

E. Special Issues

1. Children

Their enrollment
- The Common Rule standards for research with children
- Appropriate to enroll children at all?
  - i.e., consensus on not testing children for genetic conditions for which no treatment needed while a child
- Their status when they reach their majority
  - Recontact for new consent?
  - If cannot recontact?

2. Family members of subjects

Consequences of information on one family member for others
- Genetic information
- Other information

The Virginia Commonwealth case
Probably not a strong precedent
    But the concern is real
Handle in consent?

F. The Consent

In light of all of the above special issues, how long will be the consent be?
What percentage of the potential subjects will be able to understand it?
How should the consent for a project like this be structured to maximize “truly” informed consent?

Conclusion

Not impossible, but requires special thought
    Especially given the high profile and proud position of PSID